Astro2010 NWNH Midterm Assessment

Report to the Astronomy and Astrophysics Advisory Committee

Jacqueline N. Hewitt, Chair

28 January 2016

Committee Membership

Jacqueline N. Hewitt, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Chair)

Adam S. Burrows, Princeton University

Neil J. Cornish, Montana State University

Andrew W. Howard, University Hawaii-Manoa

Bruce Macintosh, Stanford University

Richard F. Mushotzky, University of Maryland

Angela V. Olinto, University of Chicago

Steven M. Ritz, University of California, Santa Cruz

Alexey Vikhlinin, Harvard-Smithsonian CfA

David H. Weinberg, Ohio State University

Rainer Weiss, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Eric M. Wilcots, University of Wisconsin

Edward L. Wright, University of California, Los Angeles

A. Thomas Young, Lockheed Martin, retired

Statement of Task

In the context of funding circumstances that are substantially below those assumed in NWNH, the committee's review will include the following tasks:

- 1. Describe the most significant scientific discoveries, technical advances, and relevant programmatic changes in astronomy and astrophysics over the years since the publication of the decadal survey;
- 2. Assess how well the Agencies' programs address the strategies, goals, and priorities outlined in the 2010 decadal survey and other relevant NRC reports;
- 3. Assess the progress toward realizing these strategies, goals, and priorities; and
- 4. In the context of strategic advice provided for the Agencies' programs by FederalAdvisory Committees, and in the context of mid-decade contingencies described in the decadal survey, recommend any actions that could be taken to maximize the science return of the Agencies' programs.

The review should not revisit or alter the scientific priorities or mission recommendations provided in the decadal survey and related NRC reports but may provide guidance on implementation of the recommended science and activities portfolio and on other potential activities in preparation for the next decadal survey.

Midterm Assessment Timeline

8-10 October 2015 First meeting, Washington, DC

12-14 December 2015 Second meeting, Irvine, CA

(Science symposium on December 12)

5 January 2015 AAS session, Kissimmee, FL

11-13 January 2015 Third meeting, Washington, DC

1 March 2015 Report delivered to NRC for review

May 2015 Report released

June 2015 AAS presentation (Town Hall scheduled)

Connecting with A&A Community

- The three Committee meetings open session material posted
- Committee meetings have time set aside for public comment
- AAS splinter session
- Open letter to community inviting input distributed via AAS
- Email input via <u>astromidterm2015@nas.edu</u> is publicly available

Need to strike a balance between information gathering and study scope; keep focus on NWNH *implementation*

(We are not doing another survey/prioritization!)

First Meeting - Summary

Presentations from agencies

NASA/Astrophysics – Paul Hertz

NSF/AST – Jim Ulvestad

DOE/HEP – Kathy Turner

- OSTP Meredith Drosback
- JAXA Kazuhisa Mitsuda
- ESA Fabio Favata
- Presentations from activities and projects

WFIRST/AFTA - Neil Gehrels+

MSIP – Vern Pankonin

U.S. LISA – Tuck Stebbins

U.S. Athena – Rob Petre

Second Meeting - Summary

- Roger Blandford keynote presentation
- Science symposium organized around NWNH Science Frontier Panel areas,
 SFP members presented, panel discussions
- Discussion with OMB
- Continued project presentations

TMT - Ed Stone and Gary Sanders

GMT – Pat McCarthy

LSST – Steve Kahn

CTA – David Williams

- Exoplanet technology development Jeremy Kasdin
- European LISA theme Karsten Danzmann
- Committee deliberations

Telecon Presentations

- OIR system Debra Elmegreen
- JWST status Eric Smith
- CMB status and technology development Amber Miller
- CCAT status Terry Herter
- Athena status and possibilities for US role Randall Smith
- DESI status Daniel Eisenstein

Third Meeting - Summary

- Open sessions with Jim Ulvestad, Vern Pankonin, Paul Hertz, and Kathleen Turner
- Closed session for Committee deliberation

Some Observations...

- Science has progressed significantly!
- The current reality is in many ways different from what NWNH assumed
 - Budgets available for NWNH activities much lower
 - NSF Portfolio Review difficult to implement
 - ESA has made mission decisions, allowing a limited U.S. role
- NASA first 2010 decadal new start occurring later in decade than anticipated
- Some mid-decade decision points not reached (eg., LPF results not yet in, no B-mode detection)
- NWNH advised DSIAC to deal with many issues did not happen
- The landscape is complicated
- There are many unresolved issues, some requiring detailed study, some now in 2020's
- Committee is treating scope carefully, could recommend further study of some topics

Issues Facing the Committee

The Committee has not reached conclusions yet – input welcome

- Evaluating NSF and NASA alignment to, and making recommendations for progress on, NWNH priorities when budget realities are different from NWNH assumptions
- Impact of AFTA mirrors and coronagraph on WFIRST budget, risk, schedule, scope
- Impact of operations costs (ALMA, DKIST, soon LSST) on NSF/AST program
- Effectiveness of NSF Portfolio Review, and process for the future
- Pressures on individual grant programs
- How to make progress beyond top-ranked priorities eg., LISA, IXO, MSIP, GSMT, CCAT
- Maintaining program balance when budgets are declining

We look forward to the final report of the Proposal Pressures Study group. Preliminary results have already informed our discussions.