

NSF 23-595: Centers of Research Excellence in Science and Technology (CREST Centers)

Program Solicitation

Document information

Document history

- **Posted:** May 25, 2023
- **Replaces:** [NSF 18-509](#)

[View the program page](#)



National Science Foundation
Directorate for STEM Education
Division of Equity for Excellence in STEM

Preliminary Proposal Due Date(s) (*required*) (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

December 01, 2023

First Friday in December, Every Other Year Thereafter

Supplement Due Date(s) (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

December 01, 2023

First Friday in December, Annually Thereafter

Full Proposal Deadline(s) (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

December 06, 2024

First Friday in December, Every Other Year Thereafter

By invitation only



Table Of Contents

[Summary of Program Requirements](#)

[I. Introduction](#)

[II. Program Description](#)

[III. Award Information](#)

[IV. Eligibility Information](#)

- V. Proposal Preparation and Submission Instructions
 - A. Proposal Preparation Instructions
 - B. Budgetary Information
 - C. Due Dates
 - D. Research.gov/Grants.gov Requirements
- VI. NSF Proposal Processing and Review Procedures
 - A. Merit Review Principles and Criteria
 - B. Review and Selection Process
- VII. Award Administration Information
 - A. Notification of the Award
 - B. Award Conditions
 - C. Reporting Requirements
- VIII. Agency Contacts
- IX. Other Information

Important Information And Revision Notes

- The SBIR/STTR Diversity Collaborative Supplements track has been discontinued.
- Support for CREST HBCU-RISE has been issued in a separate solicitation.
- Support for CREST postdoctoral researchers has been issued in a separate solicitation.

Any proposal submitted in response to this solicitation should be submitted in accordance with the *NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide* (PAPPG) that is in effect for the relevant due date to which the proposal is being submitted. The NSF PAPPG is regularly revised and it is the responsibility of the proposer to ensure that the proposal meets the requirements specified in this solicitation and the applicable version of the PAPPG. Submitting a proposal prior to a specified deadline does not negate this requirement.

Summary Of Program Requirements

General Information

Program Title:

Centers of Research Excellence in Science and Technology (CREST Centers)

Synopsis of Program:

CREST Center awards provide support to enhance the research capabilities of Minority-serving institutions (MSIs) through the establishment of centers that effectively integrate education and research. CREST Center awards promote the development of new knowledge, enhancements of the research productivity of individual faculty, and an expanded presence of students historically underrepresented in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) disciplines.

Successful CREST Center proposals will demonstrate a clear vision and integration of STEM research and education and will align with the mission of the Division of Equity for Excellence in STEM (EES) with respect to the development of a diverse STEM workforce. CREST Centers are also expected to provide leadership by meaningfully involving the efforts of those faculty, students, and postdoctoral researchers who are traditionally underrepresented in STEM at all levels. Centers are required to use evidence-based and innovative strategies to address salient broadening participation and workforce development issues, such as recruitment, retention, and mentorship of participants from underrepresented groups. Successful proposals are expected to achieve national research competitiveness, broaden participation in STEM, and generate sustained, non-CREST funding from federal, state, and/or private-sector sources.

Phase I and Phase II CREST Center Awards

Preliminary proposals are required for Phase I and Phase II projects. Thus, an invitation from NSF must be received before submitting a full proposal. Both Phase I and Phase II CREST Center awards provide multi-year support for institutions that demonstrate a strong research base. Phase I CREST Center awards provide funding for five years of research on a specific NSF-supported topic. If invited, institutions may submit a Phase II CREST Center proposal requesting funding to continue research in the same disciplinary area as the Phase I Center or may submit a Phase I proposal focused on a disciplinary area that is significantly different from those of the previous award(s).

CREST Partnership Supplements

CREST Partnership Supplemental funding requests are invited from current CREST Center awardees. Supplements support the establishment or strengthening of partnerships and collaborations with active CREST Centers and other nationally or internationally recognized research centers (including NSF-supported research centers), private sector research laboratories, K-12 schools, and/or informal science entities, including museums and science centers, as appropriate. Such partnerships and collaborations should aid CREST Centers' quest in advancing knowledge and education on a research theme of national significance.

Cognizant Program Officer(s):

Please note that the following information is current at the time of publishing. See program website for any updates to the points of contact.

- Luis A. Cubano, Lead Program Director, telephone: (703) 292-7941, email: lcubano@nsf.gov
- Sonal S. Dekhane, telephone: (703) 292-5029, email: sdekhane@nsf.gov
- Regina Sievert, telephone: (703) 292-2808, email: rsievert@nsf.gov
- Nicole E. Gass, Program Specialist, telephone: (703) 292-8378, email: ngass@nsf.gov

Applicable Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number(s):

- 47.076 --- STEM Education

Award Information

Anticipated Type of Award:

Standard Grant or Continuing Grant or Supplement

Estimated Number of Awards: 5

The number of awards and funding for CREST Centers (Phase I and Phase II) and CREST Partnership Supplements are contingent upon the availability of funds and the submission of a sufficient number of meritorious proposals.

Anticipated Funding Amount: \$8,000,000

The annual budget of a CREST Center award will not exceed \$1,500,000 per year/\$7,500,000 during a five-year period. CREST Partnership Supplements will not exceed \$100,000.

Eligibility Information

Who May Submit Proposals:

Proposals may only be submitted by the following:

- Eligible institutions are MSIs that offer graduate degrees in NSF STEM areas and have enrollments of 50% or more students (based on total student enrollment) who are members of minority groups underrepresented among those holding advanced degrees in science and engineering fields. Proposals are also invited from institutions of higher education that meet the 50% enrollment criterion and primarily serve populations of students with disabilities. Eligibility may be determined by reference to the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) of the US Department of Education National Center for Education Statistics (<https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/>).

Funding of partnering institutions should be requested via subawards in the full proposal; separately submitted collaborative proposals will not be accepted.

CREST partnership supplemental funding requests are accepted only from current CREST Center awardees.

Who May Serve as PI:

The Principal Investigator (PI) must hold a full-time faculty appointment at the institution submitting the proposal.

Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization: 1

Only one preliminary proposal may be submitted per eligible institution and due date.

Full CREST Center proposals are to be submitted only when invited by NSF in response to a successful preliminary proposal.

An institution may have only one active CREST Center award at one time, irrespective of focus area.

Institutions that have completed a Phase I or Phase II award in a disciplinary area may re-compete in other disciplinary areas that are significantly different from those of the previous award(s). To be significantly different, the new project must also have new PIs, co-PIs, and faculty investigators. The research to be supported cannot be derived from the previous award.

Institutions with active Phase I funding should submit the Phase II preliminary proposal by the due date before the full proposal is due and prior to the expiration of their Phase I award. For example, Phase I CREST Centers ending in 2025 should submit their preliminary proposals in 2023 to avoid disruptions in funding.

Limit on Number of Proposals per PI or co-PI: 1

Eligible individuals may be listed as the PI or co-PI on only one CREST Center proposal at the time of proposal submission.

Proposal Preparation and Submission Instructions

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

- **Letters of Intent:** Not required

- **Preliminary Proposals:** Submission of Preliminary Proposals is required. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.
- **Full Proposals:**
 - Full Proposals submitted via Research.gov: *NSF Proposal and Award Policies and Procedures Guide (PAPPG)* guidelines apply. The complete text of the PAPPG is available electronically on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg.
 - Full Proposals submitted via Grants.gov: *NSF Grants.gov Application Guide: A Guide for the Preparation and Submission of NSF Applications via Grants.gov* guidelines apply (Note: The *NSF Grants.gov Application Guide* is available on the Grants.gov website and on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=grantsgovguide).

B. Budgetary Information

- **Cost Sharing Requirements:**

Inclusion of voluntary committed cost sharing is prohibited.

- **Indirect Cost (F&A) Limitations:**

Not Applicable

- **Other Budgetary Limitations:**

Other budgetary limitations apply. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.

C. Due Dates

- **Preliminary Proposal Due Date(s) (*required*)** (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

December 01, 2023

First Friday in December, Every Other Year Thereafter

- **Supplement Due Date(s)** (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

December 01, 2023

First Friday in December, Annually Thereafter

- **Full Proposal Deadline(s)** (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

December 06, 2024

First Friday in December, Every Other Year Thereafter

By invitation only

Proposal Review Information Criteria

Merit Review Criteria:

National Science Board approved criteria. Additional merit review criteria apply. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.

Award Administration Information

Award Conditions:

Additional award conditions apply. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.

Reporting Requirements:

Additional reporting requirements apply. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.

I. Introduction

Centers of Research Excellence in Science and Technology (CREST Centers) is managed by the Division of Equity for Excellence in STEM (EES). Across the agency and with guidance from the National Science Board, NSF is working to increase STEM skills and advance STEM opportunities for all Americans.

NSF expects that awards made under the CREST program will catalyze institutional transformation in the development of research capabilities in alignment with the institution's mission and long-term goals and that the institutions will evaluate the impact of the award in effecting this transformation. Demonstrated leadership in the involvement of groups traditionally underrepresented in STEM is expected at all levels - students, postdoctoral researchers, and faculty. The research activities supported by CREST are expected to enable the full participation of faculty, graduate students, and undergraduates in a nationally competitive research enterprise. Outcomes and activities such as publications, involvement in regional, national, and international research forums, patents and commercial dissemination of research results, professional development of postdoctoral research associates, training of doctoral and master's students, and involvement of undergraduates in research activities should all occur in ways that establish the potential for national leadership.

The ability of CREST Centers to leverage funding from federal, state, and local agencies as well as to foster industrial and academic collaborations as part of a sustainable research enterprise independent from CREST Centers funding, are important outcomes. At the same time, the projects will promote synergy between education and research; develop outreach activities for pre-college students, K-12 educators, and the general public; and serve as a model for research scholarship throughout the institution.

II. Program Description

1) General Characteristics

Both Phase I and Phase II CREST Centers provide multi-year support for institutions that demonstrate a strong research base. The CREST Center proposal should clearly demonstrate a strong potential for the CREST Center to achieve national research competitiveness and to generate future non-CREST funding from federal, state, or private-sector sources. Preference will be given to institutions with demonstrated strengths in NSF-supported fields, as evidenced by a capacity to offer doctoral degrees in one or more STEM disciplines. Priority consideration will be given to STEM disciplines where minorities are less than 40% of graduates in that STEM discipline.

CREST Centers integrate research and education, and must promote the development of new knowledge, enhance faculty research productivity, and increase diverse representation in STEM disciplines. CREST Center awards are typically 60-month continuing grants of up to \$7.5 million. These funds are used to improve elements that the institution has identified as being critical to strengthening its future research capacity for national competitiveness.

The proposed CREST Center director must be prepared to provide leadership in developing and overseeing an inclusive diverse team positioned to fulfill the vision of the CREST Center. CREST Centers will engage students, postdoctoral researchers, and faculty from underrepresented groups in numbers that can have a significant impact on increasing the diversity of the STEM workforce.

CREST Centers may be organized around the development of groups of individual scientists or engineers; one or more science or engineering departments; or interdisciplinary/multidisciplinary research areas. Typically, a CREST Center consists of three to five scientifically meritorious research projects (referred to as subprojects in this solicitation) led by independent investigators, which are relevant to the unifying central research focus and overall objective of the CREST Center. These interrelated research projects are supported by a common administrative core. For more details, please refer to the Proposal Preparation Instructions.

It is expected that CREST Center awards will add substantial, measurable value to the existing STEM research capability in areas of high national and institutional priority. Examples of areas of high national priority are data science and analytics; advanced materials, manufacturing, and robotics; cybersecurity; plant genetics/agricultural technologies; quantum information sciences; nanotechnology; semiconductors/microelectronics technologies; climate change and clean energy; and areas outlined in the resources below:

- [CHIPS and Science Act of 2022](#)
- [Industries of the Future](#)
- [Understanding the Brain](#)
- [DOE Earthshots](#)

2) Commitment and Sustainability

Organizational commitment from administrators and leaders to the proposed activities is vital for successful CREST Centers and for financial and organizational sustainability of promising activities. Letters of support from the provost or equivalent university officials with authority related to faculty, research, facilities and/or equipment, and education are required and should be submitted as supplementary documents.

Proposals should discuss the commitment of leadership to research capacity-building either with additional grants and/or through changes to current practices. Proposals should discuss how the successful components developed under the CREST Center will be sustained. Awards are expected to lead to long-term organizational changes in the ways that the institution supports faculty members in increasing their research productivity. Therefore, awards are expected to consider the sustainability and institutionalization of the CREST Center's activities from the beginning of the project.

3) Leadership, Management, and Oversight of the CREST Center

The proposed CREST Center team must provide the leadership to develop and lead a diverse team to fulfill the vision of the CREST Center. CREST Centers will engage students, postdoctoral researchers, and faculty from underrepresented groups in numbers that can have a significant impact on building a diverse STEM workforce. CREST is committed to a culture and climate of research that results in an inclusive and diverse workforce. For this reason, CREST strongly encourages the inclusion of women in the identified leadership teams for CREST Centers.

Each proposed CREST Center shall convene, at least annually, an external advisory committee (EAC). The function of the EAC is to provide guidance and advice to the CREST Center as well as to ensure that the CREST Center's activities are consistent with its vision, goals, and objectives. The advisors should include representatives from those served by the CREST Center (e.g., academic institutions, industry, state and local agencies, and national laboratories) and reflect the diversity of participants inherent in the citizenry of the United States. NSF highly encourages industry members in the EAC that can advise on intellectual property issues, acquisition of SBIR funding, and other means to support the financial and organizational sustainability of the CREST Center. Persons with a financial, institutional, or collaborative connection to the CREST Center may not serve as members of the EAC. Do not identify members of the EAC in the proposal.

Each CREST Center shall also have an internal steering committee to include the PI, co-PIs, and other stakeholders.

4) Collaborations / Partnerships

Collaborative efforts involving industry, other research universities, federally funded laboratories, K-12 schools, museums, and science centers, and/or other national, state, or regional research and development institutions are required. Subawards to such collaborating institutions are permitted, subject to restrictions outlined in the PAPPG. The total amount of funding to all subawardee institutions cannot exceed 10% of the cumulative budget going to the primary institution.

5) Evaluation Plan

CREST Centers must develop a robust evaluation plan. The evaluation plan should be designed to gauge the accomplishment of the CREST Center's identified goals and objectives and the impacts of the CREST Center. Evaluation should be based on benchmarks, indicators, or expected outcomes related to CREST Center goals and activities.

CREST Centers should design evaluations that are appropriate to the CREST Center's proposed activities. Plans for assessing progress toward realizing the CREST Center's outputs, outcomes, and impacts should rely on measures that are valid and reliable for the CREST Center's prioritized participants.

Evaluation plans should be based on a logic model or other tool that relates the CREST Center's goals to activities and to outputs, outcomes, and impacts (i.e., immediate, short-term, and intermediate-term expected changes). Evaluation plans should be appropriate to the scope of the CREST Center and should include both formative aspects that will provide information to guide the CREST Center in making evidence-based decisions about changes in its activities, and summative aspects that will provide evidence of the overall impacts of the project.

The budget must include adequate resources for evaluation. The evaluation should be led by an expert independent evaluation team.

B) CREST Partnership Supplements

CREST partnership supplements support the establishment or strengthening of partnerships and collaborations between existing CREST Centers and nationally or internationally recognized research centers including NSF-supported research centers, K-12 schools, and museums and science centers. Support may be requested for activities that have a direct positive influence on the competitiveness of participating scientists and engineers, and the quality of the institution's research and training.

Supportable activities may include but are not limited to: exploratory research projects; acquisition of materials, supplies, research equipment, and instrumentation; hiring nationally competitive scientists and/or engineers; visiting scientists and engineers as short- or long-term consultants; faculty attendance at professional meetings and seminars; faculty sabbaticals and exchange programs; education activities directed toward the development of a diverse, internationally competitive and globally engaged workforce of scientists, engineers, and citizens well-prepared for a broad set of career paths; undergraduate and graduate research activities; development of outreach and other enhancement programs with neighboring institutions; and strengthening technical support personnel. The benefits to all parties in the proposed collaboration must be clearly articulated. Awarded partnership supplements will be made for a maximum of \$100,000 per supplement.

C) Other Funding Opportunities

CREST also funds conferences; EAGER, RAISE, and RAPID grants; and grant supplements for existing awards. Grant supplements are different from partnership supplements described above. Grant supplements can be requested for up to 20% of the original award total.

Such proposals may be submitted as described in the NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide (PAPPG), which is available at https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg.

III. Award Information

New CREST Center awards will be made in alternate years. CREST Center awards are for up to 60 months with an annual budget up to \$1,500,000 (i.e., a maximum of \$7,500,000). Center awards are made as standard or continuing grants.

The progress and plans of each CREST Center will be reviewed by NSF annually, prior to approving continued NSF support. Centers that are not meeting expectations may have their level of funding reduced or may be terminated. Institutions may not receive more than two consecutive CREST Center awards in the same scientific area. An institution may have only one active CREST Center award at a time. This information applies to Phase I and Phase II awards.

Partner institutions external to the primary/lead institution must be budgeted as subawardees. The total amount of funding to subawardee institutions must reflect the institution's effort and is limited to no more than \$150,000 per institution per year. The total amount of funding to all subawardee institutions cannot exceed 10% of the total award made to the primary institution, for example, \$750,000 for a \$7,500,000 budget.

Partnership supplements will be made for a maximum amount of \$100,000 per supplement, in amounts that vary with need and are subject to the availability of funds. A supplement will be an amendment to an existing award.

The estimated CREST Center and CREST Partnership Supplement budgets, number of awards, and award size and duration are subject to the availability of funds.

IV. Eligibility Information

Who May Submit Proposals:

Proposals may only be submitted by the following:

- Eligible institutions are MSIs that offer graduate degrees in NSF STEM areas and have enrollments of 50% or more students (based on total student enrollment) who are members of minority groups underrepresented among those holding advanced degrees in science and engineering fields. Proposals are also invited from institutions of higher education that meet the 50% enrollment criterion and primarily serve populations of students with disabilities. Eligibility may be determined by reference to the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) of the US Department of Education National Center for Education Statistics (<https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/>).

Funding of partnering institutions should be requested via subawards in the full proposal; separately submitted collaborative proposals will not be accepted.

CREST partnership supplemental funding requests are accepted only from current CREST Center awardees.

Who May Serve as PI:

The Principal Investigator (PI) must hold a full-time faculty appointment at the institution submitting the proposal.

Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization: 1

Only one preliminary proposal may be submitted per eligible institution and due date.

Full CREST Center proposals are to be submitted only when invited by NSF in response to a successful preliminary proposal.

An institution may have only one active CREST Center award at one time, irrespective of focus area.

Institutions that have completed a Phase I or Phase II award in a disciplinary area may re-compete in other disciplinary areas that are significantly different from those of the previous award(s). To be significantly different, the new project must also have new PIs, co-PIs, and faculty investigators. The research to be supported cannot be derived from the previous award.

Institutions with active Phase I funding should submit the Phase II preliminary proposal by the due date before the full proposal is due and prior to the expiration of their Phase I award. For example, Phase I CREST Centers ending in 2025 should submit their preliminary proposals in 2023 to avoid disruptions in funding.

Limit on Number of Proposals per PI or co-PI: 1

Eligible individuals may be listed as the PI or co-PI on only one CREST Center proposal at the time of proposal submission.

V. Proposal Preparation And Submission Instructions

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

Preliminary Proposals (required): Preliminary proposals are required and must be submitted via Research.gov, even if full proposals will be submitted via Grants.gov.

Eligible parties intending to submit a preliminary proposal are encouraged to participate in informational webinars that will be webcast after the release of this solicitation. See the NSF [CREST webpage](#) for dates.

Submission of a preliminary proposal is required to be eligible to submit a full CREST Center proposal. Preliminary proposals that are not compliant with the guidelines may be returned without review, thus making the proposing team automatically ineligible to submit a full CREST Center proposal. It is the submitting organization's responsibility to ensure that the preliminary proposal is compliant with all applicable guidelines and that only one proposal is submitted by the institution. Following review of the preliminary proposal, notification will be provided to indicate whether a full CREST Center proposal will be invited for submission.

Preliminary proposals must contain the items listed below and adhere strictly to the specified page limitations. No additional information may be provided as appendices or through links to web pages. Figures and tables must be included within the applicable page limit.

Preliminary proposals must contain sufficient detail to allow for the assessment of the intellectual merit and broader impacts of the proposed CREST Center. The preliminary proposal should contain specific, measurable, and obtainable outcomes that will be used to measure the progress of the proposed CREST Center if funded.

Preliminary CREST Center Proposal Contents

Preliminary proposals must include all the following items. In cases where requirements given in this document differ from those given in the PAPPG, the guidelines provided in this solicitation take precedence.

Preliminary Proposal Set-Up: Select "Prepare New Preliminary Proposal" in Research.gov. Search for and select this solicitation title in Step One of the Preliminary Proposal wizard. Select "Single proposal (with or without subawards)." Separately submitted collaborative proposals will be returned without review.

Title: The Title of the Proposed Project must begin with "CREST Phase I Preliminary Proposal: Center for " or "CREST Phase II Preliminary Proposal: Center for ". The title must be informative and descriptive of the project, concise (20 words or less), and use Title Case. The title should not include the institution name, any acronyms ("STEM" excepted), or quotation marks.

Senior Personnel: The proposed project director must be designated as the PI.

1. Cover Sheet

For planning purposes, September 1, of the award year should be shown as the start date.

2. Project Summary (1 page)

The Project Summary should provide an overview of the CREST Center and describe the subprojects' synergy consistent with the unifying theme of the CREST Center. All subprojects must be located at the same institution. The overview should describe the research focus, goals for education and broadening participation, the integrative nature of the CREST Center, and articulate the potential legacy and national impact of the CREST Center if funded. The Project Summary must be one page long and include the following:

- Both NSF merit review criteria (intellectual merit and broader impacts) must be addressed in separate statements (see the PAPPG for additional instructions).
- The summary should be informative to persons working in the same or related fields, and understandable to a broad audience within the scientific domain.
- The summary should provide a clear and concise description of the project including its mission and vision.
- The summary should describe the research focus and goals for education and broadening participation.
- At the bottom of the page add no more than five 6-digit Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP) codes that most associate with your center. CIP codes are available at <https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/cipcode/browse.aspx?y=55>.

Example: 26.0202, 26.0203, 26.0204

3. Project Description (8 pages)

The Project Description must contain only Sections 3.a through 3.g described below and cannot exceed 8 pages including tables and illustrations. Phase II preliminary proposals must include a progress report.

The Project Description should:

- Contain specific, measurable, and obtainable objectives that will be used to measure the progress of the CREST Center, if funded.
- Address and describe, in a specific section of the narrative, the broader impacts that would result from the proposed project.
- Summarize the sub-project's importance to the overall proposal plan, including synergy with the other subprojects and a discussion of how they support the overall goals and objectives of the CREST Center proposal.

Progress Report (Phase II Preliminary Proposals Only) (1 page)

The Progress Report should provide the reviewers with an overview of the progress made during the Phase I period of support. The progress report cannot exceed 1 page. The Progress Report should:

- Provide the beginning and ending dates for the period covered.
- Summarize the objectives of the previous project period and the progress made toward their achievement.
- Explain changes to the objectives and any new directions.
- Discuss previous participants (e.g., recruitment, retention, inclusion of individuals from groups underrepresented in STEM, including persons with disabilities).
- Discuss career development at the faculty, postdoctoral, and student levels.

3.a Problem Description and Rationale for Selected Approach

Articulate a vision for the proposed CREST Center that clearly outlines the research thrusts being addressed. The proposed research should be sufficiently complex, large-scale, and long-term to justify a CREST Center and flexible enough to permit change as the research proceeds. The proposed approaches must be innovative, and it must be clear how they will transform or significantly impact the research area. This section should:

- Describe the comprehensive plan to achieve and sustain national competitiveness, independent from CREST Centers funding, in a clearly defined area of national significance in STEM research.
- State the overall vision and long-range goals of the integrated CREST Center.
- Explain the unique opportunities that a CREST Center will provide that could not be achieved with individual support.
- Describe the proposed research areas/themes and how they integrate with each other.
- Describe how an integrated CREST Center is aligned with the mission of the institution and the long-term strategic goals of building the institution's research capacity.

3.b Description of the Research Objectives

Describe the proposed research areas/themes and how they integrate with each other to realize the CREST Center's research vision. This section should:

- Provide 5-year timelines for the activities.
- Indicate the specific role of each partner organization or participant in the research topic/goal area.
- Provide a research plan with sufficient detail to allow assessment of the scientific merit and to justify the necessity for the CREST Center mode of operation.
- Indicate the potential impact or expected significance the CREST Center's research will have on the Nation's scientific, knowledge, and/or technological base.

3.c Description of the Education and Career Development Objectives

Describe how the CREST Center will provide professional development and other appropriate opportunities to young faculty members affiliated with the CREST Center to assist them in establishing an independent research agenda. This section should:

- Describe how research and education will be integrated with career development objectives. Education programs and activities should be evidence-based practices developed in the context of current educational research and be monitored through a formal evaluation effort led by independent evaluators as described in 4.g.
- Describe plans for the mentoring and professional development of students.
- Describe plans for attracting and retaining students.
- Describe plans for preparing students for the submission of fellowship applications to NSF and other funders.
- Describe proposed activities in sufficient detail to allow assessment of their intrinsic merit and potential effectiveness.

3.d Broader Impacts

Describe the broader impacts objectives and outline strategies for achieving them. This section should:

- Describe plans for increasing diversity through the inclusion of individuals from groups underrepresented in STEM, including persons with disabilities.
- Describe the contribution/role of students and faculty members and how they will be integrated into activities.
- Explain how mentoring will be used to provide a supportive environment for all project participants.
- Explain how progress will be measured and how strategies will be adapted, as appropriate.
- Describe proposed activities in sufficient detail to allow assessment of the intrinsic merit and potential effectiveness of the activities.

3.e Collaborations / Partnerships

Describe collaborative efforts involving industry, other research universities, federally funded laboratories, K-12 entities, museums, and science centers, or other national, state, or regional research and development institutions and how these collaborations will strengthen the CREST Center.

3.f Description of the Management Plan

Describe the plan for the management of the proposed CREST Center to ensure optimal performance. This section should:

- Present a management plan, including a diagram to explain the organizational relationships and reporting structure among the key areas of responsibility.
- Identify key members of the management team and explain their specific roles and areas of responsibility.
- Explain the role of each key participant/component.
- Describe the processes to be used to prioritize activities, allocate funds and equipment across activities, and select a replacement PI, if needed.
- Identify members of the Internal Steering Committee and an independent evaluator, external to the project.

3.g Evaluation Plan

All proposals should include an evaluation section that describes how the project evaluator/evaluation team will gauge the accomplishment of project goals and the impacts of the project. The budget must include adequate resources for project evaluation. This section should:

- Include a logic model with short-term, and intermediate-term expected outcomes.
- Include a description of the evaluation design and methods that will be used.
- Include in the evaluation plan formative aspects that will provide information to guide the CREST Center in making evidence-based decisions about changes in its activities, and summative aspects that will provide evidence of overall impacts of the project.

- Include an evaluation design based on benchmarks, indicators, or expected outcomes related to project goals, objectives, and activities.
- Identify the person(s) who will lead the evaluation and briefly describe their academic training and professional experience that qualifies them to serve as an evaluator. Evaluator(s) may be internal or external to CREST institutions but should be external to the CREST Center itself and positioned to carry out the evaluation plan independently.

4. References Cited (2-page limit).

See NSF PAPPG for format guidelines.

5. Biographical Sketches

Biographical Sketches are required for the CREST Center Director and other senior personnel. All biographical sketches should follow PAPPG guidelines.

6. Special Information and Supplementary Documents

Required information to be included in the Supplementary Documents section.

6.a Identification of Partner Institution(s) and Project Personnel (Required) (2-page limit)

(a) List all project personnel who have a role in the management, research, education, and evaluation components of the CREST Center. Use the following format:

Project Personnel:

Last name, first name, Institution/organization

(b) Partner institution(s) and organization(s)

6.b Letter of Support (2-page limit) (Required) and Letters of Collaboration (Required) (2-page limit each)

A letter of support from the provost or equivalent university official with authority related to faculty, research, facilities and/or equipment, and education **must be** submitted describing the lead institution's support for and commitment to the award (including space). The institution must commit to implementing systemic changes to increase research productivity. The letter of support must express awareness of, support for, and specific commitments to the project.

The letter of support should include information related to financial and organizational sustainability and commitment of the provost or equivalent university official to the project and should **not** be limited to the recommended language in the NSF PAPPG. A letter of support that merely endorses the project or offers nonspecific support for the project activities should not be included and the proposal will be returned without review if a general support letter is submitted. Note that organizational commitment can also be demonstrated through commitment to project financial and organizational sustainability. For guidance on voluntary uncommitted cost sharing please review the NSF PAPPG.

Letters of collaboration must be provided by major partnering organizations and the program evaluator. "Major" is defined as those organizations receiving budgetary resources from the award or contributing to the impacts of the proposed work.

Proposals submitted without a letter of support and letters of collaboration will be returned without review.

For preliminary proposals, do not submit the following documents: Results from Prior NSF Support; Facilities, Equipment and Other Resources; Budget and Budget Justification; Current and Pending Support; Collaborators and Other Affiliations Information; Data Management Plan; and Postdoctoral Researcher Mentoring Plan.

7. Information to be submitted to NSF via the Single Copy Documents Section.

7.a Optional Single Copy Documents

A list of suggested reviewers and contact information and/or reviewers not to include may be submitted, including a brief explanation or justification as to why the reviewer should be excluded.

No other items or appendices are to be included. Preliminary proposals containing items other than those required above will be returned without review.

Full Proposal Preparation Instructions: Proposers may opt to submit proposals in response to this Program Solicitation via Research.gov or Grants.gov.

- Full Proposals submitted via Research.gov: Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation should be prepared and submitted in accordance with the general guidelines contained in the *NSF Proposal and Award Policies and Procedures Guide* (PAPPG). The complete text of the PAPPG is available electronically on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg. Paper copies of the PAPPG may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-8134 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov. The Prepare New Proposal setup will prompt you for the program solicitation number.
- Full proposals submitted via Grants.gov: Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation via Grants.gov should be prepared and submitted in accordance with the *NSF Grants.gov Application Guide: A Guide for the Preparation and Submission of NSF Applications via Grants.gov*. The complete text of the *NSF Grants.gov Application Guide* is available on the Grants.gov website and on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=grantsgovguide). To obtain copies of the Application Guide and Application Forms Package, click on the Apply tab on the Grants.gov site, then click on the Apply Step 1: Download a Grant Application Package and Application Instructions link and enter the funding opportunity number, (the program solicitation number without the NSF prefix) and press the Download Package button. Paper copies of the Grants.gov Application Guide also may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-8134 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov.

See PAPPG Chapter II.D.2 for guidance on the required sections of a full research proposal submitted to NSF. Please note that the proposal preparation instructions provided in this program solicitation may deviate from the PAPPG instructions.

Eligible parties intending to submit a proposal are encouraged to participate in informational webinars that will be webcast after the release of this solicitation. See the NSF [CREST webpage](#) for dates.

Proper Institutional Review Board (IRB) documentation or certification on the use of Human Subjects, or Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) documentation or certification on the use of vertebrate animals, and outcomes of prior NSF support for the PI of the proposed CREST Center, as applicable, should be included with the full CREST Center proposal at the time of submission or the absence of such documentation explained. Failure to self-declare in this manner may result in the proposal's decline or return without review.

Full CREST Center Proposal Structure

Full CREST Center proposals consist of the CREST Center Project Description and its associated research subproject narratives. The CREST Center proposal includes discussion of the proposer's overall plan for improving the status of STEM research and training and for broadening the participation of a diverse student population in STEM, as codified by the CREST Center's unifying theme or focus. The CREST Center proposal overview should present a clear explanation of the proposed improvement plan from a scientific, educational, and administrative or fiscal point of view. The proposal Project Summary will provide an overview of the proposed activities and will clearly delineate the NSF criteria of Intellectual Merit and Broader Impacts. The Project Summary also describes the synergy anticipated by the choice of a minimum of three subprojects (maximum of five) that are consistent with the unifying theme of the CREST Center. The subprojects are not pilot projects. All subprojects must be located at the institution submitting the proposal.

Consistent with the objective of broadening the participation of populations that are underrepresented in STEM fields, who are U.S. citizens, nationals, or permanent residents, the proposal should clearly describe the diversity objectives of the CREST Center and outline strategies for achieving them. The contribution/role of partner institutions in the diversity

plans should also be described. Proposed activities should be presented in sufficient detail to allow assessment of their intrinsic merit and potential effectiveness.

CREST Center Research subprojects (3 to 5 subprojects)

Each proposal must include a minimum of three (maximum of five) individual (albeit related) research projects, each of which is pertinent to the central goal of the CREST Center. All subprojects must be based at the same institution. Each proposed subproject may involve a subset of CREST Center investigators but should have a single subproject leader. The budget of the subprojects must allow for significant research to take place and must be commensurate with the scope of the projects. The subprojects are not pilot projects. Do not divide subprojects into sub-subprojects. The subprojects should result in data that can be used for the submission of a proposal to NSF or other funding sources. More details on the subproject narrative requirements are provided below.

Special Considerations for CREST Phase II Proposals

A current CREST Center nearing the completion of its initial five years of funding may submit a preliminary proposal for an additional five years of support. If invited by NSF, a full proposal will undergo merit review alongside proposals for new CREST Centers. Accordingly, the achievements and future plans of existing CREST Centers will be evaluated comprehensively relative to progress and direction and weighed against the competition for available funds. The results from the Phase I research and broadening participation activities should be articulated clearly in parallel with the institutional transformation arising from the other CREST Center accomplishments of the first five years.

The Project Description for a Phase II Center should demonstrate a clear vision for a synergistic team of investigators positioned within the second five years of support to achieve major national recognition for their accomplishments including research that has the potential to be transformative. The Project Description as well as the subproject narratives should provide a systematic articulation of the research, educational, and outreach accomplishments of the Phase I Center and how these will drive the future activities of the CREST Center, especially in terms of a new vision and organization. The Phase II Center should be well-positioned to demonstrate a transformation of the institutional capacity for engaging individuals from groups underrepresented in STEM, including persons with disabilities. A simple continuation of the Phase I CREST Center, even if the scientific merits of the various research activities are strong, will not yield a competitive Phase II proposal.

A recommendation for a Phase II CREST Center award will be subject to the availability of funds, as well as the demonstrated potential that funding as a Phase II CREST Center will lead to institutional transformation in line with the aim of this solicitation. Renewed CREST Center will continue to be monitored by NSF. Centers that are not meeting the exceptional expectations of a Phase II Center may have their level of funding reduced or terminated.

Full CREST Center Proposal Contents

Proposals must include all of the following items. In cases where the requirements given in this document differ from those given in the PAPPG or Grants.gov Application Guide, this solicitation takes precedence.

1. Cover Sheet

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions. The Title of Proposed Project must begin with "CREST Phase I Center for" or "CREST Phase II Center for"

2. Project Summary (1-page limit)

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions.

3. Table of Contents

A Table of Contents is automatically generated for the proposal by the system. The proposer cannot edit this form.

4. Project Description (15-page limit)

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions. Do not exceed 15 pages including tables and illustrations.

Progress Report (Phase II Only) (1-page limit)

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions.

4.a Problem Description and Rationale for Selected Approach

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions and provide a research plan with sufficient detail to allow assessment of the scientific merit.

4.b Description of the Research Objectives

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions.

4.c Description of the Education and Career Development Objectives

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions.

4.d Broader Impacts

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions.

4.e Collaborations / Partnerships

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions.

4.f Description of the Management Plan

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions.

4.g Evaluation Plan

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions.

4.h Results from Prior NSF Support (included in the Project Description)

See NSF PAPPG for guidelines.

4.i Individual Subproject Narratives (5-page limit per subproject) (Minimum 3, Maximum 5 subprojects)

The subproject narratives will contain the elements of an abbreviated NSF research proposal limited to a total of 5 pages per subproject. This is in addition to the 15 pages of the project description. Begin each subproject with the subproject number, subproject title, and subproject leader. Example: Subproject 1: Research on new materials. PI: Samantha Smith. Continue with the Subproject Summary that includes Intellectual Merit and Broader Impacts and then the remainder of the Subproject Narrative. Subproject references are to be included in the References Cited section of the CREST Center proposal (See 7 below). Do not divide subprojects into sub-subprojects. The subprojects should result in data that can be used for the submission of a proposal to NSF or other funding sources.

5. Facilities, Equipment and Other Resources (1-page limit)

Provide a synopsis of institutional resources that will be available (dedicated space, access to facilities and instrumentation, faculty and staff positions, including plans to make cluster hires if appropriate, access to programs that assist with curriculum development or broadening participation, or other institutional programs that could provide support). The description should be narrative in nature and must not include any quantifiable financial information.

6. Budget and Budget Justification

CREST Center awards will not exceed \$1,500,000 annually / \$7,500,000 during a five-year period.

Provide a budget for each year of the project. A cumulative budget will be generated automatically. The proposed budget should be consistent with the needs and complexity of the proposed activity. Funds also should be included for attendance at the annual PI meeting in Washington, D.C.

Submission of a budget justification outlining all requested expenditures is required. Identify items of equipment costing more than \$25,000. Full justification for these is required.

Partner institutions external to the primary (lead institution) must be budgeted as subawardees. The total amount of funding to subawardee institutions must reflect the institution's effort and is limited to no more than \$150,000 per institution per year. The total amount of funding to all subawardee institutions cannot exceed 10% of the cumulative budget going to the primary institution, for example \$750,000 for a \$7,500,000 budget.

Financial support may be provided to student participants under CREST projects. However, financial support may only be provided to students that are U.S. citizens, nationals, or permanent residents. Student support should be included on the "stipends" line under the "Participant Support Costs" section of the budget. Stipends to students should not replace other need-based grants and scholarships already awarded to the students.

Participants need to be paid according to institutional practices. If using a different scale, its use must be justified in the application.

7. References Cited (5-page limit)

See NSF PAPPG for format guidelines.

8. Biographical Sketches

Biographical sketches are required for all senior personnel (PI and any faculty members whose research, education, or broadening participation efforts will be supported). All biographical sketches should follow PAPPG guidelines.

9. Current and Pending Support

Provide current and pending support information for each individual designated as Senior Personnel in accordance with the guidance in the PAPPG.

10. Special Information and Supplementary Documents

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions.

10.a Identification of Partner Institution(s) and Project Personnel (2-page limit)

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions.

10.b Ethics Plan (1-page limit)

Provide a clear statement of the CREST Center's proposed policies on ethics training, responsible conduct of research, and intellectual property rights. A program of training in ethics and responsible conduct of research for all faculty, postdoctoral researchers, and graduate and undergraduate students involved in the CREST Center is required. Training topics should include the nature of the research, methodologies used, ownership of research and ideas, roles and responsibilities regarding intellectual property, and civil treatment of colleagues.

10.c Shared Experimental Facilities (1-page limit)

When appropriate, describe the shared facilities to be established, including research instrumentation.

The following elements should be addressed in this section: maintenance and operation of facilities, including assurance of organizational commitments/support; infrastructure and technical expertise to ensure effective usage; and provisions for user fees and plans for ensuring maintenance and operation of facilities after the end of the award.

10.d Data Management Plan (2-page limit)

Describe how the proposal conforms to NSF policy on the storage, dissemination and sharing of data and research results. The following items should be included in this subsection: the types of data, samples, physical collections, software, curriculum materials, and other materials to be produced in the course of the project; the standards to be used for data and metadata format and content (where existing standards are absent or deemed inadequate, this should be documented along with any proposed solutions or remedies); policies for access and sharing including provisions for appropriate protection of privacy, confidentiality, security, intellectual property, or other rights or requirements; policies and provisions for re-use, re-distribution, and the production of derivatives; and plans for archiving data, samples, and other research products, and for preservation of access.

10.e Postdoctoral Researcher Mentoring Plan (1-page limit)

Each proposal that requests funding to support postdoctoral researchers must include a description of the mentoring activities that will be provided for such individuals. Proposers are advised that the mentoring plan may not be used to circumvent the Project Description page limitation.

10.f Student Mentoring Plan (1-page limit)

Each proposal that requests funding to support undergraduate students and/or graduate students must include a description of the mentoring activities that will be provided for such individuals. Proposers are advised that the mentoring plan may not be used to circumvent the Project Description page limitation. Please note that this is different than the Postdoctoral Researcher Mentoring Plan required by the PAPPG.

10.g Letter of Support (2-page limit) (Required) and Letters of Collaboration (Required) (2-page limit each)

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions.

10.h Quotes for Equipment

Include quotes for equipment costing more than \$25,000.

11. Information to be submitted to NSF via the Single Copy Documents Section

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions.

11.a Optional List of Suggested Reviewers

Refer to preliminary proposal instructions.

11.b Required Single Copy Documents

1. Collaborators and Other Affiliations Information
2. Submit the list of subproject PIs and Co-PIs. List the individual's last name, first name, middle initial, and e-mail address. These individuals must also be included in the Manage Personnel section of Research.gov and must submit COA, biographical sketches, and other required documents.

CREST Partnership Supplements Contents

Supplemental funding requests should be prepared in accordance with the guidance contained in PAPPG Chapter VI.E and must be submitted via Research.gov.

- **CREST Partnership Supplements Project Summary**

Begin the Summary of Proposed Work section with "This CREST Partnership Supplement"

B. Budgetary Information

Cost Sharing:

Inclusion of voluntary committed cost sharing is prohibited.

Other Budgetary Limitations:

CREST Center awards will not exceed \$1,500,000 annually / \$7,500,000 during a five-year period.

CREST Partnership Supplements will not exceed \$100,000.

Budget Preparation Instructions:

Partner institutions external to the primary (lead institution) must be budgeted as subawardees. The total amount of funding to subawardee institutions must reflect the institution's effort and is limited to no more than \$150,000 per institution per year. The total amount of funding to all subawardee institutions cannot exceed 10% of the cumulative budget going to the primary institution, for example \$750,000 for a \$7,500,000 budget.

Financial support may be provided to student participants under the CREST Center. However, financial support may only be provided to students that are U.S. citizens, nationals, or permanent residents. Student support should be included on the "stipends" line under the "Participant Support Costs" section of the budget. Stipends to students should not replace other need-based grants and scholarships already awarded to the students.

C. Due Dates

- **Preliminary Proposal Due Date(s) (required)** (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

December 01, 2023

First Friday in December, Every Other Year Thereafter

- **Supplement Due Date(s)** (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

December 01, 2023

First Friday in December, Annually Thereafter

- **Full Proposal Deadline(s)** (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

December 06, 2024

First Friday in December, Every Other Year Thereafter

By invitation only

D. Research.gov/Grants.gov Requirements

For Proposals Submitted Via Research.gov:

To prepare and submit a proposal via Research.gov, see detailed technical instructions available at:

[https://www.research.gov/research-portal/appmanager/base/desktop?](https://www.research.gov/research-portal/appmanager/base/desktop?_nfpb=true&_pageLabel=research_node_display&_nodePath=/researchGov/Service/Desktop/ProposalPreparation)

[_nfpb=true&_pageLabel=research_node_display&_nodePath=/researchGov/Service/Desktop/ProposalPreparation](https://www.research.gov/research-portal/appmanager/base/desktop?_nfpb=true&_pageLabel=research_node_display&_nodePath=/researchGov/Service/Desktop/ProposalPreparation)

For Research.gov user support, call the Research.gov Help Desk at 1-800-673-6188 or e-mail rgov@nsf.gov.

The Research.gov Help Desk answers general technical questions related to the use of the Research.gov system. Specific questions related to this program solicitation should be referred to the NSF program staff contact(s) listed in Section VIII of this funding opportunity.

For Proposals Submitted Via Grants.gov:

Before using Grants.gov for the first time, each organization must register to create an institutional profile. Once registered, the applicant's organization can then apply for any federal grant on the Grants.gov website. Comprehensive information about using Grants.gov is available on the Grants.gov Applicant Resources webpage: <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants.html>. In addition, the NSF Grants.gov Application Guide (see link in Section V.A) provides instructions regarding the technical

preparation of proposals via Grants.gov. For Grants.gov user support, contact the Grants.gov Contact Center at 1-800-518-4726 or by email: support@grants.gov. The Grants.gov Contact Center answers general technical questions related to the use of Grants.gov. Specific questions related to this program solicitation should be referred to the NSF program staff contact(s) listed in Section VIII of this solicitation.

Submitting the Proposal: Once all documents have been completed, the Authorized Organizational Representative (AOR) must submit the application to Grants.gov and verify the desired funding opportunity and agency to which the application is submitted. The AOR must then sign and submit the application to Grants.gov. The completed application will be transferred to Research.gov for further processing.

Proposers that submitted via Research.gov may use Research.gov to verify the status of their submission to NSF. For proposers that submitted via Grants.gov, until an application has been received and validated by NSF, the Authorized Organizational Representative may check the status of an application on Grants.gov. After proposers have received an e-mail notification from NSF, Research.gov should be used to check the status of an application.

VI. NSF Proposal Processing And Review Procedures

Proposals received by NSF are assigned to the appropriate NSF program for acknowledgement and, if they meet NSF requirements, for review. All proposals are carefully reviewed by a scientist, engineer, or educator serving as an NSF Program Officer, and usually by three to ten other persons outside NSF either as *ad hoc* reviewers, panelists, or both, who are experts in the particular fields represented by the proposal. These reviewers are selected by Program Officers charged with oversight of the review process. Proposers are invited to suggest names of persons they believe are especially well qualified to review the proposal and/or persons they would prefer not review the proposal. These suggestions may serve as one source in the reviewer selection process at the Program Officer's discretion. Submission of such names, however, is optional. Care is taken to ensure that reviewers have no conflicts of interest with the proposal. In addition, Program Officers may obtain comments from site visits before recommending final action on proposals. Senior NSF staff further review recommendations for awards. A flowchart that depicts the entire NSF proposal and award process (and associated timeline) is included in PAPPG Exhibit III-1.

A comprehensive description of the Foundation's merit review process is available on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/merit_review/.

Proposers should also be aware of core strategies that are essential to the fulfillment of NSF's mission, as articulated in [Leading the World in Discovery and Innovation, STEM Talent Development and the Delivery of Benefits from Research - NSF Strategic Plan for Fiscal Years \(FY\) 2022 - 2026](#). These strategies are integrated in the program planning and implementation process, of which proposal review is one part. NSF's mission is particularly well-implemented through the integration of research and education and broadening participation in NSF programs, projects, and activities.

One of the strategic objectives in support of NSF's mission is to foster integration of research and education through the programs, projects, and activities it supports at academic and research institutions. These institutions must recruit, train, and prepare a diverse STEM workforce to advance the frontiers of science and participate in the U.S. technology-based economy. NSF's contribution to the national innovation ecosystem is to provide cutting-edge research under the guidance of the Nation's most creative scientists and engineers. NSF also supports development of a strong science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) workforce by investing in building the knowledge that informs improvements in STEM teaching and learning.

NSF's mission calls for the broadening of opportunities and expanding participation of groups, institutions, and geographic regions that are underrepresented in STEM disciplines, which is essential to the health and vitality of science and engineering. NSF is committed to this principle of diversity and deems it central to the programs, projects, and activities it considers and supports.

A. Merit Review Principles and Criteria

The National Science Foundation strives to invest in a robust and diverse portfolio of projects that creates new knowledge and enables breakthroughs in understanding across all areas of science and engineering research and education. To identify which projects to support, NSF relies on a merit review process that incorporates consideration of both the technical aspects of a proposed project and its potential to contribute more broadly to advancing NSF's mission "to promote the progress of science; to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare; to secure the national defense; and for other purposes." NSF makes every effort to conduct a fair, competitive, transparent merit review process for the selection of projects.

1. Merit Review Principles

These principles are to be given due diligence by PIs and organizations when preparing proposals and managing projects, by reviewers when reading and evaluating proposals, and by NSF program staff when determining whether or not to recommend proposals for funding and while overseeing awards. Given that NSF is the primary federal agency charged with nurturing and supporting excellence in basic research and education, the following three principles apply:

- All NSF projects should be of the highest quality and have the potential to advance, if not transform, the frontiers of knowledge.
- NSF projects, in the aggregate, should contribute more broadly to achieving societal goals. These "Broader Impacts" may be accomplished through the research itself, through activities that are directly related to specific research projects, or through activities that are supported by, but are complementary to, the project. The project activities may be based on previously established and/or innovative methods and approaches, but in either case must be well justified.
- Meaningful assessment and evaluation of NSF funded projects should be based on appropriate metrics, keeping in mind the likely correlation between the effect of broader impacts and the resources provided to implement projects. If the size of the activity is limited, evaluation of that activity in isolation is not likely to be meaningful. Thus, assessing the effectiveness of these activities may best be done at a higher, more aggregated, level than the individual project.

With respect to the third principle, even if assessment of Broader Impacts outcomes for particular projects is done at an aggregated level, PIs are expected to be accountable for carrying out the activities described in the funded project. Thus, individual projects should include clearly stated goals, specific descriptions of the activities that the PI intends to do, and a plan in place to document the outputs of those activities.

These three merit review principles provide the basis for the merit review criteria, as well as a context within which the users of the criteria can better understand their intent.

2. Merit Review Criteria

All NSF proposals are evaluated through use of the two National Science Board approved merit review criteria. In some instances, however, NSF will employ additional criteria as required to highlight the specific objectives of certain programs and activities.

The two merit review criteria are listed below. **Both** criteria are to be given **full consideration** during the review and decision-making processes; each criterion is necessary but neither, by itself, is sufficient. Therefore, proposers must fully address both criteria. (PAPPG Chapter II.D.2.d(i). contains additional information for use by proposers in development of the Project Description section of the proposal). Reviewers are strongly encouraged to review the criteria, including PAPPG Chapter II.D.2.d(i), prior to the review of a proposal.

When evaluating NSF proposals, reviewers will be asked to consider what the proposers want to do, why they want to do it, how they plan to do it, how they will know if they succeed, and what benefits could accrue if the project is successful. These issues apply both to the technical aspects of the proposal and the way in which the project may make broader contributions. To that end, reviewers will be asked to evaluate all proposals against two criteria:

- **Intellectual Merit:** The Intellectual Merit criterion encompasses the potential to advance knowledge; and

- **Broader Impacts:** The Broader Impacts criterion encompasses the potential to benefit society and contribute to the achievement of specific, desired societal outcomes.

The following elements should be considered in the review for both criteria:

1. What is the potential for the proposed activity to
 - a. Advance knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields (Intellectual Merit); and
 - b. Benefit society or advance desired societal outcomes (Broader Impacts)?
2. To what extent do the proposed activities suggest and explore creative, original, or potentially transformative concepts?
3. Is the plan for carrying out the proposed activities well-reasoned, well-organized, and based on a sound rationale? Does the plan incorporate a mechanism to assess success?
4. How well qualified is the individual, team, or organization to conduct the proposed activities?
5. Are there adequate resources available to the PI (either at the home organization or through collaborations) to carry out the proposed activities?

Broader impacts may be accomplished through the research itself, through the activities that are directly related to specific research projects, or through activities that are supported by, but are complementary to, the project. NSF values the advancement of scientific knowledge and activities that contribute to achievement of societally relevant outcomes. Such outcomes include, but are not limited to: full participation of women, persons with disabilities, and other underrepresented groups in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM); improved STEM education and educator development at any level; increased public scientific literacy and public engagement with science and technology; improved well-being of individuals in society; development of a diverse, globally competitive STEM workforce; increased partnerships between academia, industry, and others; improved national security; increased economic competitiveness of the United States; and enhanced infrastructure for research and education.

Proposers are reminded that reviewers will also be asked to review the Data Management Plan and the Postdoctoral Researcher Mentoring Plan, as appropriate.

Additional Solicitation Specific Review Criteria

Reviewers will be asked to consider the integrative nature of the proposed CREST Center. Questions to be considered include:

1. Is there a demonstrated need for CREST Centers funding in order to expand the institution's research capacity?
2. What is the potential of the proposed CREST Center to achieve national research competitiveness?
3. Does the institution offer a Ph.D. in the center's research area and are the CREST investigators funded in the center's research area.
4. What is the potential for the proposed CREST Center to increase representation in a STEM area with less than 40% of graduates coming from members of minority groups?
5. What is the potential for the proposed CREST Center to increase the career success of faculty, post-docs, and students from groups underrepresented in STEM?
6. To what extent are the educational activities innovative and well-integrated with the research, and how do the educational activities contribute to the unifying mission of the proposed Center?
7. To what extent are the institutional and other commitments appropriate to ensure the success of the proposed Center?
8. What is the potential of the proposed CREST Center to achieve sustainability from non-CREST funding?
9. To what extent are the collaborations/partnerships appropriate to support the goals of the proposed Center?
10. Was significant progress achieved on Phase I? (For Phase II preliminary and full proposals)?

B. Review and Selection Process

Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation will be reviewed by Ad hoc Review and/or Panel Review.

Reviewers will be asked to evaluate proposals using two National Science Board approved merit review criteria and, if applicable, additional program specific criteria. A summary rating and accompanying narrative will generally be completed and submitted by each reviewer and/or panel. The Program Officer assigned to manage the proposal's review will consider the advice of reviewers and will formulate a recommendation.

After scientific, technical and programmatic review and consideration of appropriate factors, the NSF Program Officer recommends to the cognizant Division Director whether the proposal should be declined or recommended for award. NSF strives to be able to tell applicants whether their proposals have been declined or recommended for funding within six months. Large or particularly complex proposals or proposals from new awardees may require additional review and processing time. The time interval begins on the deadline or target date, or receipt date, whichever is later. The interval ends when the Division Director acts upon the Program Officer's recommendation.

After programmatic approval has been obtained, the proposals recommended for funding will be forwarded to the Division of Grants and Agreements or the Division of Acquisition and Cooperative Support for review of business, financial, and policy implications. After an administrative review has occurred, Grants and Agreements Officers perform the processing and issuance of a grant or other agreement. Proposers are cautioned that only a Grants and Agreements Officer may make commitments, obligations or awards on behalf of NSF or authorize the expenditure of funds. No commitment on the part of NSF should be inferred from technical or budgetary discussions with a NSF Program Officer. A Principal Investigator or organization that makes financial or personnel commitments in the absence of a grant or cooperative agreement signed by the NSF Grants and Agreements Officer does so at their own risk.

Once an award or declination decision has been made, Principal Investigators are provided feedback about their proposals. In all cases, reviews are treated as confidential documents. Verbatim copies of reviews, excluding the names of the reviewers or any reviewer-identifying information, are sent to the Principal Investigator/Project Director by the Program Officer. In addition, the proposer will receive an explanation of the decision to award or decline funding.

VII. Award Administration Information

A. Notification of the Award

Notification of the award is made to *the submitting organization* by an NSF Grants and Agreements Officer. Organizations whose proposals are declined will be advised as promptly as possible by the cognizant NSF Program administering the program. Verbatim copies of reviews, not including the identity of the reviewer, will be provided automatically to the Principal Investigator. (See Section VI.B. for additional information on the review process.)

B. Award Conditions

An NSF award consists of: (1) the award notice, which includes any special provisions applicable to the award and any numbered amendments thereto; (2) the budget, which indicates the amounts, by categories of expense, on which NSF has based its support (or otherwise communicates any specific approvals or disapprovals of proposed expenditures); (3) the proposal referenced in the award notice; (4) the applicable award conditions, such as Grant General Conditions (GC-1)*; or Research Terms and Conditions* and (5) any announcement or other NSF issuance that may be incorporated by reference in the award notice. Cooperative agreements also are administered in accordance with NSF Cooperative Agreement Financial and Administrative Terms and Conditions (CA-FATC) and the applicable Programmatic Terms and Conditions. NSF awards are electronically signed by an NSF Grants and Agreements Officer and transmitted electronically to the organization via e-mail.

*These documents may be accessed electronically on NSF's Website at https://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/award_conditions.jsp?org=NSF. Paper copies may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-8134 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov.

More comprehensive information on NSF Award Conditions and other important information on the administration of NSF awards is contained in the NSF *Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide* (PAPPG) Chapter VII, available electronically on the NSF Website at https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg.

Administrative and National Policy Requirements

Build America, Buy America

As expressed in Executive Order 14005, [Ensuring the Future is Made in All of America by All of America's Workers](#) (86 FR 7475), it is the policy of the executive branch to use terms and conditions of Federal financial assistance awards to maximize, consistent with law, the use of goods, products, and materials produced in, and services offered in, the United States.

Consistent with the requirements of the Build America, Buy America Act (Pub. L. 117-58, Division G, Title IX, Subtitle A, November 15, 2021), no funding made available through this funding opportunity may be obligated for an award unless all iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in the project are produced in the United States. For additional information, visit NSF's [Build America, Buy America](#) webpage.

Special Award Conditions:

Awardees may expect site visits and reverse site visits by NSF-appointed evaluators per the particular terms and conditions established in the award documentation.

Each CREST Center shall convene, at least annually, an external advisory committee (EAC). The advisors should include representatives from those served by the CREST Center (e.g., academic institutions, industry, state and local agencies, national laboratories) and reflect the diversity of participants inherent in the citizenry of the United States. NSF highly encourages industry members in the EAC that can advise on intellectual property issues, acquisition of SBIR funding, and other means to support the sustainability of the CREST Center. Persons with a financial, institutional, or collaborative connection to the CREST Center may not serve as members of the EAC. Do not identify members of the EAC.

Each CREST Center shall have an internal steering committee to include the PI, co-PIs, and other applicable stakeholders.

CREST personnel will be expected to participate in convocations of the Division of Equity for Excellence in STEM (EES) activities and PI meetings.

C. Reporting Requirements

For all multi-year grants (including both standard and continuing grants), the Principal Investigator must submit an annual project report to the cognizant Program Officer no later than 90 days prior to the end of the current budget period. (Some programs or awards require submission of more frequent project reports). No later than 120 days following expiration of a grant, the PI also is required to submit a final project report, and a project outcomes report for the general public.

Failure to provide the required annual or final project reports, or the project outcomes report, will delay NSF review and processing of any future funding increments as well as any pending proposals for all identified PIs and co-PIs on a given award. PIs should examine the formats of the required reports in advance to assure availability of required data.

PIs are required to use NSF's electronic project-reporting system, available through [Research.gov](#), for preparation and submission of annual and final project reports. Such reports provide information on accomplishments, project participants (individual and organizational), publications, and other specific products and impacts of the project. Submission of the report via [Research.gov](#) constitutes certification by the PI that the contents of the report are accurate and complete. The project outcomes report also must be prepared and submitted using [Research.gov](#). This report serves as a brief summary, prepared specifically for the public, of the nature and outcomes of the project. This report will be posted on the NSF website exactly as it is submitted by the PI.

More comprehensive information on NSF Reporting Requirements and other important information on the administration of NSF awards is contained in the *NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide* (PAPPG) Chapter VII, available electronically on the NSF Website at https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg.

Additional Reporting Requirements:

Awardees are encouraged to use the NSF Education and Training Application (ETAP) to manage participants applications for CREST support.

Awardees may also expect site visits and reverse site visits by NSF-appointed evaluators per the particular terms and conditions established in the award documentation.

Acknowledgment of Support and Disclaimer

All publications, presentations, and creative works based on activities conducted during the award must acknowledge NSF CREST support and provide a disclaimer by including the following statement in the Acknowledgements or other appropriate section:

"This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation CREST Centers under Grant No. (NSF grant number). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation."

Program Evaluation

The Division of Equity for Excellence in STEM (EES) conducts evaluations to provide evidence on the impact of the EES programs (i.e., CREST Centers) on individuals' career progress, as well as professional productivity; and to provide an understanding of the program policies in achieving the program goal. Additionally, it is highly desirable to have a structured means of tracking awardees to assess the impact CREST Centers have had on their career. Accordingly, CREST Centers support recipients may be contacted for updates on various aspects of their employment history, professional activities and accomplishments, participation in international research collaborations, and other information helpful in evaluating the impact of the program. CREST Centers support recipients and their institutions agree to cooperate in program-level evaluations conducted by the NSF and/or contracted evaluators.

VIII. Agency Contacts

Please note that the program contact information is current at the time of publishing. See program website for any updates to the points of contact.

General inquiries regarding this program should be made to:

- Luis A. Cubano, Lead Program Director, telephone: (703) 292-7941, email: lcubano@nsf.gov
- Sonal S. Dekhane, telephone: (703) 292-5029, email: sdekhane@nsf.gov
- Regina Sievert, telephone: (703) 292-2808, email: rsievert@nsf.gov
- Nicole E. Gass, Program Specialist, telephone: (703) 292-8378, email: ngass@nsf.gov

For questions related to the use of NSF systems contact:

- NSF Help Desk: 1-800-673-6188
- Research.gov Help Desk e-mail: rgov@nsf.gov

For questions relating to Grants.gov contact:

- Grants.gov Contact Center: If the Authorized Organizational Representatives (AOR) has not received a confirmation message from Grants.gov within 48 hours of submission of application, please contact via telephone: 1-800-518-4726; e-mail: support@grants.gov.

IX. Other Information

The NSF website provides the most comprehensive source of information on NSF Directorates (including contact information), programs and funding opportunities. Use of this website by potential proposers is strongly encouraged. In addition, "NSF Update" is an information-delivery system designed to keep potential proposers and other interested parties apprised of new NSF funding opportunities and publications, important changes in proposal and award policies

and procedures, and upcoming NSF [Grants Conferences](#). Subscribers are informed through e-mail or the user's Web browser each time new publications are issued that match their identified interests. "NSF Update" also is available on [NSF's website](#).

Grants.gov provides an additional electronic capability to search for Federal government-wide grant opportunities. NSF funding opportunities may be accessed via this mechanism. Further information on Grants.gov may be obtained at <https://www.grants.gov>.

About The National Science Foundation

The National Science Foundation (NSF) is an independent Federal agency created by the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 USC 1861-75). The Act states the purpose of the NSF is "to promote the progress of science; [and] to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare by supporting research and education in all fields of science and engineering."

NSF funds research and education in most fields of science and engineering. It does this through grants and cooperative agreements to more than 2,000 colleges, universities, K-12 school systems, businesses, informal science organizations and other research organizations throughout the US. The Foundation accounts for about one-fourth of Federal support to academic institutions for basic research.

NSF receives approximately 55,000 proposals each year for research, education and training projects, of which approximately 11,000 are funded. In addition, the Foundation receives several thousand applications for graduate and postdoctoral fellowships. The agency operates no laboratories itself but does support National Research Centers, user facilities, certain oceanographic vessels and Arctic and Antarctic research stations. The Foundation also supports cooperative research between universities and industry, US participation in international scientific and engineering efforts, and educational activities at every academic level.

Facilitation Awards for Scientists and Engineers with Disabilities (FASED) provide funding for special assistance or equipment to enable persons with disabilities to work on NSF-supported projects. See the *NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide* Chapter II.F.7 for instructions regarding preparation of these types of proposals.

The National Science Foundation has Telephonic Device for the Deaf (TDD) and Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) capabilities that enable individuals with hearing impairments to communicate with the Foundation about NSF programs, employment or general information. TDD may be accessed at (703) 292-5090 and (800) 281-8749, FIRS at (800) 877-8339.

The National Science Foundation Information Center may be reached at (703) 292-5111.

The National Science Foundation promotes and advances scientific progress in the United States by competitively awarding grants and cooperative agreements for research and education in the sciences, mathematics, and engineering.

To get the latest information about program deadlines, to download copies of NSF publications, and to access abstracts of awards, visit the NSF Website at <https://www.nsf.gov>

- **Location:** 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22314
- **For General Information** (NSF Information Center): (703) 292-5111
- **TDD (for the hearing-impaired):** (703) 292-5090
- **To Order Publications or Forms:**
 - Send an e-mail to: nsfpubs@nsf.gov
 - or telephone: (703) 292-8134

- **To Locate NSF Employees:**

(703) 292-5111

Privacy Act And Public Burden Statements

The information requested on proposal forms and project reports is solicited under the authority of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended. The information on proposal forms will be used in connection with the selection of qualified proposals; and project reports submitted by awardees will be used for program evaluation and reporting within the Executive Branch and to Congress. The information requested may be disclosed to qualified reviewers and staff assistants as part of the proposal review process; to proposer institutions/grantees to provide or obtain data regarding the proposal review process, award decisions, or the administration of awards; to government contractors, experts, volunteers and researchers and educators as necessary to complete assigned work; to other government agencies or other entities needing information regarding applicants or nominees as part of a joint application review process, or in order to coordinate programs or policy; and to another Federal agency, court, or party in a court or Federal administrative proceeding if the government is a party. Information about Principal Investigators may be added to the Reviewer file and used to select potential candidates to serve as peer reviewers or advisory committee members. See [System of Record Notices, NSF-50](#), "Principal Investigator/Proposal File and Associated Records," and [NSF-51](#), "Reviewer/Proposal File and Associated Records." Submission of the information is voluntary. Failure to provide full and complete information, however, may reduce the possibility of receiving an award.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, an information collection unless it displays a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The OMB control number for this collection is 3145-0058. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 120 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions. Send comments regarding the burden estimate and any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Suzanne H. Plimpton
Reports Clearance Officer
Policy Office, Division of Institution and Award Support
Office of Budget, Finance, and Award Management
National Science Foundation
Alexandria, VA 22314

[Website policies](#) | [Inspector General](#) | [Privacy](#) | [FOIA](#) | [No FEAR Act](#) | [USA.gov](#) | [Accessibility](#) | [Plain language](#) |



National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Ave Alexandria, VA 22314
Tel: (703) 292-5111,