

Ad hoc reviews are submitted in the Proposal Evaluation System (PES) in Research.gov instead of in FastLane.

Introduction: Providing Reviewer Profile Information

NSF requires all *ad hoc* reviewers to have an NSF account in Research.gov and complete a quick, one-time registration process to provide reviewer profile information to access PES.

Ad hoc reviewers cannot access PES for proposal review activities until these tasks are completed. Visit <u>Research.gov Account Management – Reviewer</u> for more information and training resources.

Each ad hoc reviewer must complete the following steps to access PES:

- Open <u>Research.gov</u> and select the **Register** function at the top of the Research.gov screen to create a new NSF account and to obtain an NSF ID. *Note:* Reviewers with an existing NSF account in Research.gov will skip this step. Each individual user of NSF systems (e.g., Research.gov) should not have more than one NSF ID as stipulated in the *Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide* Chapter I.
- Sign into <u>Research.gov</u> and then click the Provide Reviewer Profile Information link under Reviews & Meetings on the Research.gov homepage.
- Enter the unique **invitation code** emailed to you (*see screenshot below*) or enter the **email address** where you received the *ad hoc* proposal review request and follow the instructions to provide reviewer profile information. Once completed, you will be able to access your assigned reviews in PES.



Example System-generated Provide Review Profile Information Email



Quick Start Instructions for Ad Hoc **Reviewers Using the Proposal Evaluation System (PES)**

PES Instructions

St	ep 💶 : Sign into Research.go	v and Access PES	n In Register Home Contact Help About
•	Open <u>Research.gov</u> using one of the recommended browsers: Chrome, Edge, Firefox, or Safari.	Sign in with your NSF account primary email or NSF ID and your NSF account password	Login.gov Credentials
•	Click Sign In located at the top of the screen to enter NSF account credentials.	Research cov Weiterse A Propositie Reviews & Mostings Awards & Reporting Followships Image: State of the Sta	Bigs In
•	You will be on the Research.gov homepage after signing in.	kores restricted tradues, and a row Proposals Proposals Reviews & Meetings Reviews & Meetings Reviews a Month Andrews Reviews, Panels, and Other Meetings	
•	Click the Reviews, Panels and Other Meetings link under Reviews and Meetings.	Certers like: People Reporting (Terting) Certer like: People Reporting Certer like: People Reporting Certer like: P	Principles, Criteria, Co Tritled are listed below. For questions regarding an Principal Crganization Crganization
•	Select the Ad Hoc Reviews tab	Tested: for defaultion the following there principle applic: Inseed: principle. The Support,	Investigator (Pi)
•	Select a Proposal Number	Maxing/Lawsament and availation of MSP. Transf pripets should be based or appropriate marks, saveling in most as lawy on the Based or the should be observed by the transferred should be based or the should be observed by the transferred should be based or the should be bashould be based or the should be based or the should be based or th	
•	Review the Merit Review Principles, Criteria, and Privacy	Provide farsy starting gates specific descriptions of the ainthibits that it is if introds to due and a plan hiptice to document the objects of the ainthibit the service of the service integrit the service of the ser	
	Act and Public Burden Statements and click Accept and Continue to Proposal Evaluation System (PES)	Dy selecting Access and Continue, 'you access all statements adverse for each at there review, panel and meeting ansigned to you. Decline and Coses C::::Accept and Continue to Phayotal Evolution System (PSIs)(27:) Since AI	

Step 🔁 : Prepare Your Proposal Reviews in PES

- Review the PES video tutorial and frequently asked questions (FAQs) on the Research.gov About Proposal Evaluation System (PES) page to help you navigate the new system.
- Prepare a response for each of the PES review form sections (an asterisk denotes default required fields):
 - Proposal Synopsis
 - My Rating
 - *Intellectual Merit
 - *Broader Impacts
 - Additional Solicitation-specific **Review Criteria**
 - *Summary Statement .
 - Other Suggested Reviewers
 - Conflict of Interest





Quick Start Instructions for *Ad Hoc* **Reviewers Using the Proposal Evaluation System (PES)**

- If the proposal is a lead with "Collaborative Proposals", the following information on the lead and collaborative proposal(s) will be displayed: Proposal Title, Proposal ID, Principal Investigator, co-Principal Investigator, and Organization.
- Select the Question Mark icon to navigate to the <u>"About Proposal Evaluation System</u>" page in Research.gov where you can access FAQs and other training resources.
- Click the View Proposal icon to view the proposal in a new tab and click on the Download Proposal icon to download the full proposal PDF.
- Note that all narrative fields have rich text formatting which allows you to add features such as underlines, strikethroughs, italics, bolding, and highlights. Symbols, superscripts, and subscripts are supported as are different font types and sizes. Use the toolbar to format your response and to add special characters. To eliminate potential copy-paste errors from Microsoft Word, use 'Ctrl + V' to paste copied content into the editor.
- In the top box, enter a brief proposal summary under the "Proposal Synopsis" section or leave it blank. This is an optional text box by default.
- Select a rating in the "My Rating" section. As a reference, PES provides the definition of each rating when hovering over the info tip. Assigned reviewers can rate the proposal with one or two ratings depending on the funding opportunity-specific template set by the managing NSF point of contact for the funding opportunity. You may also choose not to provide a rating. Select 'R' to indicate that you are only submitting a review and not a rating.
- Enter your review in the PES review form for the Intellectual Merit, Broader Impacts, and Additional Solicitation-specific Review Criteria (if section included) sections for the proposal. A red asterisk (*) indicates a required input field.
- The "Save Draft" button saves any reviews and/or ratings you have entered. Selecting 'Save Draft' will display the following warning message: "Your review has been saved, but it is not yet submitted to the system. Please click on the 'Submit Review' button."





Quick Start Instructions for *Ad Hoc* **Reviewers Using the Proposal Evaluation System (PES)**

Step 3: Submitting Ad Hoc Reviews in PES

- Enter your review in the form for the Summary Statement, Other Suggested Reviewers, and Conflicts of Interest sections for each proposal. A red asterisk (*) indicates a required input field.
- The "Submit Review" button uploads the review and/or ratings in the system. The review may become visible during future panel discussions involving the proposal if the NSF managing Program Officer sets the meeting rules permissions to allow visibility. If you select "Submit Review" without checking a rating, PES will inform you that you have not rated the proposal and will ask if you would like to continue. You are encouraged to select a rating or select "No Rating (R)".
- Click 'Submit Review' to submit the *ad hoc* review in PES. <u>It is not possible to edit or change your ad</u> <u>hoc review after submission.</u>
- Following submission, you will see a screen with a message indicating that your review has been successfully submitted.
- Click Return to Home to Return to the Reviews, Panels, and Other Meetings page in Research.gov
- Following submission, an email will be sent to the *ad hoc* reviewer as well as to the managing PO of the proposal with the contents of the review.

	Save Draft Submit Review
Proposal Synopsis	*Summary Statement
My Rating	
Intellectual Merit	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Broader Impacts	
Additional Solicitation-specific Review Criteria	
Summary Statement	
Other Suggested Reviewers	
Conflict of Interests	
	Other Suggested Reviewers
	$\Leftrightarrow \ \ \rightarrow \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$



Your Review has been successfully submitted.	From: PISHelpenfagov <pishelpenfagov> Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2024 9:17 AM To: DIS Sty FSA Application Team (DISSIPSApplicationTeam@nsf.gov></pishelpenfagov>
Receipt of Proposal Brokw	Subject: [ACPT] PES - Proposal Review/] =
Your proposal review for proposal number 2347996 has been received at NSF on Thu, Sep 12, 2024, 09:16:49 and will be forwarded to the cognizant (or appropriate) NSF program officer. Your Obligation To Keep Proposals Confidential environments proposal is confidence and protect the confidentially of the contexts. For this reason, you much net oncy quete from, or otherwise use or disclose to one, including our product address to the confidence and protect the confidential of the context of the proposal and the confidence of confidence much of the context of the confidence and protect the other and the confidence of the context of the proposal and the confidence of confidence much of the context of the proposal actions. We there are obligate can make a subtantial context of the revex, please obtain permassion from the KF gram officer bard or disclosing either the contexts of the proposal or the name of any applicant or principal investigate. When you have completed your review, please to cruste detroy the proposal.	Email ID: mreed 123@reisystems.com Proposal: 20 Proposal: Title: Insulations and implications all September all Dedices Proposal and insulations for one Mass Eactors PI Name: PI Name:
in to Home	Review Text: Proposal Synopsis: Please enter if any synopsis Intellectual Merit

Additional Training Resources & Questions

Additional training resources including a video tutorial and FAQs are available on the Research.gov <u>About Proposal</u> <u>Evaluation System (PES)</u> page.

For IT system-related questions including reviewer profile and PES access, please contact the NSF IT Service Desk at 1-800-381-1532 (7:00 AM - 9:00 PM ET; Monday - Friday except federal holidays) or via <u>rgov@nsf.gov</u>. Non-technical questions about proposal reviews, etc. should be directed to the managing Program Officer for the proposal.