# NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (NSF) COMPUTER SCIENCE EDUCATION RESEARCH CONGRESSIONAL REPORT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PUBLIC LAW 114-329: AMERICAN INNOVATION AND COMPETITIVENESS ACT, SEC. 310 (E)

## **Summary**

The American Innovation and Competitiveness Act, 2017, Public Law 114-329, requires the National Science Foundation (NSF) to undertake specific activities regarding computer science education research (Sec. 310):

# "(b) GRANT PROGRAM.-

- (1) IN GENERAL. The Director of the Foundation shall award grants to eligible entities to research computer science education and computational thinking.
- (2) RESEARCH. The research described in paragraph (1) may include the development or adaptation, piloting or full implementation, and testing of
  - A. models of preservice preparation for teachers who will teach computer science and computational thinking;
  - B. scalable and sustainable models of professional development and ongoing support for the teachers described in subparagraph (A);
  - C. tools and models for teaching and learning aimed at supporting student success and inclusion in computing within and across diverse populations, particularly poor, rural, and tribal populations and other populations that have been historically underrepresented in computer science and STEM fields; and
  - D. high-quality learning opportunities for teaching computer science and, especially in poor, rural, or tribal schools at the elementary school and middle school levels, for integrating computational thinking into STEM teaching and learning.
- (c) COLLABORATIONS. In carrying out the grants established in subsection (b), eligible entities may collaborate and partner with local or remote schools to support the integration of computing and computational thinking within pre-kindergarten through grade 12 STEM curricula and instruction.
- (d) METRICS. The Director of the Foundation shall develop metrics to measure the success of the grant program funded under this section in achieving program goals.
- (e) REPORT. The Director of the Foundation shall report, in the annual budget submission to Congress, on the success of the program as measured by the metrics in subsection (d).
- (f) DEFINITION OF ELIGIBLE ENTITY. In this section, the term "eligible entity" means an institution of higher education or a non-profit research organization."

# **Background**

NSF launched the Computer Science for All: Researcher Practitioner Partnerships (CSforAll: RPP) program in 2017 with solicitation NSF 17-525. In 2018, NSF issued an updated solicitation, NSF 18-537.

 $<sup>^1\</sup> www.nsf.gov/pubs/2017/nsf17525/nsf17525.htm$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> www.nsf.gov/pubs/2018/nsf18537/nsf18537.htm

The CSforAll: RPP synopsis, as articulated in the latest solicitation, is as follows:

"This program aims to provide all U.S. students the opportunity to participate in computer science (CS) and computational thinking (CT) education in their schools at the preK-12 levels. With this solicitation, the National Science Foundation (NSF) focuses on researcher-practitioner partnerships (RPPs) that foster the research and development needed to bring CS and CT to all schools. Specifically, this solicitation aims to provide high school teachers with the preparation, professional development (PD) and ongoing support that they need to teach rigorous computer science courses; preK-8 teachers with the instructional materials and preparation they need to integrate CS and CT into their teaching; and schools and districts the resources needed to define and evaluate multigrade pathways in CS and CT."

The revised solicitation added the focus on researcher-practitioner partnerships that are supporting schools and districts in defining and evaluating multi-grade pathways in CS and CT. Clear pathways for coursework and other experiences in CS and CT - from elementary to middle school, middle to high school, and high school into the first years of college - are important for educators to support systemic implementation of CS and CT in schools.

## **Metrics**

The program team developed and reported short-, mid-, and longer-term metrics for success as follows:

- Short-term metrics will focus on ensuring that the program is making awards in the four areas outlined in the law and that the awards address the goal of broadening participation in computer science. One indicator of broadening participation is the diversity of the populations supported educationally in the awards.
- Mid-term metrics will include the extent to which funded projects are achieving goals as measured by the progress reported in NSF's required annual and final project reports.
- Longer-term (beyond five years) metrics will include an evaluation of the outcomes of the program, which are based on the program aims as described in the program solicitation and the requirements of Public Law 114-329. Program staff are working with the Evaluation and Monitoring group within NSF's Directorate for Education and Human Resources and Evaluation and Assessment Capability within NSF's Office of Integrative Activities to develop (1) a set of specific longer-term metrics and (2) a program evaluation plan for measuring the collective success of the CSforAll: RPP projects using these longer-term metrics.

# Report on the Success of the Program as Measured by the Short-Term Metrics

Between the submission of the last annual report and December 2019, 31 new awards were made by the program in FY 2019 pursuant to NSF 18-537. Below is a summary of the FY 2019 CSforAll: RPP projects [Note: some awards address more than one of the goals described in (b)(2)A-D, so the number of awards sums to more than 31]:

- 9 awards address subsection (b)(2)A and (b)(2)B;
- 20 awards address subsection (b)(2)C; and
- 9 awards address multi-grade pathways to CT and CS.

Finally, all new awards served at least one underrepresented or underserved group, as outlined in the table below (Note: some awards serve more than one underrepresented group, so the number of awards in the table sum to more than 31).

# Underrepresented or Underserved Group Served by Backbone Organizations

	Groups
Category	Served
Rural	8
Low Socio-Economic Status	11
Disabilities	4
Pacific Islanders	4
Women/Girls	11
English Language Learners	4
African-Americans	11
Native Americans	7
Latino/a	15