```
WEBVTT
```

```
00:00:00.340 --> 00:00:03.600
MEM Producer | Edgar Huertas: Accept the message, and i'm open it up right now.
00:01:19.700 --> 00:01:22.370
Chair- Rajeev Alur: Okay. Uh-huh. Hello! Everyone,
3
00:01:23.760 --> 00:01:25.289
Chair- Rajeev Alur: Welcome back
4
00:01:27.450 --> 00:01:28.850
Chair- Rajeev Alur: this stuff
5
00:02:05.000 --> 00:02:21.790
Chair- Rajeev Alur: here. This talks about that. And then, my good, deep sink, Who is the
current deputy director for ah Network systems and um. So what distributed systems and effort
systems for a key bill for this program, so it's great to have them.
6
00:02:21.800 --> 00:02:30.820
Chair- Rajeev Alur: And then after that we will have reports from the five breakout sessions
7
00:02:31.400 --> 00:02:33.390
Chair- Rajeev Alur: at socks.
00:02:33.440 --> 00:02:36.190
Chair- Rajeev Alur: So Michael is over to you.
00:02:37.600 --> 00:02:39.029
NSF- Michael Littman: Thanks very much,
10
00:02:43.700 --> 00:02:47.539
```

NSF- Michael Littman: all right, so I have a five-minute slot, or I should start my timer going

11

00:02:47.620 --> 00:03:03.980

NSF- Michael Littman: five minutes. Seems like a very awkward amount of time. It's like too short for a talk, but too long to just say hello. But I did want to quickly say hello, and then give a very compressed little talk. So Yeah. But thanks, everybody for being here for participating in the meeting. I've been

12

00:03:04.730 --> 00:03:10.249

NSF- Michael Littman: talking with lots of different people, and it's been really exciting it's been. It's been a great time

13

00:03:10.420 --> 00:03:13.170 NSF- Michael Littman: I I, as

14

00:03:13.500 --> 00:03:31.429

NSF- Michael Littman: as Rajek was saying, I am the division director for information and intelligence systems at Nsf. And I started in this role this past summer, rotating from Brown University, but prior to joining Nsf. I was a copi on a formal methods in the Field project joined with the University of Chicago.

15

00:03:31.800 --> 00:03:45.389

NSF- Michael Littman: Personally, I first became interested in formal methods in the context of my research on Reinforcement learning. I was invited to give a talk at Pen, and that's Rajiv Allure's department and folks there schooled me about the kinds of representations being used,

16

00:03:45.400 --> 00:03:51.730

NSF- Michael Littman: and I was intrigued because in part, it seemed like these representations could make reinforcement, learning more effective.

17

00:03:51.750 --> 00:04:01.709

NSF- Michael Littman: In particular. I really liked how logical representations could be used to specify goals in a more direct and meaningful way than reward functions. Oh, I have a slide for that.

18

00:04:01.790 --> 00:04:02.790

Can I switch? Yeah,

19

00:04:02.800 --> 00:04:06.159

NSF- Michael Littman: all right. So I don't know if you can actually see it, though

20

00:04:08.000 --> 00:04:09.100 maybe now,

21

00:04:09.390 --> 00:04:24.870

NSF- Michael Littman: all right. So yeah, So I wanted to be able to specify tasks to an agent. Things like navigate to red, avoiding blue, and to do that in standard reinforcement. Learning you have to decide how valuable are the blue squares, how

22

00:04:24.880 --> 00:04:44.049

NSF- Michael Littman: how much penalty is associated with blue squares. How much value associated with the red squares! If that penalty is too low, you find that agents will do things like walking through the glued against the red, which is not what you wanted. So if you make the penalty for blue higher, in fact, if you make it too high, then the agent doesn't even try to get to read anymore. It just goes and hides.

23

00:04:44.610 --> 00:04:55.070

NSF- Michael Littman: But if you try to specify if you instead, if you specify the task using, say linear temporal logic. You can say things like, eventually, red and always not blue, and get exactly the behavior that you want.

24

00:04:56.120 --> 00:05:00.189

NSF- Michael Littman: So I thought that that seemed like a really nice thing to be thinking about,

25

00:05:00.870 --> 00:05:03.490

NSF- Michael Littman: and as I see it, let's see

26

00:05:03.500 --> 00:05:13.709

NSF- Michael Littman: as I see it, we want to create systems that let people tell machines what they want them to do, and have the machines do those things safely, accurately and reliably

00:05:13.810 --> 00:05:29.370

NSF- Michael Littman: accomplishing this goal, in my opinion, requires deep collaboration between machine learning, human computer interaction and formal methods. But specifically, machine learning can learn complex behaviors from example. But the learn behaviors can be brittle, quirky, and hard to confirm.

28

00:05:29.570 --> 00:05:35.399

NSF- Michael Littman: Formal methods can express accurate and meaningful properties, but they can be kind of hard for people to learn how to write

29

00:05:35.910 --> 00:05:40.890

NSF- Michael Littman: tools from human computer interaction can identify and design around those difficulties.

30

00:05:42.510 --> 00:05:50.809

NSF- Michael Littman: So maybe that seems a little abstract. So here's a concrete example that comes out of the fmitf collaboration that I was involved with.

31

00:05:50.820 --> 00:05:55.960

NSF- Michael Littman: So let's say you have a desk lamp in your office, and you'd like the lamp to shut off when it's not being used

32

00:05:55.980 --> 00:06:02.240

NSF- Michael Littman: within a week or two of observing your behavior. A machine learning system can learn to predict when you turn your lights on and off,

33

00:06:02.520 --> 00:06:06.949

NSF- Michael Littman: but, in fact, it could learn many different rules that are consistent with your observed behavior.

34

00:06:07.140 --> 00:06:15.250

NSF- Michael Littman: We found that extracting the rules in a logical form, and then presenting them to the users, let them select the rule that best match their intent.

35

00:06:15.520 --> 00:06:29.790

NSF- Michael Littman: In fact, it was common for them to choose rules that were not the most accurate with respect to the data which is what the machine learning systems wanted to provide, but they better match the sense of what the light should do in the opinion of the person who actually wanted to control them.

36

00:06:30.960 --> 00:06:43.730

NSF- Michael Littman: Now I realize that this example is pretty simple, but I think it suggests a promising way to help make machine learning and Ai more trustworthy by communicating the machine's behavior to the people impacted by it.

37

00:06:43.810 --> 00:07:01.420

NSF- Michael Littman: So, instead of black box, black box, making recommendations about, for example, judicial, sentencing, and parole. We should use representations that are legible. And I see this program at the Mitf program as really pushing the frontier on exactly this kind of strategy. And again, I've been hearing about

38

00:07:01.430 --> 00:07:07.969

NSF- Michael Littman: great work that people are doing across the board, and I think i'm really really pleased with the way that things are going.

39

00:07:08.280 --> 00:07:09.799

NSF- Michael Littman: All right. Thanks very much.

40

00:07:21.700 --> 00:07:24.960

Chair- Rajeev Alur: Learning. Uh, goodbye. You're next.

41

00:07:25.450 --> 00:07:28.199

NSF- Gurdip Singh: Thank you. Thank you. Rajee. Um.

42

00:07:28.340 --> 00:07:56.010

NSF- Gurdip Singh: Good afternoon, and maybe good morning to some of you depending upon where you are. So i'm good Deep Sing. I'm the division director for the computer and network systems. So this is the division which has, uh, you know, the networking program distributed systems program and uh edge to cloud and cyber physical system. All of those programs are posted within within the Cms duration. So clearly, you know, the

00:07:56.420 --> 00:08:09.639

NSF- Gurdip Singh: this formal methods in the field program is very relevant to us. Formal methods have a great potential for impact and designing network systems. You know, in systems. We sort of look at

44

00:08:09.650 --> 00:08:18.350

NSF- Gurdip Singh: saying that the services that are offered by a network system should be available anywhere any time without interruption.

45

00:08:18.810 --> 00:08:27.119

NSF- Gurdip Singh: So the thing there is a stat here. We want these services to be available. We just we don't want just any service to be available, our service

46

00:08:27.130 --> 00:08:40.310

NSF- Gurdip Singh: some service to be available. We want the intended service. The thing that you know people want expecting from it which is reliable, trustworthy service, any place, any time without disruption? One hundred and fifty,

47

00:08:40.580 --> 00:09:10.269

NSF- Gurdip Singh: you know. It's almost as you know, we've talked about. You know these network system almost as the ability. If you go into a house, you know, or any place you know you, you look at water, you look at power. These are very reliable things that are available to you. We want iot services network services to be of similar nature, and this can only be made possible by sort of looking at designing them systematically, looking at all the formal methods that we have

48

00:09:10.360 --> 00:09:39.789

NSF- Gurdip Singh: software engineering techniques that we have. You know. We want bring them to bear in terms of designing these. You know our network systems. If you look at cyber physical systems, for instance, these are employed in many safety critical systems, whether it's your autonomous cars, smart building, operating the power grid, and these are critical infrastructure which require that the underlying network system be designed in a in a reliable, trustworthy manner.

49

00:09:39.850 --> 00:10:08.850

NSF- Gurdip Singh: You know, as you move also towards next generation systems. The thing about next iteration system is that they are going to be very, very heterogeneous. They are need to be billions of it. Devices need to be scalable, and these need to be dynamically

reconfigured, as failures are going to be very common. So all of these, again, you know, require this dynamic reconfiguration dealing with therogenity All of these requires all of the weight of the formal methods to bring in, whether you're defining

50

00:10:08.860 --> 00:10:36.589

NSF- Gurdip Singh: proper interfaces. Looking at services that can be composable. All of these, you know, are going to be started, you know. So i'm going to start with sort of taking, You know, researchers from formal methods, field from the systems field coming together and sort of looking at some basic fundamental principles. On how do we build these services which are secured by designs or foundational crack? And that's what you know. This

51

00:10:36.600 --> 00:10:54.250

NSF- Gurdip Singh: This this program was started. Sort of trying to bring these communities together, and we have seen in the past three to four years. You know, a lot of great projects have been funded which has brought the researchers from these different communities together. And you know, and this

52

00:10:54.260 --> 00:10:56.990

the program itself has now has a community on it so,

53

00:10:57.000 --> 00:11:14.510

NSF- Gurdip Singh: and which is great to see. And And so I look forward to. You know the next three to four years of this program whereby we are trying to build these systems, build them to scale. Look at the formal method. Techniques applied to scale, and also also trying to, you know,

54

00:11:14.520 --> 00:11:25.089

NSF- Gurdip Singh: inculcate this in the next generation of graduates that we have, that they also have the

55

00:11:25.100 --> 00:11:28.289

NSF- Gurdip Singh: knowledge of the formal methods to design and deliver these systems.

56

00:11:28.300 --> 00:11:36.609

NSF- Gurdip Singh: So again, thank you all for participating in this Ti meeting, and I look forward to the report that comes on.

57

00:11:36.670 --> 00:11:37.840 NSF- Gurdip Singh: Thanks.

58

00:11:45.480 --> 00:12:01.980

Chair- Rajeev Alur: So now we will have presentations by about the you know the discussion that they had this morning and ah, first it's going to be Ah, Elina and and ask about Ah,

59

00:12:01.990 --> 00:12:03.560

Chair- Rajeev Alur: human-centered computing.

60

00:12:17.370 --> 00:12:18.840 Chair- Rajeev Alur: Okay, Okay,

61

00:12:18.850 --> 00:12:23.109

Chair- Elena Glassman: I can share my screen. But I would prefer to present with with Roz.

62

00:12:23.120 --> 00:12:25.770

Chair- Rajeev Alur: Okay, that that's why it's. So.

63

00:12:30.570 --> 00:12:37.239

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: I'm: here. Yeah, i'm ready to go sanjit. How about like I share the screen, and you talk.

64

00:12:37.250 --> 00:12:38.419 Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: That's great.

65

00:12:38.430 --> 00:12:39.300

Chair- Jerry Zhu: Great.

66

00:12:39.460 --> 00:12:41.720

Chair- Jerry Zhu: So just give me one second

67

00:12:42.320 --> 00:12:44.740

Chair- Jerry Zhu: it's um, but I just

68

00:12:46.460 --> 00:12:47.590

Chair- Jerry Zhu: since

69

00:12:50.650 --> 00:13:06.570

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: all right perfect. So so Jerry and I co-chair The discussion session on machine learning we had about twenty to twenty, five participants, and we organized the

70

00:13:07.060 --> 00:13:13.569

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: the session around the four questions that we were sent from Nsf. Next slide, Jerry.

71

00:13:15.130 --> 00:13:31.880

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: So so. Ah, so here's the first one. Um! What are the success stories for of ah formal methods in machine learning, especially over the last decade. And what has been the impact in terms of advancing research and what's in particular the back-down industry.

72

00:13:31.890 --> 00:13:49.279

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um. So we try to identify particular topic areas where there's been lots of work on um applying formal methods to machine learning and vice versa. So one of these has been verification of neural networks, particularly feed-forward neural networks.

73

00:13:49.290 --> 00:14:07.920

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: And there's been ah a tremendous growth in the literature On this topic over the last five years especially, and it was pointed out that there have been scalability improvements in these tools, which are quite impressive. At least one order of magnitude per year, as observed in the

74

00:14:07.930 --> 00:14:26.849

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: the annual Vnn Comp uh competition that runs um going from somewhere from uh, just about three hundred neurons uh in the early benchmarks to to about one hundred million uh uh neurons and parameters in the latest competition. So that's that's been good progress.

75

00:14:26.860 --> 00:14:46.510

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Uh, there's actually going to be a more detailed report coming up. So that's something that we hope to be able to refer to in our uh right up on this um. There have been startups in the space of neural network verification. And yesterday we heard from uh at math works that there's a math box, toolbox, Matlab tool box

76

00:14:46.520 --> 00:14:47.819

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: for this as well.

77

00:14:47.990 --> 00:15:06.320

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um in particular. Ah! Certain ideas, especially those related to abstract interpretation, have not only um had an impact in tools, but they have also ah permeated into the Ml. Community. So there are people working from the Ml. Side who are using these these techniques.

78

00:15:06.330 --> 00:15:11.539

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um, in In trying to give guarantees about the neural network behavior.

79

00:15:11.880 --> 00:15:27.840

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um. And then there's also been new methods that that focus on improving applicability of neural network, verification tools to new problems. We are transformations of the network themselves to make them more aminable to to analysis.

80

00:15:27.870 --> 00:15:41.419

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: So that's on the verification of neural networks as components. We also looked at verification of machine learning systems which are, you know, bigger systems like autonomous vehicles that use uh machine learning models as components in them.

81

00:15:41.430 --> 00:15:49.430

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um. So in this area there's been a lot of progress on simulation-driven verification so-called technologic falsification methods.

82

00:15:49.440 --> 00:16:01.979

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Ah, this is now a scalable technology that's already being adopted by industry Ah, we have open source tools that have been already used in automotive and avionic contexts. Um, even by third-party users of their own.

00:16:01.990 --> 00:16:12.509

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: There's also been a progress on the other side of using machine learning to improve these methods themselves to improve the search in in temporal logic falsification.

84

00:16:12.520 --> 00:16:23.959

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: There's also interesting connections with the work and adversarial stress, testing methods where ideas from formal methods are being combined with ideas from adversarial testing.

85

00:16:24.180 --> 00:16:27.189

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: There's also been, if you look further in in

86

00:16:27.200 --> 00:16:42.829

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: in terms of full formal guarantees. There's been a nice progress on formal verification methods that are based on hybrid systems verification, such as the very sick tool from pen, and there's a broader competition That's Cps week

87

00:16:42.840 --> 00:16:49.740

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: that has been making good progress, and there are several tools already available that participate regularly in these competitions.

88

00:16:49.750 --> 00:16:50.939

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Our next slide.

89

00:16:52.180 --> 00:17:11.350

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um. There's also been progress on verification of reinforcement learning, particularly in incorporating logical specifications into Ah RI: Ah! Such as reward design, and so forth. Um, there's been a lot of interest in safe reinforcement learning. Ah, particularly we are the technique that's probably known as shielding.

90

00:17:11.359 --> 00:17:16.950

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: However, it has a limitation. That is, it's usually only applicable to known world models.

91

00:17:17.040 --> 00:17:23.699

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: And there's also been some progress on verifying RI: algorithms themselves. That is the trading algorithms that are used.

92

00:17:24.180 --> 00:17:40.690

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um! There's quite a bit of interest in on fairness, ah, and analysis of fairness and machine learning from both sides from the machine learning side and the formal methods. Community techniques have been developed for specific definitions of fairness.

93

00:17:40.700 --> 00:17:54.510

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: But ah! As many of us know. Ah! There are many flavors of fairness and the ah, and some debate about that. And so that's one of the reasons why uptake of these methods by the MI. Fairness community seems to be slow.

94

00:17:54.600 --> 00:18:08.629

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um! There's also been progress from the machine learning community slide and had the signal analysis of fairness. We we we identified this as a as an area where there's been nice intellectual progress, but perhaps not a level of impact that we would like

95

00:18:08.880 --> 00:18:25.160

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: um, and then on the side of, but verified or correct by construction, design of machine learning, models, and systems. There are several results on certified robustness for deep learning. We can certainly think of that as a formal methods approach.

96

00:18:25.170 --> 00:18:36.740

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um! There's been good progress on ah ideas emerging ideas from control with formal methods in machine learning. So things like neural control barrier functions, the up and off control, et cetera.

97

00:18:36.750 --> 00:18:44.470

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: And there's been progress on adversarial and counter-example guided training of machine learning models, some of this also being adopted by industry.

98

00:18:44.500 --> 00:18:45.860

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Okay, Next slide.

99

00:18:48.300 --> 00:19:05.149

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Okay, Then we also talked a bit about Ah, the use of machine learning for formal methods. This, of course, is a topic with a long track record. So there's been lots of work on topics like specification, mining and learning like the Invariance, and so on, that have definitely had a big impact in all the methods.

100

00:19:05.160 --> 00:19:19.900

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Ah, more recently there's been a progress on learning temporal logic from Time Series data which has been applied to a range of applications. Lots of work on program synthesis by our machine learning, particularly reinforcement learning.

101

00:19:19.930 --> 00:19:30.870

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Ah, the use of neural guidance, submission, learning, based guidance for solvers. This has been demonstrated for set-solving Qbf solving and empty-solving model counting and other

102

00:19:30.880 --> 00:19:43.989

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: uh software applications um. And this is sort of made progress in the academic sense, but it's yet to be seen if it becomes. Ah, something that state of the arts solve was routinely incorporated.

103

00:19:44.000 --> 00:19:59.670

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um. There's also a lot of interest in machine learning for design, particularly in electronic design automation and for things like interactive theorem proving. And of course, large-language models are now making a splash for both program synthesis and for

104

00:19:59.680 --> 00:20:05.180

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: uh, formal reasoning, and that it seems to be another big uh area of opportunity.

105

00:20:05.760 --> 00:20:06.990

Right?

106

00:20:07.000 --> 00:20:21.680

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Yes. So we talked to a went up of the challenges as well. Um! And we've identified several. Um. So you know specification of ah hard to formalize tasks like perception. Ah remains a challenge, and we need better ways to deal with that

107

00:20:21.690 --> 00:20:34.299

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: um. Machine learning systems often operate in highly uncertain, unknown environments, and we need to find ways to specify environments to use formal methods. So there's a There's a gap that needs to be bridge there in the right way.

108

00:20:34.340 --> 00:20:48.329

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Ah! Dealing with case scalability in the face of a growing size of deep neural networks. Just as we are able to handle one hundred million. We now have tens of billions of parameters to deal with. So there's always that gap

109

00:20:48.340 --> 00:21:01.899

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: We talked about design for verification. You know. How do you design machine learning models that make them more amenable for formal verification. For example, restrict yourself to say things like real use. Ah, so because the tools handle those better.

110

00:21:01.910 --> 00:21:11.869

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um Compositional reasoning is crucial for formal methods for scale. And yet we don't always have compositional specification because of Ah, for example, the item one on this list

111

00:21:12.150 --> 00:21:24.810

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um, We talked about how learning theory the community thinks in terms of probabilistic guarantees, like, in fact, learning. And we need to think about how to align probabilistic verification methods better with that

112

00:21:25.450 --> 00:21:38.230

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Ah, machine learning models are but a component of larger systems. And so there was a discussion about how we need more system level techniques to analyze how machine learning performs in context.

113

00:21:38.240 --> 00:21:52.890

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: And then we talked about large-language models and the particular challenges that they pose like huge tail the black box nature, because not everybody, has the the Webwall to train such a model. We also talked about how,

114

00:21:52.900 --> 00:22:02.969

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: for code synthesis might pose particular challenges because they are producing programs that may have characteristics that may be little different from those that are generated by humans.

115

00:22:03.040 --> 00:22:12.060

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: And we talked about many topics, and I just include a citation to a paper that touches upon some of these All right, next slide.

116

00:22:13.450 --> 00:22:28.009

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um. We also talked about logistical challenges, So the previous slide was more technical challenges on the logistical side. Ah! We talked about how not many people have deep enough knowledge of both areas, particularly machine learning people about formal methods.

117

00:22:28.020 --> 00:22:38.509

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um. Also the the culture issues. The most machine learning work focuses on achieving performance and less on safety and verification. And you know, how do we change that?

118

00:22:38.520 --> 00:22:55.230

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um! How do you convince the machine learning community of the value of formal methods in a spanner similar to some, how some other communities, like complete architecture and operating systems, seem to have. Ah started adopting level methods. We need benchmarks that both communities value.

119

00:22:55.240 --> 00:23:05.679

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: And then we talked a little bit about large language models, and how to model the processes that people use to design these for particular applications, and what formal methods can offer there,

120

00:23:06.130 --> 00:23:08.830

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: all right, next slide.

121

00:23:09.950 --> 00:23:18.160

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: So a bunch of recommendations for the future. More cross-fertilization with the learning theory community specifically.

122

00:23:18.350 --> 00:23:33.790

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Ah, maybe three common workshops that that alternate things like that. We need more quantitative and proven metrics that the machine learning community would care about, that The formal methods community becomes aware of and can can design the tools towards

123

00:23:33.800 --> 00:23:47.749

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: we'll talk about. You know, more advances in in modular approaches, and I will find more safety, critical applications of machine learning that the community starts to think about similar to how security has become an important driver for various computer systems.

124

00:23:47.800 --> 00:24:03.230

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um: More work on using formal methods, Methods for machine learning that's used in human centric systems, decision making about people, and we talked about accountability, and how this may be a connection between F. Mitf and the the Das program at Nsf:

125

00:24:03.360 --> 00:24:04.730 Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um.

126

00:24:05.090 --> 00:24:19.309

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Ah, following my third. It was pointed out that it's not just about giving guarantees of safety and ah, insecurity, and things like that. It also enables new paradigms for machine learning like the the interest in ah neuroscience.

127

00:24:19.340 --> 00:24:25.269

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: We also talked about Why, how we need better education of the machine learning community

128

00:24:25.750 --> 00:24:27.909

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: all right and final slide.

129

00:24:29.050 --> 00:24:41.299

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um, So how do you demonstrate value? Um, I think one clear way would be to integrate formal methods, tool better with machine learning software. And libraries. There's been some work on that. Um! But we need more.

130

00:24:41.390 --> 00:25:00.279

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Ah, we need demonstrators that really bring out the value of formal methods for Ml. Um, and the community has to think about those. Ah, we need to develop a whole system with you to design and analyze machine learning. I think machine learning people. Ah, very several machine learning people think just in terms of training their models.

131

00:25:00.290 --> 00:25:09.539

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: And I think one thing formal methods has to offer is a way to model the context in which the model is used to think about it more rigorously

132

00:25:09.590 --> 00:25:19.530

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: we should. It was pointed out that there is some community and machine learning. That's already very enthusiastic about forming methods, and we should leverage that and help that grow.

133

00:25:19.610 --> 00:25:37.439

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: Um, We need better ways to educate machine learning folks at about Fm. And maybe organize in a very directed way tutorials at conferences for machine learning people and creating benchmarks of in common interest. And finally it was pointed out that for

134

00:25:37.450 --> 00:25:46.530

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: giving guarantees about machine learning, perhaps the incentive structure needs to change about how these are designed, including shifts in the regulatory environment,

135

00:25:46.660 --> 00:25:49.929

Chair- Sanjit A. Seshia: all right, and I think that's it from Jerry and I,

136

00:26:09.890 --> 00:26:13.860

Chair- Rajeev Alur: and maybe the not the power of it. I can answer that.

137

00:26:16.110 --> 00:26:29.789

NSF- Nina Amla: So I think we're planning on making the recordings available. We'll try to make the slides from the industrial panel yesterday available If, if the panelists are willing to share that these slides, I think

138

00:26:29.800 --> 00:26:31.910

NSF- Nina Amla: I would say these are work, product.

139

00:26:32.190 --> 00:26:51.820

NSF- Nina Amla: I'm not sure. The chairs necessarily expect this to be sent out in the current form, but everything that's on the slides will be incorporated into a more polished paper, so that and that information will be available through the paper. Um, Is there anything to add Otherwise, I think,

140

00:26:51.920 --> 00:26:56.780

NSF- Pavithra Prabhakar: Yeah, that's it. I think we'll make the report available once it's ready.

141

00:26:59.790 --> 00:27:01.260

Chair- Rajeev Alur: Yeah, thanks. Yeah,

142

00:27:13.440 --> 00:27:17.580

Chair- Elena Glassman: thanks. I'm just going to share my screen real quick, and we'll get started.

143

00:27:19.200 --> 00:27:21.160

Chair- Elena Glassman: Can everyone see our slides?

144

00:27:21.630 --> 00:27:22.850

Chair- Rajeev Alur: Yes,

145

00:27:23.950 --> 00:27:27.110

Chair- Elena Glassman: all right. Um Ross will will kick us off.

146

00:27:27.300 --> 00:27:45.220

Chair- Ras Bodik: Um, Hi! I hope people can hear me um. So uh, we get the small session number of attendees, but a really good discussion uh it took us a little bit of time to decide if you can slip to the next slide. But

147

00:27:45.230 --> 00:28:01.970

Chair- Ras Bodik: Hcc. Actually is. And and uh, we decided that anything that incorporates the concerns for humans into the design and par writing or evaluation, such as formative and evaluation user studies would be considered human-centered computing

148

00:28:01.980 --> 00:28:17.990

Chair- Ras Bodik: that includes you know a special interactive programming systems for non experts. But they really also developer tools, because programmers are humans, too. And so you would include here things such as academic design of programming languages that are easier to use

149

00:28:18.000 --> 00:28:26.829

Chair- Ras Bodik: in terms of recent successful systems. There are many recent examples that are either commercially available, such as flashf or they are deployed in industry.

150

00:28:26.840 --> 00:28:46.650

Chair- Ras Bodik: They used by engineers or data scientists, or they're influential in the research field and the areas image. These are our range from data processing which could be collection or angling visualization. But then also all the way to classical programming. Where these tools help program developers through your ide ideas,

151

00:28:46.660 --> 00:28:49.639

Chair- Ras Bodik: the successful ideas are

152

00:28:49.650 --> 00:29:14.960

Chair- Ras Bodik: uh viewing artifacts of various kinds as programs. We define semantics that are executable, and therefore you can reason about the verify that test them, synthesize them from data. And so on. These artifacts include cat designs, legal contacts, but also MI. Models access policies. They can look like rules, but ultimately there are programs and therefore subject to formal methods benefits.

153

00:29:14.970 --> 00:29:24.490

Chair- Ras Bodik: The second idea would be in particular, The humans and designers of tools cannot rely on new interaction models.

154

00:29:24.500 --> 00:29:44.220

Chair- Ras Bodik: For example, in various tools, the idea of manipulating program output has come up. And so you manipulate the output of the program, say you say, cad design, and from that the system reasons backwards and modifies the program that represents that design, or perhaps the input to the program which parameterizes it.

155

00:29:44.230 --> 00:29:59.669

Chair- Ras Bodik: And the third idea was neurosymatic programming, which essentially extends uh synthesis with a neural reasoning, and allows two things to accelerate the search, and it accepts informal specifications. For example,

156

00:30:00.030 --> 00:30:27.749

Chair- Ras Bodik: uh, then, the impact on the various Uh fields. So in advancing formal methods, the incorporation of human concerns led to formative studies which, like to new specification formats which are led to new formal problems, new algorithms, and so on, which hopefully will be generalizable beyond particular interactive tools. And the second was the pressure to generate various more either of counter examples and other.

157

00:30:28.290 --> 00:30:40.289

Chair- Ras Bodik: Ah, the impact in the field are primarily, I would say, the new interaction models that tool designers can rely on, and also auto completion, and I meant to say, output manipulation there.

158

00:30:50.730 --> 00:31:15.199

Chair- Ras Bodik: Um, The impact on industry we mentioned we saw some talks in the industrial session in addition to the policy analyzer data, handling tools and more broadly, Broaderings participation in computing by tools that allow known experts to actually uh do computing work by programming. So you to stargate it for people who are not professional programs,

159

00:31:16.210 --> 00:31:19.590

Chair- Ras Bodik: and I know you can take them next slide or next tool.

160

00:31:20.390 --> 00:31:33.930

Chair- Elena Glassman: Thanks, Roz. So the challenges of actually doing formal methods in this, in humans that are computing is that, first of all, it's not really clear, often to the form of It's not not experts in front of methods. Whether or not

161

00:31:33.940 --> 00:31:57.499

Chair- Elena Glassman: verification or synthesis could be applied um in their situation, or or what the power of it is at all, they maybe realize that they could make use of it. Another challenge is Dsl design So many fields. Don't have good Dsls yet, and someone needs to design them, whether it's someone who's a format of this expert. Or maybe, if we give sufficient tools

162

00:31:57.640 --> 00:32:02.989

Chair- Elena Glassman: to the non experts, once they realized that this power is useful to them.

163

00:32:03.410 --> 00:32:25.550

Chair- Elena Glassman: Another is specification design. So users have this deep domain expertise that shapes what specifications they can provide that are most natural to them that make sense within their own mental model of the problem. But we also have to consider the computational challenges right designing. The Dsl. Can be more like an art than a science where

164

00:32:25.560 --> 00:32:32.689

Chair- Elena Glassman: the algorithm still has to work within certain bounds of space and time.

165

00:32:32.700 --> 00:32:57.080

Chair- Elena Glassman: Finally, the challenge of prototyping and evaluation. So we know, in most human-centered computing activities that if we don't bring the user in as early and often as possible, we may be setting ourselves up to have invested a lot of time and energy in something that is ultimately not particularly useful in the field.

166

00:32:57.260 --> 00:33:14.480

Chair- Elena Glassman: So how can we better involve users in the design process throughout, and what experimental techniques can be best borrowed or invented that are appropriate for evaluating rural methods based approaches specifically

167

00:33:14.490 --> 00:33:17.550

Chair- Elena Glassman: in various Hdc Contexts. The

00:33:19.020 --> 00:33:25.620

Chair- Elena Glassman: So our recommendations for the future are to both support a adoption and make prototyping easier.

169

00:33:25.630 --> 00:33:43.029

Chair- Elena Glassman: So field experts should not need to be oral methods, experts to leverage formal methods. Um. But also, if oral methods, experts are doing some deployment, it'd be great to develop better tools and techniques for supporting them in building something for users in new fields.

170

00:33:43.690 --> 00:34:03.390

Chair- Elena Glassman: We also suggest the development of, and and the moment of foundations and tools for semi-automatic design and evaluation of abstractions and dsls the more easily one can play with Dsls and evaluate them perhaps the more quickly we will get to effective ones.

171

00:34:03.560 --> 00:34:32.960

Chair- Elena Glassman: Finally, notion of of Ah, this conversational interactivity that's back and forth between the human and the computer, where the system may provide proposals that need to be presented in such a way that the human can understand, and perhaps refine their own intent and or refine how they're expressing their specifications to gradually refine and revise the the possible programs down to the one that is most appropriate for the situation.

172

00:34:32.969 --> 00:34:42.560

Chair- Elena Glassman: Um, and also hopefully in the process, give the user a more formal understanding of what that program programs would do

173

00:34:42.620 --> 00:34:44.060

Chair- Elena Glassman: by tea. Ross.

174

00:34:48.580 --> 00:34:59.299

Chair- Ras Bodik: The final question was about demonstrating the value, and I don't think there is a better way than building successful systems that I used by people in other fields.

175

00:34:59.310 --> 00:35:13.720

Chair- Ras Bodik: And whether it is data, science, design, machine learning, You know, I, the identification. Those areas where formal methods might be useful is part of the research that we should be doing part of the various meetings and and summer schools, and such

176

00:35:15.650 --> 00:35:18.889

Chair- Ras Bodik: one of them could be smart homes, and so on

177

00:35:18.900 --> 00:35:32.410

Chair- Ras Bodik: uh how to do it uh it. It also just said that we should meet the users where they are, and understand their workflow so that they build tools that actually fit into how they think about their work, and a fit, together with other tools that they use.

178

00:35:32.420 --> 00:35:41.910

Chair- Ras Bodik: We should also do it by open sourcing libraries for building synthesizers, but available in popular languages and

179

00:35:41.920 --> 00:36:02.409

Chair- Ras Bodik: uh broad, and also the notion of four months of this can be included in it things that are kind of traditionally part of machine learning. So it's also the for programming languages, such as Dsl. Design and implementation, and therefore sort of have a broader scope. That income us uh campus is more experienced and more applications,

180

00:36:02.420 --> 00:36:29.560

Chair- Ras Bodik: and one more slide we have, and to buy an adoption Uh, it would be nice to develop libraries. That territory are easy to use rather than requiring a Phd. In formal methods for parsing. It used to be, you know, decades ago difficult to use, because the algorithm, is very pretty. But now you could pick up parsing in a couple of hours and start using it, even without perhaps taking a compiler class. So can we do that for some

181

00:36:29.570 --> 00:36:48.159

Chair- Ras Bodik: narrow tools in formal methods. Um! Then making the tools executable in web browser so that they are easier to play with, but also the presence in the web browser will make it easier to develop particularly interactive tools that they rely on synthesis, program, demonstration, verification, and such.

182

00:36:48.170 --> 00:37:03.360

Chair- Ras Bodik: And then bootstap collaboration between field experience and formal methods, Experts through summer schools and some sessions where they actually spend some time together. The goal could be to formulate new foremost problems, benchmarks, tasks, and so on.

183

00:37:03.370 --> 00:37:08.320

Chair- Ras Bodik: So that's the broader summary of the session. We are happy to take questions if there are,

184

00:37:34.540 --> 00:37:37.730

Chair- Rajeev Alur: and it feels like you know what it should have.

185

00:37:50.270 --> 00:37:51.379

Chair- Rajeev Alur: So

186

00:37:51.700 --> 00:37:53.490

Chair- Rajeev Alur: with them. Then, just like them.

187

00:37:53.500 --> 00:37:54.290

Yeah,

188

00:37:54.360 --> 00:38:05.899

Chair- Ras Bodik: yeah, I think we wouldn't disagree. I think somebody wrote a code in our dog uh that. Uh, you know, programmers are human, too. And so other researchers, considering how they work.

189

00:38:27.300 --> 00:38:30.240

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: Sure, I think, Dan, do you want to share slides, and we can talk

190

00:38:48.230 --> 00:38:50.379

Chair- Deian Stefan: all right. Um! Are we good to go?

191

00:38:50.990 --> 00:39:08.509

Chair- Deian Stefan: So we we didn't present uh, uh, I'll put together a set of slides. But we did our have a somewhat, I think. Okay, organized documents that i'm attempting to shared here.

Let me see if there's an easier way to make it a full screen. But I think it's okay, I says, maybe to um, Okay, so um.

192

00:39:08.520 --> 00:39:25.769

Chair- Deian Stefan: Let's let's get started, so I guess like on the success stories. Um, we. We were thinking pretty broadly. Ah kind of as a systems people. We like the systems to think about systems more generally, and we think there's like quite a few ah places where for myth it's like, really shine. So in in photography, for example, like

193

00:39:25.780 --> 00:39:37.459

Chair- Deian Stefan: Ah, the hackle-star project has kind of been used and is is used in both chrome and firefox it's widely deployed. It's used by basically all of us right now

194

00:39:37.530 --> 00:40:05.209

Chair- Deian Stefan: as as as as as a core kind of crypto, primitive uh, but that this is taken on like in other other places as well, So aws is verified. For example, the constant time properties over much of their computer routines. Uh the design of Tls. One point, three itself was actually closely uh done with the formal methods and the academic community more properly right. So a lot of clients or bugs were actually found in the design of Tls. One point three, so that we can, you know, actually ship a thing that is way more secure than

195

00:40:05.220 --> 00:40:11.999

Chair- Deian Stefan: Ah, the the the thing that we did ship before. And then, like you know, there were like dozens and dozens of papers like pointing out bugs that

196

00:40:12.050 --> 00:40:30.619

Chair- Deian Stefan: can be used by attackers. So basically snoop out all our network traffic on the security front. We have things like firefox, and are actually using, like principal formal method techniques like type-based information, flow control to sandbox um different third-party libraries. Um as a general

197

00:40:30.700 --> 00:41:00.150

Chair- Deian Stefan: uh intermediate language uh has itself been designed with formal methods from the start. Right? So it has a formal specification. It has semantics. They are also now mechanically verified. Interpreters are being used as reference interpreters for wasn't itself. So this is used at the micro alliance, which is kind of down during umbrella, composed of large, the industry and a few academic groups. Uh on driving the direction of Boston. Um. There is also like innovation on actually building verified compilers for Web Assembly. Uh,

198

00:41:00.160 --> 00:41:11.919

Chair- Deian Stefan: they're verifiers that are being used today by these companies to actually verify the safety of of what we call compliance code. So there's kind of a lot of things going on in the Security space. And just because of another example here and do

199

00:41:11.930 --> 00:41:37.450

Chair- Deian Stefan: um there, wasn't in front, but uh on the like language and compiler innovation. So there's the uh bug work that actually found tons of bugs and ebpf, and it resulted in new backends for risk. Five that didn't exist before that are like used in in the Linux kernels, like more generally like this community actually has had a tight duration into the Linux kernel, and it's like widely respected. And basically I think any bugs reported have had a huge impact on both

200

00:41:37.460 --> 00:42:07.409

Chair- Deian Stefan: Linux and to everything else that doesn't work on a compiler front. We have things going back to concert, but there's also like new work, right? So there's the work on translation validation, basically being the default and Lbm: So it's finding bugs and and these compilers, and it wasn't filming that much earlier crane lift right there and actually starting to do verified lurings. So we're seeing quite a bit of adoption in in industry, in across the board. So just to like avoid taking way too much time. We've also seen quite a bit on distributed systems. Right?

201

00:42:07.420 --> 00:42:25.669

Chair- Deian Stefan: Tla plus is, I think, that we all hear about that's actually used at places like Vmware and Amazon. It's uh being used to verify Protocols model Checking is kind of like a a a default thing that we're seeing in all these spaces uh in the blockchain world kind of like. If we think of them as distributed systems right like

202

00:42:25.680 --> 00:42:42.719

Chair- Deian Stefan: like all grand, they are actually using machine-check protocols um contract verification in the blockchain world as the default. If it's, not, then we're seeing hundreds and millions of dollars being lost right. So there was a huge motivation for for the verification here. But um, we will kind of

203

00:42:42.800 --> 00:43:09.239

Chair- Deian Stefan: uh looking beyond that. We have uh operating systems embedded systems. And um. Here again we have, like some classic examples like Sel Four. But there's also

like the new work on the um uh the secure Kbm work uh at at Yale, in Columbia. Uh. And there's work like ever parts that is used in the Linux and uh in the windows kernel to just plug in verify parser, so that we're like not getting popped by by the C. Uh. C partners.

204

00:43:09.460 --> 00:43:38.269

Chair- Deian Stefan: Um, I will let the embedded systems folks talk about Csd embedded systems work, but there's also like a lot of work there. It's like verification based testing and things like that are becoming um outside of kind of the technical direct industry impact. We've also just seen, like a huge rise on communities adopting verification, right? So like the Osdi, the sos of the world. The systems communities like now, like half the tracks, are a certification building verified system. So this is pretty awesome, and we we've seen kind of different people. A lot of

205

00:43:38.280 --> 00:43:40.120

Chair- Deian Stefan: different groups

206

00:43:40.130 --> 00:44:01.390

Chair- Deian Stefan: produce Phd students, and more generally like engineers that have a blend of verification systems. Right? So this is pretty awesome, and also kind of shows that, like maybe, like the fmitf community, doesn't even need to have that requirement like. Have two pis, one systems, one uh verification, because Now we have a whole new generation of of folks that are actually like a blend of the two. So it's kind of

207

00:44:01.400 --> 00:44:08.520

Chair- Deian Stefan: on the programming language side, right like rust is the thing, like all the cool kids are doing it to and rust it. It's

208

00:44:08.710 --> 00:44:32.279

Chair- Deian Stefan: hugely like influenced by the problem methods community. And It's just taking over a lot of like different communities. And this is also kind of exciting, because there's a lot of work on verifying rust. And this means that we can get towards a place where we can actually build verified systems on top of for us, and like, if we verify parts of us itself. Now we have ant and verified systems, and i'll. Lastly, note that there's like a lot of

209

00:44:32.290 --> 00:44:52.070

Chair- Deian Stefan: things happening in industry right so like Amazon, has over two hundred people working on verification. Uh, there's lots of work on verification at Google at Microsoft that much of the different places in the industry. So I guess we're seeing we're maybe like at an

interesting, exciting time in informal Methods committee. But i'll i'll pass that off to to Nicolai for

210

00:44:52.080 --> 00:44:53.130

Chair- Deian Stefan: for the weather,

211

00:44:53.140 --> 00:45:22.290

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: sure. So So i'll talk a little bit about the challenges that we see in oral methods, both in industry and in research. Ah, one topic that we all agreed on that was lacking is education and producing enough people that can do verification

212

00:45:22.300 --> 00:45:36.209

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: figure out how to do verification in the next round, or build the next version of tools. Ah, but we have a lot of agreement that we need verification to be taught much more foundationally, like algorithms or operating systems. Classes are now, so it would be

213

00:45:36.220 --> 00:46:04.989

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: great to have a broadly available courseware. The online classes that people can take or word from.

214

00:46:05.300 --> 00:46:13.639

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: So that's one category of challenges is having enough people and having enough education, the other is

215

00:46:13.650 --> 00:46:41.219

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: being able to do verification incrementally. There was a broad agreement that there was no way that we could possibly rewrite the world in rust, or call for your favorite framework. So it's important to be able to verify only a part of your kernel or part of your browser, and

216

00:46:41.230 --> 00:46:56.750

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: absolutely absolutely not correct. But still there is now being able to do partial verification. So, figuring out how to apply for all methods in this context is an important challenge. How do you deal with existing large systems, where you might not even fully understand

217

00:46:56.760 --> 00:47:04.290

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: the behavior or even the behavior of an Api that you're programming against other than some heuristic broad strokes.

218

00:47:04.300 --> 00:47:07.290

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: So it seems like an important challenge going forward.

219

00:47:07.300 --> 00:47:14.059

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: Another is actually figuring out how to compose verification of large systems that have many challenging aspects.

220

00:47:14.200 --> 00:47:18.030

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: So there's heartbrels in their own right that continue to exist like

221

00:47:18.040 --> 00:47:46.799

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: it's hard to verify convert code. It's hard to reason about distributed systems. It's hard to reason about confidentiality or weak memory models

222

00:47:46.810 --> 00:47:48.469

how to put them together.

223

00:47:49.240 --> 00:48:14.000

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: The related challenge is, How do you actually design for verification? It's often much easier to uh build systems that are verifiable from scratch rather than having to apply verification retroactively. So it'll be useful to understand what advice we could give to make systems verifiable upfront. We had a number of examples of this test, where verification was much more powerful and applicable when designed.

224

00:48:15.380 --> 00:48:30.669

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: And then we you have the usual hand ringing that, you know tools are often a challenge. We'd love to have better tools. There's too many different tools. They don't interoperate, et cetera. The documentation is not so great, et cetera. Ah, but that that's our perennials challenge.

225

00:48:32.040 --> 00:48:48.049

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: So let me also now talk a little bit about where we see the field going forward, and what the big challenges are. So we try to articulate a couple of different kinds of recommendations or future directions. Some of them are in the form of brand challenges.

226

00:48:48.060 --> 00:49:17.320

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: One grand challenge, we see, is proving some really large encompassing systems. So, for example, proving that Whatsapp is correct and secure and will be available despite the tax. So it requires putting together a lot of different kinds of horrible reasoning all the way from the user interface to isolation of different components, networking and distributed systems, encryption, protocols, availability designs, storage, crash, handling all these things. This will require us to

227

00:49:17.330 --> 00:49:37.269

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: design components of the system, like the operating system, distributed systems, libraries, et cetera, in a way that are proposable that provide specifications that snap together somehow, and interoperate to allow us to prove this big theory about the overall application, and so on, involves lots of interesting research questions about how to actually build this off.

228

00:49:37.830 --> 00:49:57.790

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: Another grand challenge and sort of opportunity is really to see a webassembly as an important building blog that seems to be really on a track to become the standard way to do software fault isolation in the world. But I think there is a big opportunity, and also a grand challenge to apply verification to make this a very robust and

229

00:49:57.800 --> 00:50:17.359

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: rounded for formally specified and verified kind of an isolation. And I think there's a lot of good things going for simply that dovetail with verification. But we really need to push to make that happen, because if Webassembly osifies in the next five years without formal verification impact, or

230

00:50:17.370 --> 00:50:20.250

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: it might be much harder to make it happen later.

231

00:50:21.150 --> 00:50:38.660

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: Another kind of grand challenge is really to come up with precise specs. One particularly acute place where this matters is precisely specifying hardware

interfaces, so that we can build secure systems on top without attacks like spectra and meltdown, and so on, and be

232

00:50:38.790 --> 00:50:42.990

with architects to really pin down what secure hardware should look like. We

233

00:50:43.360 --> 00:51:09.029

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: more broadly, we thought it was important for the progress of formal verification to have widely agreed upon specifications for fairly basic things like hardware Isas, but also Api's for binary linking and interaction um systems, interfaces like Wazi for posix like interaction specs for what a file system looks like, what networking primitives look like, and so on.

234

00:51:09.040 --> 00:51:30.210

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: There are some prior work that might be good starting points. But this was widely viewed as an important enabling technology, if it existed so good to have, for example, executable specifications that we can test and really good for these specifications to be broadly compatible with a wide range of tools,

235

00:51:31.190 --> 00:51:39.669

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: and then more in the aspirational category of how we think systems might be built much better in the future. If formal methods are successful, we thought that

236

00:51:39.970 --> 00:52:05.110

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: world of education we really has the potential to allow our systems to be built in a much more extensible way. If we knew that the extensions and modules and changes were safe, or could probably have good performance. So we didn't need to test them or benchmark them, or evaluate carefully, So that seemed like a a powerful enabling technology that might change the way we build systems. And

237

00:52:05.120 --> 00:52:13.109

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: oddly, we thought that verification really has the possibility of allowing us to experiment and develop and evolve systems much faster.

238

00:52:13.120 --> 00:52:26.410

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: We heard from a couple of people about real industrial systems where the core part of it was held back for a decade, because this was such an important piece of code that

239

00:52:26.420 --> 00:52:36.110

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: no one really thought they understood it well enough to have a low enough risk of changing it. There. Wasn't enough confidence that they could change it without bringing down the whole system.

240

00:52:36.410 --> 00:52:54.949

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: But we also have had examples where formal verification could come to the rescue and provide a very strong assurance that it was actually okay to make a change to this very four part of the system design and enable performance optimizations that otherwise would have just been rejected for risk reasons.

241

00:52:54.960 --> 00:53:11.739

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: Um! Those are those. Those are the big topics. We also thought that a good direction forward would be to address all those earlier challenges we talked about about incremental verification, having better education, materials having better tools, ah, and so on. But that sort of seems to go without saying

242

00:53:13.400 --> 00:53:16.240

Chair- Nickolai Zeldovich: so. Back to that. Um, we'll touch on the last. Yeah.

243

00:53:16.250 --> 00:53:40.419

Chair- Deian Stefan: Um. So uh the last minute. This is kind of like it is maybe stuff that, if I was already saying is like, How do we actually communicate a bunch of this, and demonstrate the value of our methods to it, to to different communities, or just to to broader kind of uh community outside of even the Cs. Um. So teams things like the blockchain community have done really Well, where verification is the norm. Uh, we. A huge part of this, we think, is because

244

00:53:40.430 --> 00:54:09.159

Chair- Deian Stefan: the cost of not in verification is it's really high right? So this is a case where, like you really want to do this, because, like the alternative is, is maybe not uh such a good outcome, right? That it affects the bottom line. But just on the read there are the communities where this is actually true, but verification hasn't actually take off and taken off as much. And um, they're interesting bits to to, maybe even like, learn from that this community and getting

it like it. Once this thing becomes the norm, then that people just think of it! And if you don't the verification you're that we're right now,

245

00:54:09.310 --> 00:54:17.709

Chair- Deian Stefan: so it may be like a different way to try to get towards this or to try to bridge this gap is to first try to communicate value right? So

246

00:54:17.720 --> 00:54:47.389

Chair- Deian Stefan: one of the things that we heard is developers who don't normally work on a formal methods. They might actually want to, uh learn about formal methods to start reaching out to the problem methods. It seems once they actually have a good understanding of a clear value. So some of those values are things that uh niche like kind of mentioned with, You know that code existing for a decade without being touched, and it wasn't touched because right like it was like on a risk not worth taking. But the verification, folks managed to actually write a spec for it, and then actually improve this piece of

247

00:54:47.400 --> 00:55:15.140

Chair- Deian Stefan: without actually getting up on performance in secure. So we've seen this actually in in multiple cases. There's some cases, for example, like in Firefox, where we would probably be able to write about this. But I think in general having these kinds of examples from industry uh, and trying to like, actually communicate them in either uh industry, experience, papers, or like uh acm uh articles of just written by by people from like kind of positions to communicate effectively to

248

00:55:15.150 --> 00:55:45.010

Chair- Deian Stefan: to to Pm. In in industry. We then kind of trickled out towards our students would be a really big deal towards getting this. Um, There's also just like things that we can do, maybe like without revealing sensitive information from four companies to informal methods. So one one is just trying to bridge a communication gap basically like actually having articles that talk about high profile bugs and how these bugs were found. So these are things that bugs are things that people, I think, have started to actually understand that it's a stuff that we have to deal with, and actually

249

00:55:45.020 --> 00:56:12.810

Chair- Deian Stefan: to explain that, like a bunch of these bugs are actually found with tools developed from the original kind of formal message community. So it's like fuzzing, symbolic execution and things like that. But basically but again, in terms of concepts that people are familiar with and in terms of things that they actually have to deal with on a daily basis, right from browsers to uh, you know, autonomous cars. So basically saying something about the

describing how a car might actually uh care about from methods and why you want that in the software development practice, both of your

250

00:56:12.820 --> 00:56:18.000

Chair- Deian Stefan: a car manufacturer could be a big deal and a thing that the broader audience would care about.

251

00:56:18.010 --> 00:56:46.530

Chair- Deian Stefan: Um. And then I guess the last two bits are again the in industry experience papers, and however, we actually try to like, accommodate for these would be amazing, because this would actually also help us, as like the academics, get back to like the students, or even in our classes, like the interested in in problem. That is, when we're trying to teach about systems and systems like so actually having people saying from these companies say that we do care about these bits, even if it means just how you think about building systems where it would really go right.

252

00:56:46.540 --> 00:57:15.779

Chair- Deian Stefan: Um! And the last bit is really about uh having the meetings or workshops. So I think this uh was a particularly nice uh example for a bunch of us where we actually got to sit up, talk with each other about like the problems that we see. But I more generally actually having meetings where we can involve students industry. Speakers had poly tracks, pretty, awesome and sharing ideas, and in particular showing ideas that I otherwise we wouldn't have it written for from different places, because that could both influence our research direction, but also how we communicate ideas uh back

253

00:57:15.980 --> 00:57:29.890

Chair- Deian Stefan: uh, we are on defense between In-person and not in person. There's pros and cons. I don't think we're going to solve that problem. That seems like a problem that we don't have a good spec for but um it, I I guess we like to meet each other so that that's maybe a good way to get more things going.

254

00:57:31.960 --> 00:57:33.249

Chair- Deian Stefan: That's all we have,

255

00:57:33.860 --> 00:57:36.020

Chair- Deian Stefan: so i'll I'll stop sharing it.

00:57:37.410 --> 00:57:39.289

Chair- Rajeev Alur: I thank you, Nicolae.

257

00:57:39.300 --> 00:57:40.290

Chair- Rajeev Alur: Yes.

258

00:57:40.410 --> 00:57:43.450

Chair- Rajeev Alur: Does anyone in the audience have questions,

259

00:58:01.420 --> 00:58:17.309

Chair- Rajeev Alur: but that's you know. I think this is one area where you can be at the point to the success of, because you know, that's

260

00:58:21.290 --> 00:58:24.540

Chair- Rajeev Alur: okay. Let's move to the uh next. Uh,

261

00:58:37.100 --> 00:58:49.009

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: all right. I assume you all can see the slides and hear me. Ali and I are going to talk about what we discussed in network breakout sessions, that

262

00:58:49.020 --> 00:58:57.379

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: sessions is a plural, not not a typo. They were about, I think, twenty, four across tall

263

00:58:57.390 --> 00:59:22.389

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: um, And so we decided to split our discussions into into two groups. Uh just so. Have, you know, Not have too much congestion in in one place. Um, So we're gonna we're gonna split time. But we did try to kind of merge uh the the key sentiments that came out of um both both the sessions, but just the numbers numbers of people should give you an idea how much energy there is, and generally uh applying formal methods to uh networking

264

00:59:22.400 --> 00:59:24.999

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: in there. Uh, to just start with kind of

265

00:59:25.010 --> 00:59:49.369

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: success Stories, I think to kind of maybe dovetailing off of Pallora Jeeves come in there. It is remarkable, frankly, I think the gap between network verification or synthesis becoming like a phrase in academia to its application in industry is just like I've never. I've never seen anything like that take off before from from academia to

266

00:59:49.540 --> 01:00:06.499

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: to to industrial impact for those of you. Now, where essentially, I think, one form of network verification focuses on classical networks like you know, do the control plane verification for network configuration, or do verification for the real pains which is the forwarding state that emerges in the network.

267

01:00:06.510 --> 01:00:27.849

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: That technology now is essentially table states. Ah! To run a large ah tier one network you will not run without it. There's so much kind of um Ah! Awareness of the value it brings, and and result of that also is there's a lot of kind of known risks now to not do that. So all the all the hyper-caters, you know, Google, Microsoft,

268

01:00:27.860 --> 01:00:35.320

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: even kind of Facebook, Amazon, and everybody's kind of published papers and doing and sharing their work with the community around around what they're doing.

269

01:00:35.480 --> 01:00:56.229

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: There's A. There's a few startups. I was involved in a kind of one of them that are taking this technology, and now trying to make it available to not just to tier ones, but to also essentially the the tier two so large e-commerce providers. And and so forth. So this has been, you know, basically you know, unmitigating uh success from from from many ways. You

270

01:00:56.240 --> 01:01:21.690

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: um The second point, I think, just staying within kind of hydroscalers, I think, and some of you again may not know that, uh that three of the four large Cloud providers or Google Google Amazon provide essentially verification under the covers. When you build virtual networks, using their portal uh by teasing buttons or setting some scripts. Uh, you can actually uh prove properties about. You know we can talk about your network,

271

01:01:21.700 --> 01:01:28.840

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: Neha, and they had touched on it yesterday when she talked about network reachability analyzers. But you know,

272

01:01:28.850 --> 01:01:56.730

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: Google has a similar tool, and or it has recently also released, released a tool like that. So these are the companies that are just not just using it internally, but also making it available to to the users as well. So as another success story i'll mention, I think you can say like whether the roots of Sdn are important methods or not, that we can kind of debate back and forth. But one thing to share is like, I think, the formal methods as applied to some of these

273

01:01:56.740 --> 01:02:01.750

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: core problems that emerge in there like consistent updates like you know. How do you update

274

01:02:01.760 --> 01:02:18.359

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: a thousand router network in consistent manner, such that intermediate states can be reasoned about. So that type of thinking came out of, You know, work that is now essentially included in in all Sdn controllers. And then, of course, like

275

01:02:18.460 --> 01:02:25.490

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: networks, big and small, like using Sdn in various ways. So that technology essentially has has a lot of impact there.

276

01:02:25.570 --> 01:02:55.370

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: Um, as another major success story has been essentially you know uh design and verification, and as a modeling language. P. Four. I think this is one where you know a low-level language was being designed, but linguistics and formal methods. People were there, kind of in in ground zero, and that reflects in the design of the language. And you know, A. D four now is fast becoming, not just the programming substrate for a program that would interface cards and switches. But uh,

277

01:02:55.380 --> 01:03:08.760

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: it's also becoming a modeling language, so to speak like Lnb and style in there, so it becomes a basis to kind of formally verify what your network is going to do at a very lowest of levels of packet forwarding in there.

278

01:03:09.440 --> 01:03:37.890

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: Um, The other thing I would say, like, you know, these are kind of we just picked out, you know, a few very concrete kind of big rings, but I would say like from from my perspective, I think the last two are the biggest rains like, you know, I think, the mindset of network engineers and networking researchers because of this collaboration between formal methods people, and I think the mindset has now changed. I think, in your networking. It's. These things are like, you know, um natural concepts to many of us like you know, designing Dsl's

279

01:03:37.900 --> 01:04:07.100

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: uh doing verification, providing some guarantees of certain sorts which you know, a dozen years ago people wouldn't have thought in those terms. Um! A lot of systems people would have thought in those terms. But now it's there, and that gets reflected in the way you kind of think about the way we design the next generation of systems, but it also gets reflected in, you know. Ah, people, we kind of graduate because I think all these industrial successes I was talking about. They wouldn't have happened, you know, had, like, you know, a genre of a generation of students that i'm gone and made this happen.

280

01:04:07.110 --> 01:04:19.960

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: So I think that's that's also key to remember. I think the change in thinking that has happened from this line of work I would say Nsf. Should get a lot of credit for has led to these things that would essentially will have bigger impact going forward.

281

01:04:21.390 --> 01:04:50.769

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: Um! So let's talk about, I think. Um, so. It's not all you know as anything kind of uh ambitious. It's not always success. I think we did talk about challenges as well. Um, I talked about a lot of them, but I thought we just focus on some numbers uh some small number that kept coming up. Uh, and I think the first one uh, or actually, maybe a lot of these, I think, have have their paradigms in what other speakers have gone. Uh, and i'm doing. The other speakers will come after me

282

01:04:50.780 --> 01:05:02.069

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: lack of data and specification. It's a really acute problem in networking, because a lot of times what you want to verify like properties of traffic properties of configs, the data is not there.

283

01:05:02.080 --> 01:05:19.430

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: Ah! In cases where the data is there, let's say you know, a Tcp implementation in Linux a A formal spec is not there, and so forth. So these are some of the

herdes. Essentially, you know, before you can actually start applying for our methods, you need to kind of ah somehow overcome these challenges to do. Ah, any impactful work

284

01:05:20.370 --> 01:05:48.660

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: beyond data, I think. Um a key, I would say, like, given my experience. Ah, both in industrial research as well as kind. Doing a startup, I would say, like a lot of verification technology now. Ah, the technology itself, the way we kind of think of it. The core is ready. Ah, but really, what holds it back now is like, Can we make it usable in some meaningful way? Ah! Can we develop it in a way that automatically plugs into whatever a network engineer is doing. You know there's no standard

285

01:05:48.670 --> 01:06:00.829

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: Ci, for instance, phone networking where you can just plug this in, and then it will work. So you need to kind of think through what it means for a network engineer to essentially use verification

286

01:06:00.840 --> 01:06:13.719

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: and different people kind of look at it, but it's not something. I think that as a research community gets focused on a lot. But I think but for the next level of impact. That's something to we to get better at

287

01:06:13.780 --> 01:06:15.020 Chair- Ratul Mahajan: um

288

01:06:15.190 --> 01:06:44.980

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: third point here, I think, and um. Others also mentioned this I It is difficult to uh get get students who are trained in both of things uh gone through, you know, and takes, you know, one year, two years to take a networking student, and teach them our formal methods, and take a form that is going to teach them what they're working uh. So I think that that pipeline is not there from undergrads uh level. Uh, we would want you to work in this area. I don't have the right expertise, and that takes time, and that just flows close, everything down as well

289

01:06:44.990 --> 01:06:48.960

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: comes up a challenging a lot of us that that work in this space.

290

01:06:49.760 --> 01:07:05.940

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: Finally, I would say, like, unlike the other two challenges, I think one thing I would say is the way network verification is progress thus far is that the trick, if any of we figured out is how to model our domain in a way that it becomes tractable.

291

01:07:05.950 --> 01:07:35.329

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: Uh using tools. Let's say you know S. And D. Solver, or binding decision, diagram or interactive tour approver our model, checking and so on. But now I think the the next, when it comes to technology development, I think now we are hitting a point where standard techniques and for methods are. We are kind of running out of that, I think, to the next generation of challenges coming up on like if you want to actually more scale than we have today, or we want to validate launch properties in terms of challenges. I think we're getting getting the limits uh limited

292

01:07:35.340 --> 01:07:53.009

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: of that. The final thing is at the bottom of the slide. Here i'd say you can't get a bunch of academics together in a room and just discuss problems without them proposing solutions. So we didn't talk about that. As well take over that, I think, for lack of data and specifications. I think

293

01:07:53.020 --> 01:08:08.960

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: this came up earlier, too, like, you know, we should think about how to have standard benchmarks and competitions. This is where we think like Nsf. Can actually play a lot of coordinate efforts across institutions to help us to help us collectively develop

294

01:08:08.970 --> 01:08:14.969

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: uh either data sources or benchmarks, or maybe just host competitions in there

295

01:08:14.980 --> 01:08:40.779

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: with respect to usability of verification, tools, and everything else. I think we think some some common framework would really help. And there's already, you know, this work in that direction that's happening in Academia, I think, being involved in things like the effort, like Zen which Nick, that I mentioned there and at at Princeton. I think Dave Walker and others did, and be. Where can we actually lift the level of abstraction? And the hope is that if we do that

296

01:08:40.790 --> 01:08:49.550

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: verification becomes easier there, So not everybody has to think too deeply about what verification is doing, and they can maybe think about domain and coding.

297

01:08:49.670 --> 01:09:17.320

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: Um, what's your intent barrier? I think. Um, Some of us have been teaching and creating one of courses on network verification synthesis. One way to kind of amplify, that is, to standardize around like a course that can be taught at other institutions, too, where you know where somebody may not be present or just to kind of build on each other's work and and have a standard kind of you know, if nothing else, a standard language. Talk about these concepts, so we can teach on the next generation of students coming in

298

01:09:17.880 --> 01:09:45.130

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: a final point to think of the scale of quantity in properties. I think we thought it would be good to actually now start looping in, like you know, folks in other domains like optimization, theory or control systems, people where I think, which is where the next, I think next set of problems that folks are failing will come from that, you know essentially not just S. And D solvers or home methods as we think of them. But the other communities doing whose techniques could come to bear in this domain.

299

01:09:45.729 --> 01:09:48.469

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: With that i'll hand it off to

300

01:09:49.689 --> 01:09:51.690

Chair- Aarti Gupta: okay. So touching on

301

01:09:51.700 --> 01:10:18.470

Chair- Aarti Gupta: the next question, which was the future of formal methods in this field aid, or beyond, and identifying some key areas that could benefit most from formal methods. So going from sort of very specific from where we are currency. Ah, for example, in programmable data place where something like before is used. Some of the work that is being done is to develop security solutions,

302

01:10:18.480 --> 01:10:35.589

Chair- Aarti Gupta: but the adversarial and threat models are not are pretty weak right now, so it would be nice to benefit more from the work than insecurities done in formal methods, in order to make those models, as well as the solutions better.

01:10:35.600 --> 01:10:37.490 Chair- Aarti Gupta: Another um

304

01:10:37.500 --> 01:11:06.270

Chair- Aarti Gupta: sort of direction in the same context of programmable data plays is that often because of the limited hardware resources in these programmable switches. They have to resort to approximation of data structures like bloom filters, and so on. Ah! Or counts and sketches. But the theoretical guarantees for these data structures are actually much more pessimistic than practical implementations show. So it would be nice to perhaps

305

01:11:06.280 --> 01:11:12.990

Chair- Aarti Gupta: utilize some formal method techniques for specification of performance, for specification of

306

01:11:13.000 --> 01:11:25.449

Chair- Aarti Gupta: accuracy, and then developing solutions to estimate them. Or, again, with some kind of rigorous analysis, to give guarantees not just the theoretical worst case guarantees

307

01:11:25.460 --> 01:11:54.709

Chair- Aarti Gupta: uh moving along. We uh, we've talked about the success of updates network updates, and what happens in practice is in the industry that there's a series of steps taken, and there's kind of like a protocol ban, which is often seen also in other fields like uh, you know, somebody in our session mentioned how it's done in the military, or how it's done in wet lab signs that uh and so there's some check that is done before the

308

01:11:54.720 --> 01:12:16.729

Chair- Aarti Gupta: some check that is done after, but it still feels rather ad hawk, and it's really what the network administrator is doing. So It would be nice to design a language we all love Dsl's and provide a rigorous semantics, so one could actually reason about what these ah update scripts are doing.

309

01:12:17.280 --> 01:12:33.060

Chair- Aarti Gupta: Ah, one of the you know. Again, if you look at the successes, so part a lot of has been done in the context of where they were open systems. But some of the more proprietary stuff it would be nice to. Ah, there's a

01:12:33.070 --> 01:13:02.620

Chair- Aarti Gupta: opportunity to also interact more with standards, committees, such as letf, because specifications so formal methods is not just verification. It's also specification, and there's huge value in having the specifications be right. They are consistent. They are unambiguous. They are rigorous. So I think that's one of the untapped potential. Some of the people talked about it, and they are working with Ah, for example, looking at the quick

311

01:13:02.630 --> 01:13:09.590

Chair- Aarti Gupta: to call, and so on. But it would be nice to see more work where formula methods could be applied there.

312

01:13:09.600 --> 01:13:20.739

Chair- Aarti Gupta: One of the things that was mentioned a lot in our sessions was, how the next generation of networks are not just going to be about networks alone. They already are

313

01:13:20.750 --> 01:13:34.419

Chair- Aarti Gupta: working with wireless cloud, and there are many cross-cutting concerns, such as virtualization having to worry about cyber attacks. And so it would be nice to basically see

314

01:13:34.430 --> 01:13:49.119

Chair- Aarti Gupta: think about those in relationship to perhaps like the systems Ah, areas where you are talking about, not just the network component, but the bigger system, the bigger. Ah, ah! The thing that it is part of

315

01:13:49.130 --> 01:14:18.249

Chair- Aarti Gupta: likewise uh networks, you know, are an important component in cyber physical systems, in applications such as autonomous driving. So it would be really nice to reach out to some of the work going on in these adjacent fields, because that's where the next step that works about in terms of the advances we felt are needed in F. In order to address some of these challenges. Certainly, in order to do a quantitative, reasoning,

316

01:14:18.750 --> 01:14:22.870

Chair- Aarti Gupta: probabilistic reasoning, some kind of stochastic modeling,

317

01:14:23.260 --> 01:14:44.610

Chair- Aarti Gupta: especially when we go to cyber physical systems, then adoption of some control theoretic techniques, and also for performance and estimation and other things. Other reasons it would be useful to ah borrow from the rich Ah! Topics and a wide variety of techniques available in optimizations

318

01:14:45.000 --> 01:14:46.469 Chair- Aarti Gupta: moving on

319

01:14:47.540 --> 01:14:49.110 Chair- Aarti Gupta: the next slide.

320

01:14:49.260 --> 01:15:06.709

Chair- Aarti Gupta: So, in terms of demonstrating value. How can we communicate and demonstrate the value within and beyond traditional Cs audiences so ruther mentioned that the big success has been certainly with hyper scalars. But I think

321

01:15:06.720 --> 01:15:23.270

Chair- Aarti Gupta: once we get these formal ah method, tools, or network verification tools to become more usable, I think. Then there's an opportunity to also engage more broadly, not only with hyper-scalers, but but with other industries;

322

01:15:23.280 --> 01:15:51.440

Chair- Aarti Gupta: and we would again, like it, has been mentioned by other chairs we'd like to communicate to communities that use networks as a component, because ah, certainly in the ah conferences, like Nsdi sitcom. Ah, it's very well understood the value that formal methods bring to network gratification and network design. But maybe outside of the community, people who use networks, but may not be aware that the networks

323

01:15:51.450 --> 01:16:13.600

Chair- Aarti Gupta: a community is already adopting and using formal methods. It would be nice to communicate that to other communities as well. And one way we could do that Ah is to publish accessible case studies again, maybe in Csm. Articles or other articles that have more broad audience, not just our conference proceedings.

324

01:16:13.610 --> 01:16:18.500

Chair- Aarti Gupta: One other suggestion that came up is that

325

01:16:18.510 --> 01:16:47.989

Chair- Aarti Gupta: I hope it was clear from a lot of the industry Session talks yesterday as well. That formal methods in networking has had a huge impact in industry practice, especially in saving, perhaps I mean Yes, whenever there's an outage there's a big news flash that there was an outed, and it costs so many millions of billions of dollars. But it would be, you know, nobody publicizes when it doesn't happen, or something got safe, so it would be good to figure out how to measure that kind

326

01:16:48.000 --> 01:17:04.290

Chair- Aarti Gupta: of impact, and whether the amount that is being saved in the industry is tenx, one hundred x thousand nets. What's the order of magnitude in terms of what perhaps Nsf. And other government agencies are putting into uh such programs.

327

01:17:04.300 --> 01:17:14.009

Chair- Aarti Gupta: It would be really nice to have handy something to quote for the cost, say to the industry, for example, for us to write future proposals in this space,

328

01:17:14.510 --> 01:17:43.210

Chair- Aarti Gupta: and then, you know, going beyond networks. Some of the other applications and domains that we discussed were, of course, you know, following the money or following domains where there's a lot of repetitive procedures, things like healthcare, pharmaceuticals algorithmic training. All of these seem again, you know, hugely important from the point of your code financial. Ah, gain as well as you know safety critical.

329

01:17:43.220 --> 01:17:45.269

Chair- Aarti Gupta: So

330

01:17:45.940 --> 01:18:02.380

Chair- Aarti Gupta: it would be nice to see more formal methods. In some of these there are, you know, isolated things, but in terms of ah, a bigger and wider ah effort in these domains. Uh, we felt it would be nice to see that.

331

01:18:02.390 --> 01:18:06.939

Chair- Aarti Gupta: And let me just add a very quick note at the very end, the last slide

332

01:18:07.000 --> 01:18:35.340

Chair- Aarti Gupta: uh one of the other things. Uh, you know we all have this fi meeting, but it was great, and thanks to Nsf. And the organizers, but it also felt like we had very little time for interaction with other Pis, especially in the lightning talks. Uh, it was great to see what those were, but there were there was hardly any time for questions, and also it would be nice to exchange ideas across the different fields. We are getting some of that in this last session, which is great.

333

01:18:35.350 --> 01:18:58.490

Chair- Aarti Gupta: Um, but would be nice to maybe have more time to chat with some of the Pis, especially for the networks people in the Cbs domain, or people who are looking at autonomous driving intelligence systems, and so on. So, thanks again to everyone. But we'd love to find. Figure out a good way of interacting more with the Pis and across our.

334

01:18:58.500 --> 01:18:59.639 Chair- Aarti Gupta: Thank you,

335

01:19:03.940 --> 01:19:06.840

Chair- Rajeev Alur: Thanks. At the end of it

336

01:19:30.110 --> 01:19:34.900

Chair- Rajeev Alur: so. Yet the last talk is by,

337

01:19:34.910 --> 01:19:37.880

Chair- Rajeev Alur: and make me, I think, make me a

338

01:19:54.890 --> 01:20:05.459

Chair- Sayan Mitra: all right, assuming you can hear me. Okay. So yes, this was the session on embedded systems,

339

01:20:05.470 --> 01:20:22.389

Chair- Sayan Mitra: a moderated in the discussions. So this group was a bit more diverse. Um. So we had members from the cyber physical systems community from the high performance, computing community autonomous systems as well as Ah New Michael Computation.

340

01:20:22.400 --> 01:20:38.489

Chair- Sayan Mitra: So, just to give you a sense of the members who were participating in this discussion. Let me show you the list of speakers from yesterday. During the discussion we had several other members.

341

01:20:38.500 --> 01:20:41.659

Chair- Sayan Mitra: The group was about twenty people on the average.

342

01:20:43.050 --> 01:20:53.680

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Yeah. So we started out discussing the successes of formal methods in embedded systems, and there are quite a few

343

01:20:53.690 --> 01:21:07.559

Chair- Sayan Mitra: the successes to talk about. We note a few over here. So, for example, twenty years ago, there was very little awareness of formal methods at mathematics which is

344

01:21:07.570 --> 01:21:32.910

Chair- Sayan Mitra: develop. This is a very popular network simuling tool. And now there is, as you heard from shares, talk yesterday. They have several groups using formal methods and notably specification guided. Testing is becoming a standard practice. Ah! There is also the simulink ah design verifier Ah! Which is coming directly from this formal methods community.

345

01:21:33.210 --> 01:21:44.339

Chair- Sayan Mitra: And similarly, in automotive companies like Ford and Toyota. A decade ago there was very little formal methods being used,

346

01:21:44.350 --> 01:22:01.289

Chair- Sayan Mitra: and now methods are getting transitioned into the industry. There is a code generation from models. There is verification of controllers, and also, of course, alongside traditional types of testing.

347

01:22:01.300 --> 01:22:03.929 Chair- Sayan Mitra: Uh, one of the

348

01:22:03.950 --> 01:22:20.250

Chair- Sayan Mitra: the things that came out of this type of collaboration was this: our brain benchmark from several researchers at Toyota, which actually also had a big impact on academic research, informal verification of control. Systems.

349

01:22:21.010 --> 01:22:39.160

Chair- Sayan Mitra: The other area that was mentioned is in high-performance computing. For example, polynomial analysis has now enabled extraction of unseen parallelism in loops, and these techniques are becoming a part of the standard compiler Architecture, for example, through Llvm

350

01:22:39.640 --> 01:22:58.569

Chair- Sayan Mitra: now. Ah, the other Ah, broad point that came out of this discussion was that um type systems have become a big success story, and we didn't have specific companies or start-ups here. But the broad point was that

351

01:22:58.580 --> 01:23:18.049

Chair- Sayan Mitra: um web-scale system development has shifted the the appetite of developers in using formal methods, not not just for bug finding, but also during the development process, to to avoid a whole family of bugs.

352

01:23:18.060 --> 01:23:29.350

Chair- Sayan Mitra: So things like typescript are becoming very popular, and similar ideas are making their way into other programming languages like Java and Russ.

353

01:23:30.590 --> 01:23:48.790

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Now, the other thing that was interesting to note, and this was also going to come up later on in my presentation is that traditionally formal verification has been used as a way of

354

01:23:48.800 --> 01:24:02.889

Chair- Sayan Mitra: gaining correctness or finding bugs. But there is another emerging usage of formal techniques which is worth paying attention to, and that is in managing complexity of systems

355

01:24:02.900 --> 01:24:20.220

Chair- Sayan Mitra: as a software, and hardware gets developed in inappropriate and getting deployed faster, and they are being built by large teams and changing environments, managing the interfaces and the components is a huge challenge,

356

01:24:20.230 --> 01:24:28.520

Chair- Sayan Mitra: and in many cases this is a new opportunity for applying or developing new form of,

357

01:24:28.530 --> 01:24:48.720

Chair- Sayan Mitra: and this is an interesting contrast with the traditional usage of formal methods where you know a very large development cycle, and you have to worry about failure of a single component, and a lot of energy goes into that type of verification that traditional models say Nasa or the chip design industry.

358

01:24:50.130 --> 01:25:03.009

Chair- Sayan Mitra: And of course we also talked about successes in transitioning Phd students into the industry. And this is not just the matter of

359

01:25:03.020 --> 01:25:16.099

Chair- Sayan Mitra: ah workforce development. But for truly transitioning formal technology in the industry. This is important, because usually selling a formal verification tool is very hard.

360

01:25:16.110 --> 01:25:28.909

Chair- Sayan Mitra: And so the knowledge front so really has to happen through people and Bhd students. When they go off to work in a certain company they often cede new ideas or groups

361

01:25:28.920 --> 01:25:37.269

Chair- Sayan Mitra: for developing a formal technique. So this has been a way of transitioning technology into the industry as well.

362

01:25:38.380 --> 01:25:53.630

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Ok. So, while on the topic of education, some of us in this discussion group, very, very pleased to find out about this software foundations project. I didn't know about it, And this is like an excellent resource

01:25:53.640 --> 01:26:11.309

Chair- Sayan Mitra: for education. So I put the link here. You can find out more about it If you, Haven't looked at this resource for learning about formal foundations or programming languages and verification, and there's a lot of ongoing development around this project.

364

01:26:13.250 --> 01:26:29.589

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Okay, So those were some of the success stories. And then we talked about challenges, and broadly we talked about two classes of challenges, and the first one is the the usual one. So lack of benchmark,

365

01:26:29.600 --> 01:26:32.590

Chair- Sayan Mitra: particularly for embedded systems

366

01:26:32.600 --> 01:26:52.909

Chair- Sayan Mitra: and control systems. It's a difficult to find benchmarks, real World benchmarks. I mentioned the power train control system in the earliest slide as a as a real exception, and this has a straight jacketed research. Quite a bit. So academics work on tortoise problems

367

01:26:52.920 --> 01:26:56.020

Chair- Sayan Mitra: and difficult to scale these problems.

368

01:26:56.030 --> 01:27:24.669

Chair- Sayan Mitra: And, on the other hand, it's difficult for industrial researchers to share real world code or real-world ah controllers for for guiding the research, and particularly this was noted in the case of embedded system, security. And while there are some small scale benchmarks a large scale. So sea level benchmarks are absolutely seen as a barrier to advancing research.

369

01:27:25.400 --> 01:27:42.370

Chair- Sayan Mitra: We also talked about ways of overcoming this challenge, and one of the idea here was to actually create benchmarks based on educational avenues that are available. For example,

370

01:27:42.380 --> 01:27:57.639

Chair- Sayan Mitra: hardware, designing, hardware design is becoming more and more open source driven risk by. There are tools now for going all the way from very log to layout and implementation of

371

01:27:57.650 --> 01:28:13.739

Chair- Sayan Mitra: microprocessors almost entirely through open source tools, and this provides an avenue to create these pipelines, perhaps through classroom activities and research projects

372

01:28:13.750 --> 01:28:27.899

Chair- Sayan Mitra: which will then translate into actual circuits and designs for advancing verification. Research. And so it was noted that there were several open source frameworks for doing these types of things.

373

01:28:28.700 --> 01:28:33.410

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Another similar endeavor is this autonomous racing competition?

374

01:28:33.440 --> 01:28:49.280

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Ah! Whereby the project is gaining inputs from the community in the form of controller code. And then we can use this controller code to as target for formal Verification research.

375

01:28:49.770 --> 01:29:08.610

Chair- Sayan Mitra: So that's the usual sort of complain we have in finding benchmarks. Then the discussion about challenges became quite interesting, and we talked about this issue of fragmentation of languages. And

376

01:29:08.620 --> 01:29:30.870

Chair- Sayan Mitra: so the issue here is that there are many parallel efforts in different formalisms and different mathematical languages which are potentially overlapping and duplicating some of the efforts, for example, formalization of certain types of arithmetic, kit and pbs and f-star so forth.

377

01:29:30.880 --> 01:29:36.559

Chair- Sayan Mitra: A potentially, you know, duplicating some effort

378

01:29:37.000 --> 01:29:44.939

Chair- Sayan Mitra: it is extremely difficult to port proofs across these different formalism,

379

01:29:45.170 --> 01:29:56.299

Chair- Sayan Mitra: and that's staying within the world of interactive. You're improving. Now, if you try to go across the peer improvers and model checkers, this challenge becomes even harder.

380

01:29:56.730 --> 01:30:16.410

Chair- Sayan Mitra: So this is a serious issue which slows down research. Perhaps the smt world is less affected by it, thanks to all the work that has gone into creating standardized libraries and interfaces. But this is a serious challenge in pushing forward

381

01:30:16.420 --> 01:30:18.799

Chair- Sayan Mitra: basic ideas, informal methods.

382

01:30:19.140 --> 01:30:32.719

Chair- Sayan Mitra: A similar problem was noted also at the level of languages. For example, plcs in control systems use certain languages which are hard to formalize and connect with existing formal.

383

01:30:33.780 --> 01:30:50.979

Chair- Sayan Mitra: So again, this fragmentation problem we discussed some challenges as some of the solutions to this problem, and the one that really stood out was this idea of using critical mass, leveraging critical mass

384

01:30:50.990 --> 01:31:04.739

Chair- Sayan Mitra: uh, perhaps sometimes at the expense of expressive power, or some of the other things that we would be nice to have for purely from the formal verification point of view.

385

01:31:04.820 --> 01:31:23.479

Chair- Sayan Mitra: So A. C in point. Here is widespread adoption of C. For example, it has many limitations, but people still use it because there are so many popular and good libraries available. Similarly, in the world of your improving

386

01:31:23.490 --> 01:31:38.759

Chair- Sayan Mitra: um clock has a large user base at this point, and many tools supporting libraries, and so it has become the dominant framework for developing theorem upper-based technologies.

387

01:31:38.880 --> 01:31:54.779

Chair- Sayan Mitra: So this has worked for some of the proof assistance, and it is because there has been this long-standing support from government agencies For example, in the case of

388

01:31:54.790 --> 01:32:05.539

Chair- Sayan Mitra: this has been possible because of thirty plus years of support of the French Government. It was noted that there was a similar team trying to do

389

01:32:05.550 --> 01:32:16.790

Chair- Sayan Mitra: a common framework based on neutral. The theor improving system decades ago in the Us. But did not gain as much funding. So that was limiting.

390

01:32:17.360 --> 01:32:26.799

Chair- Sayan Mitra: And so further, talking about this idea of building broad ecosystems for software development and languages.

391

01:32:26.860 --> 01:32:46.520

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Ah, someone pointed out this Nsf Pros program, which is precisely for supporting software development projects. But you know, to build a large ecosystem of interacting tools three to four years may not be enough. So here we have some

392

01:32:46.530 --> 01:33:05.809

Chair- Sayan Mitra: um social social challenges like how to support a broad-based tool development which itself take time and money, but also takes ah users to to gain this type of critical mass that is necessary to bridge across these different silos within form of verification.

393

01:33:06.760 --> 01:33:12.059

Chair- Sayan Mitra: So the finally, with on this topic of fragmentation, it was noted that sometimes

394

01:33:12.070 --> 01:33:35.110

Chair- Sayan Mitra: agmentation may not be avoidable, and maybe even necessary. For example, if you want to develop techniques which are specific to a domain or exploit the structures that are seen in a particular domain, then loving and domain-specific technology may be useful for verification,

395

01:33:35.120 --> 01:33:48.470

Chair- Sayan Mitra: and so one has to balance this trade off between being super domain specific and versus siloed and disconnected from other other technologies.

396

01:33:50.030 --> 01:34:01.209

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Okay recommendations for the future. We agreed that keeping the all proposal broad, helped

397

01:34:01.290 --> 01:34:08.890

Chair- Sayan Mitra: help to extend the audience beyond the traditional form formal methods. Community

398

01:34:09.040 --> 01:34:28.669

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Um. The other recommendation was to move towards code level verification, particular in the context of cyber physical systems. We saw a big successes of Ah, deploying verification at the code level and the Ci pipelines in the binary docks yesterday.

399

01:34:29.050 --> 01:34:53.939

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Um, so. And of course, even in the case of control systems, Ultimately, what is getting deployed is code, and sometimes it's hard for end users and developers to convert their code to models, and they may not have the time and the bandwidth to do that. So techniques that can work directly on the code potentially have bigger users.

400

01:34:54.010 --> 01:34:55.230 Chair- Sayan Mitra: Um!

401

01:34:55.340 --> 01:35:03.210

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Then, bridging this gap between code and model. It may not be just a one-step solution,

402

01:35:03.220 --> 01:35:18.180

Chair- Sayan Mitra: but other interfaces and layers may be necessary for example, generating code from some higher-level languages to lower level languages to things that are closer to floating point specifications.

403

01:35:18.190 --> 01:35:26.790

Chair- Sayan Mitra: So there might be some work needed to close these gaps, using, say, functional modeling languages.

404

01:35:28.330 --> 01:35:40.599

Chair- Sayan Mitra: The next thing we talked about was going back to this idea of managing complexity, of fast-changing and large-scale systems

405

01:35:40.650 --> 01:35:48.179

Chair- Sayan Mitra: here the problem is different from the traditional scalability issues

406

01:35:48.190 --> 01:36:00.860

Chair- Sayan Mitra: that formal verification typically addresses so developing compositional techniques and techniques for incremental verification, exploiting monotonicity in models

407

01:36:00.880 --> 01:36:12.579

Chair- Sayan Mitra: sort of focusing energy on these types of problems can potentially help make a bigger impact in the field.

408

01:36:13.620 --> 01:36:14.840 Chair- Sayan Mitra: Um,

409

01:36:15.150 --> 01:36:25.159

Chair- Sayan Mitra: and then support for community building tools. We already talked about it in the previous slide as a way of bridging these different silos.

410

01:36:25.320 --> 01:36:44.040

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Um. And one way that we can gain more traction in newer fields is to talk about formal methods as basically better testing or to say, Oh, if your system is too hard to simulate,

01:36:44.050 --> 01:36:49.739

Chair- Sayan Mitra: then here is a method that you can use to to cover more cases.

412

01:36:52.280 --> 01:37:00.650

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Ok. So, finally, talking about the different ways to demonstrate value of formal methods,

413

01:37:00.680 --> 01:37:09.960

Chair- Sayan Mitra: we started off with a discussion on improving awareness of the capabilities of formal methods. Yes, it was recognized that

414

01:37:10.040 --> 01:37:27.010

Chair- Sayan Mitra: although smt solvers and saxophones have become very, very powerful, many of our undergraduates still do not know about these tools. It is unfortunate. It was noted that in this Hpc community, for example, where formal methods is making interesting impact,

415

01:37:27.020 --> 01:37:45.729

Chair- Sayan Mitra: one of the biggest meetings recently there was only one workshop of one workshop, maybe, which we touched upon formal methods. So there are wide swains of computer science, even within which the capabilities and the latest developments of formal methods are not known, so we could do a better job of

416

01:37:45.740 --> 01:37:51.249

Chair- Sayan Mitra: outreach and connecting with the untapped parts of this market.

417

01:37:52.010 --> 01:37:53.240

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Um.

418

01:37:53.960 --> 01:38:18.490

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Some in their group were of the view that you know each of these fields have to go through their own intel emptive moments, so to speak, to realize that they need formal that another variation of that is these disaster stories, right? So once some ah really bad thing happens because of the software bug, then the community wakes up, and Ah adopt some of these techniques,

419

01:38:18.500 --> 01:38:21.999

Chair- Sayan Mitra: and we have hard ecos of this from the other talks as well today.

420

01:38:22.670 --> 01:38:34.339

Chair- Sayan Mitra: But then we can be more proactive and develop tools that are easy to use without necessarily understanding all the underpinnings of formal methods and the theory.

421

01:38:34.350 --> 01:38:44.760

Chair- Sayan Mitra: So, for example, from the machine learning community tensorflow and automatic sensation has become so easy that undergrads can even use it without

422

01:38:44.770 --> 01:38:59.250

Chair- Sayan Mitra: totally understanding the details of Gpu programming and the math behind, always so pushing to make formal methods tools usable is definitely a way forward.

423

01:39:00.020 --> 01:39:14.759

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Um! And then again going back to this idea that beyond just correctness and finding Bugs formal methods as a way of managing complexity might have a broader appeal.

424

01:39:14.770 --> 01:39:30.129

Chair- Sayan Mitra: So work on api's and specifications for interfaces of different large-scale models reasoning about directness at that level, but as a way to sort of write the court tales of

425

01:39:30.140 --> 01:39:35.199

Chair- Sayan Mitra: new capabilities that these techniques can provide.

426

01:39:37.370 --> 01:40:02.869

Chair- Sayan Mitra: And on the same topic. You know, new capabilities can be in the form of synthesis and automation. So, and under the hood we might. This community might recognize that ultimately synthesis and verification might be very closely related. But it might be much easier to sell these as new capabilities than ah desktop findings.

427

01:40:04.160 --> 01:40:23.120

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Ah! And finally Ah goes without saying that sustain funding for ah formal methods, for example, through programs like formal methods and field, can help convince Ah departments where currently there is no presence of formal methods

428

01:40:23.130 --> 01:40:37.300

Chair- Sayan Mitra: to recruit faculty in this area, and also to create strategic workshops which can help connect the gap or bridge to newer fields where formal methods can have.

429

01:40:37.790 --> 01:40:42.699

Chair- Sayan Mitra: So I think those are the main points. Happy to take questions if there are any.

430

01:40:45.870 --> 01:40:48.029

Chair- Rajeev Alur: Well, thank you, Shannon:

431

01:40:48.830 --> 01:40:51.590

Chair- Rajeev Alur: Yeah, it's kind of one last question,

432

01:40:51.910 --> 01:40:53.510 Chair- Rajeev Alur: Yeah, please,

433

01:40:54.420 --> 01:40:55.820 Chair- Rajeev Alur: for them,

434

01:40:56.680 --> 01:40:58.080

Chair- Rajeev Alur: since two thousand and eight

435

01:41:08.850 --> 01:41:10.059

Chair- Rajeev Alur: and

436

01:41:10.110 --> 01:41:13.800

Chair- Rajeev Alur: some more standard courses would help. Yeah,

437

01:41:35.430 --> 01:41:49.979

Chair- Rajeev Alur: you know, co-authored by the you know the organizers and the session chairs, and this would summarize the findings of the workshop, and that would be made publicly available. And this hopefully

438

01:42:05.100 --> 01:42:07.939

Chair- Rajeev Alur: that, uh, we collectively

439

01:42:41.700 --> 01:42:44.590

Chair- Rajeev Alur: follow up with an email on the next steps, and then

440

01:42:44.600 --> 01:42:47.129

Chair- Rajeev Alur: go this to, you know. Come up with some

441

01:42:53.100 --> 01:43:00.980

Chair- Rajeev Alur: you to all the Nsf. Post here, particularly in

442

01:43:10.930 --> 01:43:13.910

Nina Amla: Rajiv that there's a question.

443

01:43:16.640 --> 01:43:33.269

Chair- Rajeev Alur: Yeah. I was about to write an answer to that question. Yeah. So the question is with the most recent failures of autonomous systems. For example, Tesla over accidents.

444

01:43:33.280 --> 01:43:41.110

Chair- Sayan Mitra: I have. We already arrived at the evive bug moment for autonomous systems, And so

445

01:43:41.770 --> 01:43:52.070

Chair- Sayan Mitra: okay, do formal methods have a way to say something interesting about these types of problems in autonomous systems already or not.

446

01:43:54.820 --> 01:43:57.380

Chair- Sayan Mitra: So my take is that

447

01:43:57.440 --> 01:44:13.120

Chair- Sayan Mitra: we have some ideas about why these bugs occurred, for example, in this Uber accident. The problem is widely pinned on perception. The problem was with the computer region system, but

448

01:44:13.850 --> 01:44:27.519

Chair- Sayan Mitra: ultimately we have to reason about the whole system, not just about the individual components, and, as you heard from the Ai part of the discussion, specifying correctness of perception systems is very difficult,

449

01:44:27.580 --> 01:44:35.650

Chair- Sayan Mitra: but that doesn't mean we can just not address this problem, right? So

450

01:44:35.800 --> 01:44:55.380

Chair- Sayan Mitra: I think these failures indicate that formal methods will definitely have an important role to play, particularly when the regulatory environment changes a little bit. But at the same time it's a little early to say that currently we have the tools to address

451

01:44:55.700 --> 01:44:57.849

Chair- Sayan Mitra: all of these things already.

452

01:44:58.250 --> 01:45:00.199

Chair- Sayan Mitra: Others might want to add more to that.

453

01:45:02.100 --> 01:45:03.889

Chair- Rajeev Alur: He actually that big,

454

01:45:30.920 --> 01:45:33.089

Chair- Rajeev Alur: so that it's a kind of firm.

455

01:45:59.790 --> 01:46:16.880

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: If if you're having a discussion on it, and quickly add, like what what really kind of happened in networking, I think, when large accidents happen, like, I think you look for what tools you have, and oftentimes like. Answer is not necessarily a form of methods to like for a lot of networking world

01:46:16.890 --> 01:46:46.879

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: the tool would be, Let's automate the network more. Let's take someone out of the just simple automation of work. Then you say, Okay, if it happens again, once you've done that it happens again. We're like, okay. Maybe I should be, you know, pulling some more discipline around how I configure my network. And so, once you've done that again. Then what happened? So I think like you, you so that once you run out of super bullets like that, or what people think it's, you know. Put it then. You kind of you know the form method. Let's try that now. So different industries are in different place.

457

01:46:46.890 --> 01:46:53.439

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: So when an accident happens, there's a belief in like, you know, whatever's easy, achievable as an option. You pick that first,

458

01:46:53.520 --> 01:47:08.029

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: and it does suddenly adds value, whether it solves a problem or not. So I think, like networking, at least of hyper skaters, I think. Ah, we ran out of those types of things at that point you have to get. Then you have to kind of bite the bullet, and you start using formal methods.

459

01:47:08.840 --> 01:47:14.050

Chair- Ratul Mahajan: Just that simple design, discipline and other automation tricks. Basically don't work anymore.

460

01:47:28.270 --> 01:47:29.189 Chair- Rajeev Alur: A good thanks.

461

01:47:29.200 --> 01:47:31.509

Chair- Rajeev Alur: There any any more questions?

462

01:47:33.370 --> 01:47:35.429 Chair- Rajeev Alur: No, he has not.

463

01:47:37.000 --> 01:47:54.939

Chair- Rajeev Alur: Yeah. So there are no more questions. Um, yeah, you know, we would like to thank Reggie first, for, you know, for carrying this whole Ti meeting very nicely, and, you know, putting together

464

01:47:54.950 --> 01:48:11.620

NSF- Pavithra Prabhakar: a great team of session chairs, who handled this very beautifully, and the report outs were great. Um, you know, very informative of information, and we look forward to more details. But but you know, great job, everybody

465

01:48:11.630 --> 01:48:16.960

NSF- Pavithra Prabhakar: running the meetings with all the attendees, and finally, you know,

466

01:48:16.970 --> 01:48:43.579

NSF- Pavithra Prabhakar: for everybody, for attending and making this uh really um interactive discussions during sessions and so on. So we are grateful to all the ti's for uh for making it to this meeting. Um, you know it, despite some people couldn't make it. We had a very good presence and great discussion. So um, yeah, we We look forward to more interactions and the complete report in the coming days.

467

01:48:43.590 --> 01:48:46.739

NSF- Pavithra Prabhakar: And yeah, thanks, everybody again.

468

01:48:46.810 --> 01:48:50.050

NSF- Pavithra Prabhakar: And uh, we are also thankful to our um

469

01:48:50.220 --> 01:48:53.419

NSF- Pavithra Prabhakar: to all the other uh program directors

470

01:48:53.430 --> 01:49:22.119

NSF- Pavithra Prabhakar: for ah giving their ah time. And Ah, you know this! As I said, this is a very multi-dimensional output. Ah, there is the four of us. Ah! From Ccf! But then we have ah several of our program directors coming from Cms and Iis, who have really taken um, you know a very great interest in this program, and have been responsible for ah to feel part of these formal methods initiatives.

471

01:49:22.200 --> 01:49:26.789

NSF- Pavithra Prabhakar: So, thanks to everybody And, Nina, if you want to add any few words,

472

01:49:26.800 --> 01:49:46.670

Nina Amla: I i'm just end with something for all of you to think about right. So when Ranji all I ran this workshop, I think, which got us thinking about this. I don't know a long time ago, I think two thousand and twelve. I don't know if Francis is here on attending, but it. There was a line in there that said formal methods is like broccoli

473

01:49:46.680 --> 01:49:57.369

Nina Amla: clearly very good for you, but rather not to Everyone's liking right? So I'd like you to think a little bit about. How can we change that mindset?

474

01:49:57.400 --> 01:50:13.469

Nina Amla: Right? We don't want formal methods to be everybody's broccoli. We need formal. We need to really think about how we can make this an indispensable part of everyone's toolbox across all fields. So i'll leave you with that, because you guys are the ones who are going to make that happen.

475

01:50:13.480 --> 01:50:31.610

Nina Amla: But I hope never to see Broccoli showing up in any of our workshop reports going forward with respect to home methods, and we also Thank everybody. Thank you so much for participating. Thank you so much for organizing. It was really really a really fun,

476

01:50:32.380 --> 01:50:33.960 Chair- Elena Glassman: this is

477

01:50:34.520 --> 01:50:35.550

It's a

478

01:50:37.370 --> 01:50:40.249

Chair- Rajeev Alur: thanks, everyone. Thank you very much.