# RESEARCH ON EDUCATION AND LEARNING (REAL)

Program Solicitation: NSF 13-604

Division of Research on Learning in Formal and Informal Settings

## **Important Dates**

#### **Letters of Intent (optional)**

November 13, 2013\*

**Full Proposals**January 10, 2014



\*As specified in the October 22, 2013 <u>Revised Proposal Due Date</u> <u>Listing</u>, the LOI deadline published in the solicitation was subsequently revised due to the Federal government shutdown.

#### **Goals of the REAL Program**

REAL supports research that informs efforts to:

- a) understand,
- b) build theory to explain, and
- c) suggest interventions & innovations to address

persistent challenges in STEM interest, education, learning, and participation.

#### **Scope of the REAL Program**

Collectively, REAL projects explore all aspects of education research...

- ...from foundational knowledge to improvements in STEM learning and learning contexts
- ...both formal and informal
- ...from childhood through adulthood
- ...for all groups
- ...from the earliest developmental stages of life through participation in the workforce, resulting in increased public understanding of science and engineering

#### **Scope of the REAL Program** (continued)

**REAL** represents the substantive foci of three previous EHR programs:

- Research and Evaluation on Education in Science and Engineering (REESE)
- Research in Disabilities Education (RDE)
- Research on Gender in Science and Engineering (GSE)

# **REAL Projects**

- Address basic, foundational research questions about STEM learning and/or education
- Use rigorous standards for theory, methods and analysis
- Are deeply rooted in STEM content and knowledge-building practices

# REAL Projects (continued)

- Develop innovative methods, measures and models
- Investigate education phenomena to understand what works, for whom, why, and under what conditions
- Explore lessons that can be learned in context or through practice (e.g., via implementation research) to enhance basic knowledge and theoretical findings

#### **REAL Research Areas**

- 1. Research on Human Learning in STEM
- 2. Research on Learning in STEM Learning Environments
- 3. Broadening Participation Research
  - a) Research in Disabilities Education
  - b) Research on Gender in S&E
- 4. Special Emphases
  - a) Assessment
  - b) Undergraduate learning
  - c) Technology

# **Elements of REAL Proposals**

- Linkages to theory and extant research
- Research plan
- Contributions to implementation (where applicable)
- Contributions to knowledge
- Communication strategy
- Data management plan
- Objective external feedback

# **Eligible REAL Proposal Types**

- Early Stage Research
- Middle Stage Research
- Later Stage Research
- Fostering Interdisciplinary Research in Education (FIRE)
- Synthesis
- Conference and Workshop

#### **Award Sizes and Duration**

**Anticipated number of awards:** 45 to 52 **Anticipated funds:** \$51,000,000 for new awards

- Early-stage research (~10 awards)
  - \$500,000, max 3 years
- Middle-stage research (~20 awards)
  - \$1.5 million, max 3 years
- Later-stage research (~8)
  - \$2.5 million, max 5 years

#### **Award Sizes and Duration**

- FIRE (~6)
  - ∘ \$500K, max 3 years
- Synthesis (~10)
  - \$300K, max 2 years
- Conferences and workshops (~10)
  - o \$75,000

# Questions



# **Proposal Preparation**

#### Resources

- REAL program page on NSF website
- REAL Solicitation (NSF 13-604)
- NSF Grant Proposal Guide (GPG) (NSF 13-1)
- Common Guidelines for Education Research and Development (NSF 13-126)
- FAQs on the Common Guidelines (NSF 13-127)

# What do we mean by "Common Guidelines"?

A cross-agency framework that describes:

- Broad types of research and development
- The expected purposes, justifications, and contributions of various types of research to knowledge generation about interventions and strategies for improving learning

# **Types of Studies**

- Foundational research and development studies
  - Generate fundamental knowledge that may contribute to teaching and/or learning
- Early stage/exploratory studies
  - Examine relationships among constructs to establish logical connections
- Design and development studies
  - Design and iteratively develop particular interventions (programs, policies, practices or technologies); pilot test

## Types of Studies (continued)

#### **IMPACT STUDIES**

- Efficacy Studies
  - Estimate the impacts of strategies under optimal conditions of implementation
- Effectiveness Studies
  - Examine implementation and estimate impacts similar to routine practice but still on a limited scale
- Scale-up Studies
  - Explore implementation and estimates impacts under conditions that prevail under wide-scale adoption

#### **Foundational Research**

Fundamental knowledge that may contribute to improved learning & other education outcomes

#### Studies of this type:

- Test, develop or refine theories of teaching or learning
- May develop innovations in methodologies and/or technologies that influence & inform research & development in different contexts

### **Early-Stage or Exploratory Research**

#### Studies of this type:

- Examine relationships among important constructs in education and learning
- Seek to establish logical connections that may form the basis for future interventions or strategies intended to improve education outcomes
  - Connections are usually correlational rather than causal

#### **Design and Development Research**

#### Studies of this type:

- Draw on existing theory & evidence to design and iteratively develop interventions or strategies
  - Includes testing individual components to provide feedback in the development process
- Could lead to additional work to better understand the foundational theory behind the results
- Could indicate that the intervention or strategy is sufficiently promising to warrant more advanced testing

# Important Features of Each Type of Research

#### **Purpose**

How does this type of research contribute to the evidence base?

#### **Justification**

How should policy and practical significance be demonstrated?

What types of theoretical and/or empirical arguments should be made for conducting this study?

### **Important Features...** (continued)

#### **Outcomes**

Generally speaking, what types of outcomes (theory and empirical evidence) should the project produce?

What are the key features of a research design for this type of study?

# Questions



# **Proposal Preparation**

(continued)

# **Project Summary**

#### First Sentence

- Type of Proposal early-, middle-, later-stage,
  FIRE, synthesis, workshop
- Main research area learning, learning environments, broadening participation, special emphasis

#### First Paragraph

- Justification
- Work proposed

#### Intellectual Merit and Broader Impacts

Must include separate statements on each of these two NSB criteria

# **Goals and Purposes**

- Why is this project important?
- How will the findings lead to potential innovations in STEM learning and/or education?
- How will it advance knowledge?
- What are the anticipated outcomes and/or products of this project?
- How might these products or findings be useful?

# What Have You and Others Done?

- Describe the theoretical and research basis on which the proposal is based.
- Discuss how the proposal is innovative and different from similar research and development projects.
- If you have been funded by NSF, provide evidence about the effectiveness and impact of that work.

# **How Are You Going To Do It?**

- State clear research questions or hypotheses that the project will test.
- Describe the plan for data collection
- Describe the research methods, including data analysis plans, sampling plan, and assessments.
- Briefly describe the work plan and timeline.

#### Who Will do The Work?

- Briefly describe the expertise of the persons included in the proposal and why they are needed
- Upload two page bios for all senior personnel and

#### **External Feedback Plan**

A proposal must describe appropriate project-specific external feedback process

- May include an external review panel or advisory board or a third-party evaluator
- Must independent and rigorous

#### **Research vs Evaluation**

- Research is integral to the project
- Research is conducted by appropriate team members
- Research aims to contribute to theory and to what is known about practice

# **Communication Strategy**

- Plan and specific strategies for
  Dissemination of products and/or findings to researchers, policy makers, and practitioners
- Requirement to share design, findings, and products with any future REAL Resource Network

# **Supplementary Documents**

- Data Management Plan
- Post Doc Mentoring Plan
- Brief letters of commitment or cooperation\*
- NO OTHER DOCUMENTS

<sup>\*</sup>be careful not to include attachments to the letters

## **Budget**

- Should be consistent with level of work –
  you do not have to request the maximum!
- Two months salary: No more than two months of salary for senior personnel with academic positions on all NSF grants unless justified
- Indirect cost rates: Set by the institution and auditors and is non-negotiable.
- No cost sharing

# Reasons for Return Without Review

- Violation of formatting rules of the Grant Proposal Guide (e.g. font, page length etc)
- Failure to address specifically intellectual merit and broader impact in the project summary and description
- Unauthorized documents/data in the appendix or supplementary document section.
- No post doc plan if post docs are included on budget
- No data management plan

# **Proposal Review Process**

- Proposals are reviewed in panels composed of a range of external experts (e.g. educational researchers, content experts, teachers, developers)
- Each proposal will have a minimum of 3 reviews (but probably more)
- Proposals may be sent out to other experts for ad hoc reviews
- Each reviewer rates each proposal as Excellent, Very Good, Good, Fair or Poor

# **Proposal Review Process**

- Proposals are discussed in a panel
- The panel writes a summary of the reviews and places the proposal in one of three categories: high competitive, competitive or non-competitive
- All elements of the review are advisory to NSF

#### **Review Criteria**

- All proposals are reviewed under two criteria: Intellectual Merit and Broader Impact
- 1. What is the potential for the proposed activity to:
  - a. advance knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields (Intellectual Merit); and
  - b. benefit society or advance desired societal outcomes (Broader Impacts)?
- 2. To what extent do the proposed activities suggest and explore creative, original, or potentially transformative concepts?
- 3. Is the plan for carrying out the proposed activities well-reasoned, well-organized, and based on a sound rationale? Does the plan incorporate a mechanism to assess success?
- 4. How well qualified is the individual, team, or institution to conduct the proposed activities?
- 5. Are there adequate resources available to the PI (either at the home institution or through collaborations) to carry out the proposed activities?

## **For Further Information**

- Call 703-292-8650
- Email: <u>DRLREAL@nsf.gov</u>
- Send a one-page description of your study with your e-mail