

NSF 24-530: Campus Cyberinfrastructure (CC*)

Program Solicitation

Document Information

Document History

- **Posted:** January 25, 2024
- **Replaces:** [NSF 23-526](#)

[View the program page](#)



National Science Foundation

Directorate for Computer and Information Science and Engineering
Office of Advanced Cyberinfrastructure
Division of Computer and Network Systems
Office of Integrative Activities

Full Proposal Deadline(s) (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

April 24, 2024

October 15, 2024



Table Of Contents

[Summary of Program Requirements](#)

[I. Introduction](#)

[II. Program Description](#)

[III. Award Information](#)

[IV. Eligibility Information](#)

[V. Proposal Preparation and Submission Instructions](#)

[A. Proposal Preparation Instructions](#)

[B. Budgetary Information](#)

[C. Due Dates](#)

[D. Research.gov/Grants.gov Requirements](#)

[VI. NSF Proposal Processing and Review Procedures](#)

A. Merit Review Principles and Criteria

B. Review and Selection Process

VII. Award Administration Information

A. Notification of the Award

B. Award Conditions

C. Reporting Requirements

VIII. Agency Contacts

IX. Other Information

Important Information And Revision Notes

This solicitation reorganizes and revises the program areas.

Any proposal submitted in response to this solicitation should be submitted in accordance with the *NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide* (PAPPG) that is in effect for the relevant due date to which the proposal is being submitted. The NSF PAPPG is regularly revised and it is the responsibility of the proposer to ensure that the proposal meets the requirements specified in this solicitation and the applicable version of the PAPPG. Submitting a proposal prior to a specified deadline does not negate this requirement.

Summary Of Program Requirements

General Information

Program Title:

Campus Cyberinfrastructure (CC*)

Synopsis of Program:

The Campus Cyberinfrastructure (CC*) program invests in coordinated campus-level cyberinfrastructure improvements, innovation, integration, and engineering for science applications and distributed research projects. Projects that help overcome disparities in cyber-connectivity associated with geographic location, and thereby advance the geography of innovation and enable populations based in these locales to become more nationally competitive in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) research and education are particularly encouraged. Science-driven requirements are the primary motivation for any proposed activity.

CC* awards will be supported in five program areas:

- Area (1) Data Driven Networking Infrastructure (Campus or Region),
- Area (2) Computing and the Computing Continuum (Campus or Region),
- Area (3) Network Integration and Applied Innovation (Small or Large),
- Area (4) Data Storage and Digital Archives, (Campus or Region), and
- Area (5) Strategy (Campus or Region).

Cognizant Program Officer(s):

Please note that the following information is current at the time of publishing. See program website for any updates to the points of contact.

- Amy W. Apon, Program Director, CISE/OAC, telephone: (703) 292-5184, email: awapon@nsf.gov
- Kevin L. Thompson, Program Director, CISE/OAC, telephone: (703) 292-4220, email: kthompso@nsf.gov
- Deepankar Medhi, Program Director, CISE/CNS, telephone: (703)292-8950, email: dmedhi@nsf.gov
- Subrata Acharya, Program Director, CISE/CNS, telephone: (703) 292-2451, email: acharyas@nsf.gov
- Nicholas Goldsmith, Assistant Program Director, CISE/CNS, telephone: (703) 292-8950, email: nicgolds@nsf.gov
- Pinhas Ben-Tzvi, Program Director, OD/OIA/EPSCoR, telephone: (703) 292-8246, email: pbentzvi@nsf.gov

Applicable Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number(s):

- 47.070 --- Computer and Information Science and Engineering
- 47.083 --- Office of Integrative Activities (OIA)

Award Information

Anticipated Type of Award: Standard Grant or Continuing Grant

Estimated Number of Awards: 32 to 55

The estimated number of awards per program area is as follows: 8-13 Networking Infrastructure awards; 8-16 Computing and the Computing Continuum awards; 5-8 Network Integration and Applied Innovation awards; 7-10 Data Storage awards; and 4-8 Strategy awards.

Anticipated Funding Amount: \$15,000,000 to \$20,000,000 pending availability of funds and quality of proposals received.

CC* awards will be supported in five program areas and at two levels of funding in each area (Campus/Small or Region/Large) pursuant to the following budget and duration. See the description in each Area for differences between Campus/Small and Region/Large awards.

- Area (1) Data Driven Networking Infrastructure for the Campus awards will be supported up to \$700,000 for up to 2 years. Data Driven Networking Infrastructure for the Region awards will be supported up to \$1,400,000 for up to 2 years.
- Area (2) Computing and the Computing Continuum for the Campus awards will be supported at up to \$700,000 total for up to 2 years. Computing and the Computing Continuum for the Region awards will be supported at up to \$1,400,000 total for up to 2 years.
- Area (3) Network Integration and Applied Innovation awards will be supported at up to \$500,000 for Small proposals and up to \$1,000,000 for Large proposals for up to 2 years.
- Area (4) Data Storage and Digital Archives for the Campus awards will be supported at up to \$700,000 total for up to 2 years. Data Storage and Digital Archives for the Region awards will be supported at up to \$1,400,000 total for up to 2 years.
- Area (5) Strategy for the Campus awards will be supported for up to \$100,000 total for up to 1 year. Strategy for the Region awards will be supported for up to \$200,000 total for up to 2 years.

Eligibility Information

Who May Submit Proposals:

Proposals may only be submitted by the following:

- Institutions of Higher Education (see definition in PAPPG Chapter I.E.1.) may submit proposals to any of the program areas.

NSF welcomes proposals that include efforts to broaden geographic and demographic participation in campus cyberinfrastructure. **Proposals from minority-serving institutions and institutions in EPSCoR-eligible jurisdictions, along with collaborations between these institutions and those in non-EPSCoR jurisdictions, are encouraged.**

Non-profit, Non-academic Organizations (see definition in PAPPG Chapter I.E.1.) may submit to program Area (1) Data Driven Networking Infrastructure for the Region, program Area (2) Computing and the Computing Continuum for the Region, program Area (4) Data Storage and Digital Archives for the Region, and program Area (5) Strategy for the Region only.

Collaborative proposals submitted as simultaneous submission of proposals from different organizations, with each organization requesting a separate award are not allowed. Collaborative proposals must be submitted as a single proposal, in which a single award is being requested (with subawards administered by the lead organization).

For Area (1) Data-Driven Networking Infrastructure for the Campus, Area (2) Computing and the Computing Continuum for the Campus, and Area (4) Data Storage for the Campus, past and current recipients in these areas are not eligible to submit a proposal(s) to that area again for 5 years from the previous award start date. Any Campus-level proposal received from an organization having already received an award in this Campus-level area within 5 years will be returned without review. The five-year rule does not apply to any proposal for the Region in these areas.

Who May Serve as PI:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per PI or co-PI:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Proposal Preparation and Submission Instructions

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

- **Letters of Intent:** Not required
- **Preliminary Proposal Submission:** Not required
- **Full Proposals:**
 - Full Proposals submitted via Research.gov: *NSF Proposal and Award Policies and Procedures Guide* (PAPPG) guidelines apply. The complete text of the PAPPG is available electronically on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg.
 - Full Proposals submitted via Grants.gov: *NSF Grants.gov Application Guide: A Guide for the Preparation and Submission of NSF Applications via Grants.gov* guidelines apply (Note: The *NSF Grants.gov Application Guide* is available on the Grants.gov website and on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=grantsgovguide).

B. Budgetary Information

- **Cost Sharing Requirements:**

Inclusion of voluntary committed cost sharing is prohibited.

- **Indirect Cost (F&A) Limitations:**

Not Applicable

- **Other Budgetary Limitations:**

Not Applicable

C. Due Dates

- **Full Proposal Deadline(s)** (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

April 24, 2024

October 15, 2024

Proposal Review Information Criteria

Merit Review Criteria:

National Science Board approved criteria. Additional merit review criteria apply. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.

Award Administration Information

Award Conditions:

Standard NSF award conditions apply.

Reporting Requirements:

Standard NSF reporting requirements apply.

I. Introduction

Campuses today face challenges across multiple levels of cyberinfrastructure (CI), where meeting the needs of scientific research and education in capacity and services extends to networking, computing, data services, AI, secure and trustworthy systems, and especially human expertise, collaboration, and knowledge sharing. The ubiquity of large-scale datasets and development of new AI methodologies for scientific advancement and discovery are leading to an increased focus in addressing the data challenges posed by the NSF research and education community.

NSF addresses the growing requirements of the NSF community, and opportunities to innovate, in cyberinfrastructure through the CC* program, which invests in innovative, coordinated, and secure campus, multi-campus and multi-institution CI components. The CC* solicitation invests in coordinated cyberinfrastructure improvements at both the campus and regional levels, including campus network upgrades and re-architecting, innovative development and integration of new networking capabilities, computing, storage, multi-institution integrated CI, and learning and workforce development.

Science-driven requirements are the primary motivation for any proposed activity. All projects supported by CC* should be driven by STEM research and education needs that require the support of networking, computing, and storage infrastructure on campuses.

CC* awards will be supported in five program areas and at two levels of funding in each area (Campus/Small or Region/Large) pursuant to the following budget and duration. See the description in each Area for differences between

Campus/Small and Region/Large awards.

- Area (1) Data Driven Networking Infrastructure for the Campus awards will be supported up to \$700,000 for up to 2 years. Data Driven Networking Infrastructure for the Region proposals will be supported up to \$1,400,000 for up to 2 years.
- Area (2) Computing and the Computing Continuum for the Campus awards will be supported up to \$700,000 total for up to 2 years. Computing and the Computing Continuum for the Region awards will be supported up to \$1,400,000 total for up to 2 years.
- Area (3) Network Integration and Applied Innovation awards will be supported up to \$500,000 for Small projects and up to \$1,000,000 for Large projects for up to 2 years.
- Area (4) Data Storage and Digital Archives for the Campus awards will be supported up to \$700,000 total for up to 2 years. Data Storage and Digital Archives for the Region awards will be supported up to \$1,400,000 total for up to 2 years.
- Area (5) Strategy for the Campus awards will be supported up to \$100,000 total for up to 1 year. Strategy for the Region awards will be supported up to \$200,000 total for up to 2 years.

The program aligns with NSF's vision for a holistic CI ecosystem as outlined in "Transforming Science Through Cyberinfrastructure: NSF's Blueprint for a National Cyberinfrastructure Ecosystem for Science and Engineering in the 21st Century" (see <https://www.nsf.gov/cise/oac/vision/blueprint-2019/>). This program supports the aggregation and integration of CI investments at the campus level, with the goal of helping campuses drive toward a 21st-century realization of an integrated CI for enabling science. Program Area (1) addresses science-driven needs in data driven networking intra-campus, and externally, including regional awards with a specific emphasis on supporting the needs of multiple under-resourced campuses through partnerships with small institutions and regional entities with experience in high-performance Research & Education (R&E) networking. Area (2) recognizes the research computing needs at a campus level, and the largely untapped potential to share unused compute cycles and resources across the entire academic fabric of highly connected and increasingly resourced campuses. Regional awards in this area promote coordinated approaches in scientific computing at the regional level through investments in computing resources serving scientific computing needs spanning a state or region's small and under-resourced institutions. Area (3) goes beyond networking infrastructure investments in Area (1) by leveraging the campus network as a compelling environment on which to develop and deploy new networking capabilities reflecting applied research and development in networking. Area (4) promotes coordinated approaches in scientific data storage and data management and digital archives at the campus or regional level with an emphasis on small and under-resourced institutions. Area (5) supports strategic planning and coordination for a campus or the region, in part reflecting the challenges for institutions that presently do not participate in the R&E network fabric and community. All areas reflect NSF's goal of democratization and broadening participation in scientific networking, computing, and data.

CC* has increasingly looked for ways to broaden participation more effectively in the program, a direction receiving major impetus with participation in the program by the Office of Integrative Activities (OIA). In July 2021, NSF released the Dear Colleague Letter: Towards an Equitable National Cyberinfrastructure ([NSF 21-108](#)). This effort represents a partnership between NSF's Office of Advanced Cyberinfrastructure (OAC) and the Established Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR) within the Office of Integrative Activities (OIA). This collaboration with CISE/OAC and OIA/EPSCoR aims to encourage proposal submissions to CC* for projects that will help overcome disparities in cyber-connectivity associated with geographic location, and thereby enable the populations based in these locales to become more nationally competitive in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) research and education.

Cyberinfrastructure limitations affect EPSCoR-eligible institutions disproportionately as a group due to generally reduced levels of access to research infrastructure, including cyberinfrastructure. This effect is compounded for institutions within EPSCoR jurisdictions whose existing STEM research and education infrastructure may be more limited, such as Primarily Undergraduate Institutions (PUIs) and Minority-Serving Institutions (MSIs). Additional resources have been published by community organizations to help identify solutions to reduce gaps in cyber-connectivity for MSIs and under-resourced institutions. Through this joint solicitation, CISE/OAC and OIA/EPSCoR aim to address the disparities in campus and regional level networking and cyberinfrastructure, with the goal of leveraging the full spectrum of talent that society has

to offer which includes under-served communities and underrepresented populations across the nation by specifically encouraging proposals that will address this disparity.

II. Program Description

CC* Program-wide Criteria


Science-driven requirements are the primary motivation for any proposed activity. Proposals will be evaluated on the strength of the science enabled (including research and education) as drivers for proposed investment and innovation in cyberinfrastructure. Institutions whose missions are primarily education-focused may choose to present their scientific needs in the context of cyberinfrastructure-enabled education activities and distance education.




A common theme across all aspects of the CC* program is the critical importance of the partnership among campus-level CI experts, including the campus Information Technology (IT)/networking/data organization, contributing domain scientists, research groups, and educators necessary to engage in, and drive, new cyberinfrastructure capabilities and approaches in support of scientific discovery. Proposals across the program should reflect and demonstrate this partnership on campus. Proposals will be evaluated on the strength of institutional partnerships, as they should play a central role in developing and implementing the eventual network and data infrastructure upgrades. Campus IT leadership involvement is a critical element in CC*.

All proposals in Areas (1), (2), (3), and (4) should document explicit partnerships or collaborations with the campus IT/networking organization, as well as one or more domain scientists, research groups, and educators in need of the new cyberinfrastructure capabilities. Partnership documentation from personnel not included in the proposal as PI, co-PI, or Senior/Key Personnel should be in the form of a letter of collaboration located in the Supplementary Documents section of the proposal. A letter of support from a campus leader is strongly encouraged and should address sustainability and commitment from the institution.

It is required that the campus-wide cyberinfrastructure needs are addressed in the proposal. **A proposal focusing on a single science domain or project use will not be considered for funding.**

All proposals submitted to the CC* program, except for submissions in response to Area (5) Strategy, must include a Campus CI plan within which the proposed CI improvements are conceived, designed, and implemented in the context of a coherent campus-wide strategy and approach to CI that is integrated horizontally intra-campus and vertically with regional and national CI investments and best practices. This Campus CI plan must be included as a Supplementary Document and is limited to no more than 5 pages. Proposals missing a Campus CI Plan as a supplementary document will be returned without review.

The website, <http://fasterdata.es.net/campusCIplanning/> , offers several Campus CI plans provided by existing CC* program recipients as examples. Proposals addressing a multi-institution or regional activity and approach to coordinated and integrated CI may submit a Campus CI plan representing the multi-institution group or region.

Since security and resilience are fundamental issues in campus CI, the Campus CI plan should address the campus-wide approach to cybersecurity in the scientific research and education infrastructure, including the campus approach to data and privacy. Campuses should consider merging best practices in network routing security for network operators as expressed in the Mutually Agreed Norms for Routing Security (see <https://www.manrs.org> ). The plan should include the campus status and plans with respect to federated identity and specifically InCommon, including: if the campus is registered with InCommon as supporting the Research and Scholarship (R&S) Entity Category to streamline integration with research applications (see <https://spaces.internet2.edu/display/InCFederation/Research+and+Scholarship+Category> ); and if the campus meets the InCommon Baseline Expectations for Trust in Federation (see <https://spaces.at.internet2.edu/display/BE?preview=/164988631/175965279/TL.34.2-BaselineExpectations-v2-2020-11.pdf> ).

All proposals submitted to CC* will be evaluated on how well they address cybersecurity issues and challenges relevant to their proposed activities. Depending on the type of proposal, these issues may include, but are not limited to data integrity, privacy, network security measures, federated access and identity management, and infrastructure monitoring.

As a campus CI program, funded activities should represent ongoing opportunities for student engagement, education, and training. Proposals that demonstrate opportunities to engage students directly in the design, deployment, operation, and advancement of the funded CI activities, consistent with the required Campus CI plan, are encouraged.

All proposals should consider expected outcomes and explain the compelling need for proposed cyberinfrastructure resources considering the current state of available resources and the expected enabling benefits of the proposed resources to the identified science drivers and applications.

All proposals in Area (1), (2), and (4) will be evaluated on the descriptions of scientific and engineering research projects and their workload needs and the extent to which the proposed resource provides a needed capability required by science, engineering and education. **Proposals in Area (1), (2), and (4) should include a summary table of the science drivers and their resource environments.** These requirements should be specified in clear terms reflecting a specific understanding of the required cyberinfrastructure resources and environment (for example, throughput range in the case of network upgrades; CPU/GPU type, compute job profile parameter ranges, core count ranges per job, times to completion in the case of computing; size and rate of file or data access for storage), or as part of a composition or scientific workflow profile.

All proposals in Area (1), (2), and (4) should include supporting quantitative information, for example, providing current or historical data flow rates or CPU/storage utilization of existing resources in their descriptions of application scenarios and use cases. Proposals should document current utilization in the context of the proposed upgrades. Proposals should justify equipment choices based on the needs of the science drivers and workflows. Proposals will be evaluated on the tangible metrics addressing measures of success of the system or set of activities.

Enabling access to research and education resources external to the campus, including cloud computing resources, is also within scope.

All proposals in Areas (1), (2), and (4) should present a complete technical solution, with complete equipment specifications.

Full equipment quotes are required for Areas (1), (2), and (4) and must be provided in the Supplementary Document section. Proposals in these areas missing equipment quotes will be returned without review.

Proposals will be evaluated on the strength of the project management plan, included in the Project Description, to address clear project goals and milestones. The plan should address roles, responsibilities, and support in the Project Description. Except for Area (5), the plan should clearly describe how a working system will result from the effort.

Target environments must be campus infrastructure residing within the U.S.

A budget request for IT staff support required to configure and operate the resources is an acceptable component of the budget. IT staff support should be documented in coordination with the institution's campus IT or CIO organization. Staffing support may also include training and user on-boarding activities to broaden adoption and use.

The proposal should document campus IT and research leadership commitment to operations and maintenance (O&M) of the resource past the lifetime of the award or describe the strategy for sustaining availability of the resource.

Proposals will be evaluated on the extent to which the proposal addresses the sustainability of the proposed work in terms of ongoing operational and engineering costs.

The program supports open source platforms and solutions. Software license fees are not allowed.

Any budget request for professional services, such as IT staff support, should be documented in coordination with the institution's campus IT or CIO organization.

External professional consulting costs for provisioning the cyberinfrastructure are permitted.

External professional consulting costs for the administration and management of the deployed cyberinfrastructure after provisioning are discouraged.

Consulting costs will be evaluated consistent with the expertise and past experience in building and supporting community research and education cyberinfrastructure.

All proposals to Area (1), (2), and (4) should describe project efforts to provide training and support for users.

CC* awards are not the appropriate mechanism to provide support for individual faculty research projects. Requests for support of such projects should be directed to NSF's research grant programs.

Additional proposal preparation guidance for this area can be found in Section V.A. Proposal Preparation Instructions.

Recipients in Areas (1), (2), and (4) at the Campus-level are not eligible to submit a proposal(s) to the same area at the Campus level again for 5 years from the previous award start date. Any Campus-level proposal received from an organization having already received an award in this Campus-level area within 5 years will be returned without review. The five year rule does not apply to any proposal for the Region in these areas.

Proposals for the Region must include collaborations that go beyond a single campus to target small, under-resourced institutions. Proposals for the Region include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Proposals should broaden participation and significantly widen the set of institutions that have access to regional and national research and education cyberinfrastructure resources, **specifically targeting groups of smaller institutions with fundamental challenges in access to resources.**
- Proposals should address scientific and engineering projects and their research and education cyberinfrastructure needs at targeted small and under resourced institutions, describing project-specific scenarios for scientific computing tied to the proposed cyberinfrastructure resources.
- Proposals should address coordination activities with the participating small institutions by planning for one or more meeting events starting in year 1.
- The lead proposing entity should be experienced in advanced cyberinfrastructure and to be well-resourced and capable of actively working with the participating institutions on designing and implementing the proposed cyberinfrastructure improvements. The partnering institutions' engagement activities may be supported in the proposal and may be included as subawards.
- Proposals for the Region may form collaborations between EPSCoR and non-EPSCoR jurisdictions, with the lead in the EPSCoR jurisdiction where feasible.

All proposals to the CC* program should satisfy the Program-wide criteria.

Summary of Program-wide Criteria:

- **Proposals will be evaluated mainly on the strength of the science research and education use cases presented as drivers for proposed investment and innovation in cyberinfrastructure, quantification of those use cases, and how the use cases relate to the proposed cyberinfrastructure.**
- **Proposals will be evaluated on the strength of institutional partnerships as they should play a central role in developing and implementing the proposed cyberinfrastructure.** The proposal should describe partnerships among campus-level CI experts, including the campus Information Technology (IT)/networking/data organization, contributing domain scientists, research groups, and educators. Personnel not included as PI, co-PI, or Senior/Key Personnel should be documented in the form of a collaboration letter.
- **All proposals must include a Campus CI plan except proposals to Area (5) Strategy.**
- Proposals should address the sustainability of the proposed work. Specifically, the proposal should document campus IT and research leadership commitment to operations and maintenance(O&M) of the resource past the lifetime of the award or describe the strategy for sustaining availability of the resource.
- Proposals should address relevant cybersecurity issues that may include, but are not limited to data integrity, privacy, network security measures, federated access and identity management, and infrastructure monitoring.
- Funded activities should represent ongoing opportunities for student engagement, education, and training.

- Proposals in Area (1), (2), and (4) should include a summary table of the science drivers and their resource environments.
- Proposals should include supporting quantitative information and to justify equipment choices based on the needs of the science drivers and workflows.
- Proposals in Areas (1), (2), and (4) should present a complete technical solution, with complete equipment specifications. **Full equipment quotes are required and should be provided in the Supplementary Document section.**
- Proposals should include, in the Project Description, a project management plan addressing clear project goals, milestones, roles, and responsibilities.
- Proposals should describe the expected benefits to the identified science drivers and applications enabled by the proposed resources.
- Target environments are campus infrastructure residing within the U.S.
- Proposals should describe full open source-based configurations and solutions. Software license fees are not allowed.
- Any budget request for professional services, such as IT staff support, should be documented in coordination with the institution's campus IT or CIO organization.
- External professional consulting costs for production administration and management of the cyberinfrastructure after deployment are discouraged. Consulting costs will be evaluated consistent with the expertise and past experience in building and supporting community research and education cyberinfrastructure.
- Proposals should describe project efforts to provide training and support for users.
- **Proposals for the Region must address campus cyberinfrastructure needs spanning multiple under-resourced institutions and address research and education needs at the targeted small and under-resourced institutions. Proposals addressing a single institution that are submitted as proposals for the Region will be returned without review.**
- Proposals for the Region should address coordination activities with the participating small institutions by planning for one or more meeting events starting in year 1. Participant support costs for these activities are permitted and must be justified.

Program Areas

CC* awards will be supported in five program areas and at two levels of funding in most areas (Campus/Small or Region/Large) pursuant to the following budget and duration. See the description in each Area for invitations to submit in Campus/Small and Region/Large proposals. Areas include (1) Data Driven Networking Infrastructure, (2) Computing and the Computing Continuum, (3) Network Integration and Applied Innovation, (4) Data Storage and Digital Archives, and (5) Strategy.


Area (1) Data-Driven Networking Infrastructure for the Campus or Region

Proposals submitted to this area address network infrastructure improvements to enable national and global high-performance end-to-end access to dynamic network services that in turn enable rapid, unimpeded movement of diverse and distributed scientific data sets and advanced computing. Proposals may target either the Campus or the Region. Proposals in this area should focus on supporting their institutions' science research and education needs and aspirations and discuss how these needs and aspirations translate to the need for greater connectedness and investment in network capacity. Access to research and education resources external to the campus, including cloud computing resources, is also within scope.


Campus networking improvements include, but are not limited to, the following types of activities:

- Network upgrades within a campus network to support a wide range of science data-flows (including large files, distributed data, sensor networks, real-time data sources, and virtualized instruments for computer systems

research");

- Re-architecting a campus network to support large science data flows, for example, by designing and building a Science DMZ (see <http://fasterdata.es.net/science-dmz/>  for more information on the Science DMZ approach); and/or
- A network connection upgrade for the campus connection to a regional optical exchange or point-of-presence that connects to a state/regional/national network aggregation point prioritizing support for research and education.

Proposals for the Region networking improvements include the same types of activities for a single campus expanded to span multiple small and under-resourced campuses. These proposals should address multiple campuses and their science driven data networking needs and also:"

- increase research and education (R&E) network connectivity across smaller institutions coordinated and led by a Regional Optical Network (RON) or a leadership institution in R&E networking in the region.
- may be led by established regional and state R&E data networks and data network-based consortia. Example entities are listed as members of the national regional networks consortium called the Quilt (see <https://www.thequilt.net/about-us/the-quilt-participants/> ). For areas of the US without a state or regional level coordinating entity and associated structure and network infrastructure, proposals will be accepted from self-declared leadership universities. **An institution may also lead a proposal in regions with an established RON with documented coordination with the RON.**
- **are required to address campus networking needs spanning multiple under-resourced institutions. Proposals addressing a single institution that are submitted as proposals for the Region will be returned without review.** Proposals may choose to apply an alternative design framework to the conventional single institution context in Area (1) and consider an aggregation model where some or all associated resources and services (e.g., Science DMZ) are centralized at a regional level.
- may include equipment and resources targeted for the state or regional network infrastructure. Such investments should be justified in the proposal in the context of needed improvements at the state and regional aggregation level in order to support the target institutions' external connectivity regionally, nationally, and globally for enabling R&E collaborations, as well as lack of alternate funding sources.
- should include the role of a Project Manager function with duties reflecting the coordination challenges of multi-institutional physical networking upgrades.



All Area (1) proposals may wish to consider the application of new technologies as an element of their engineering solution to network-based challenges in enabling scientific research and education on their campus. For example, proposals may include multi-gigabit or environment-constrained technologies to connect new instrumentation, resources, or communities relevant to the proposing institution. Note that any wireless solution proposed should address research and education needs as the singular priority, as opposed to a general campus wireless network.


All proposals to Area (1) should include a summary table of the science drivers and their network requirements that are specified in clear terms reflecting a specific understanding of the required networking resources and environment, for example, data flow, throughput rates, total data to transfer or as part of a composition or scientific workflow profile.


All Area (1) proposals are required to include a network diagram of the proposed network upgrades. Proposals missing a network diagram will be returned without review. Proposals should document current utilization in the context of the proposed upgrades. Proposals should include detailed and legible campus network diagrams for each participating institution "before" and "after" the proposed efforts showing end user connectivity. These diagrams may be included as Supplementary Documents.


Budgets should reflect a network-based upgrade. Proposals with budgets dominated by non-networking equipment are strongly discouraged. Proposals may include nominal configurations for a Data Transfer Node (DTN) as part of their Science DMZ designs; however, the DTN component should be less than 15% of the overall budget. Compute resources

should not be included in the budget unless they are an integrated component of the DTN platform. See Area (2) below for funding opportunities in campus and regional computing.

Proposals may focus on upgrading an institution's connectivity to the national research and education community and/or point to a need to redesign their campus network to better support academic data flows, such as the Science DMZ approach (see <http://fasterdata.es.net/science-dmz/>  for more information). Connectivity solutions between R&E buildings and sites are also in scope. Proposals are encouraged to leverage objective community expertise and experience in high-performance networking equipment and configurations available from the NSF-funded EPOC project at: <https://epoc.global/cc/> .

All Area (1) proposals are encouraged to engage community knowledge and expertise for relevant technical areas of proposal development. In addressing relevant security considerations in the proposed design, proposals are encouraged to reference the Energy Science Network's (ESnet) design guidance for the Science DMZ, including this element: "Security policies and enforcement mechanisms that are tailored for high performance science environments." ESnet provides more detailed guidance on security considerations in the design of the Science DMZ at: <http://fasterdata.es.net/science-dmz/science-dmz-security/> . If a proposal chooses to discuss design of a proposed Science DMZ, NSF encourages adoption of guidance found on the ESnet website.

All Area (1) proposals should describe an approach to end-to-end network performance measurement based on the PerfSonar framework with associated tool installation and use; proposals may describe an alternative approach to PerfSonar with sufficient justification. Proposers are encouraged to reference the following community website for more information on PerfSonar: <http://fasterdata.es.net/performance-testing/perfsonar/> . Proposals should address end-to-end networking performance in considering metrics of success.

All Area (1) proposers are strongly encouraged to engage with the relevant state or regional research and education optical network entity. Most of these entities are members of a national organization called Quilt and can be found here: <http://thequilt.net/> .

All Area (1) proposals should allocate at least 50% of the budget to network equipment.

Proposals in this area require titles that begin with "CC*Network-Campus:" for proposed network improvements at the campus level or "CC*Network-Region:" for proposed improvements for the region followed by the title of the project.

Only Institutions of Higher Education are eligible to submit Campus proposals in this program area.

Institutions of Higher Education and Non-profit, Non-academic Organizations are eligible to submit proposals for the "Region in this program area.

Proposals to Area (1) received without the following items will be returned without review:

- a network diagram of the proposed network upgrades.
- itemized vendor quotes.
- a Campus CI plan as a Supplementary Document, limited to no more than 5 pages (see Section II. Program-wide Criteria above for more information).

Area (2) Computing and the Computing Continuum for the Campus or Region




Local campus computing resources have emerged as an important aggregated and shared layer of scientific computing. This program area promotes coordinated approaches in scientific computing at the campus or regional level and invests in the seeding of new and shared computing resources through investments in capacity computing. The program area promotes a coordinated approach incentivizing multi-campus and national resource sharing as enabled by the OSG Consortium, an NSF-supported fabric of distributed scientific computing services that federate computing capacity across more than 150 institutions that delivered 2.6 billion CPU hours of scientific computing in calendar year 2023.

NSF encourages campus-level proposals in this program area from under-resourced institutions and strong preference will be given to proposals demonstrating a compelling need for access to campus and distributed computing resources.

Campus proposals may request funding for the acquisition of a shared, high-performance network-connected compute resource available to scientific computing users on campus and outside of campus.

Proposals for the Region in this area include all of the guidance and requirements of campus-level proposals and expand the impact and usage of the compute resource on multiple small and under-resourced campuses identified in the proposal. Proposals for the Region:

- promote coordinated approaches in scientific computing resources serving scientific computing needs spanning a state's or region's small and under resourced institutions.
- are led by regional and state research and education leadership organizations.
- may request funding for the acquisition of a shared, high-performance network-connected compute resource available to scientific computing users across a defined set of campuses.
- **are required to address computing needs spanning multiple under-resourced institutions. A proposal focusing on a single campus but submitted as a proposal for the Region will be returned without review.**
- fund computing resources primarily used by the targeted small and under resourced institutions.

A tenant of CC* is to allow a campus to engage more deeply with collaborators at the regional and national levels for provisioning of cyberinfrastructure. Examples may include ACCESS (<https://access-ci.org/> ) , PATH (<https://path-cc.io/> ), and regional compute, and data centers such as MGHPCC (<https://www.mghpcc.org/> ) and others. For single campuses that have no access to basic infrastructure to support owning and operating a computing and data resources, a partnership between the campus, PI and computing center or manager could enable a PI and campus to work with a provider to design a solution in which the provider configures and operates the resource on behalf of the campus. NSF views this area as an opportunity, especially for under-resourced institutions, to develop a scientific computing strategy, describe it in the required CI plan, and consider how the proposed resource plays into that strategy.

All Area (2) proposals should allocate at least 50% of the budget to computing hardware.

Costs for storage as one component of an integrated computing resource are permitted.

All Area (2) proposals submitted to this area should address scientific and engineering projects and their research and education computing needs, describing project-specific scenarios for scientific computing tied to the proposed computing resources, including the scientific computing codes that are expected to run on the resources. The proposal should describe the platform architecture specifying computing components, including compute node type and count, per-node memory, interconnect fabric, storage, and open-source software/platform, including features, capabilities, and software platforms representing the proposed computing resources. Proposal should use an open source-based approach to monitoring, measurement, management, and instrumentation.

Proposals in Area (2) should include a summary table of the science drivers and their computing environments that are specified in clear terms reflecting a specific understanding of the required computing resources and environment (for example, CPU/GPU type, compute job profile parameter ranges, core count ranges per job, times to completion), or as part of a composition or scientific workflow profile.

All Area (2) proposals should describe the network connectivity of the proposed computing resource, both intra-campus [for example, the campus network path(s) connecting the resource with the researchers and driving science applications on campus], and inter- campus (for example, showing the network path connecting with the regional exchange point or Internet2). Proposals should include a network diagram showing the connected topology of the proposed computing resource. Proposals should include in their plans the deployment of a PerfSonar based network performance measurement capability to initially measure achievable end-to-end network performance for scientific data flows between the resource and relevant end points of researchers.

All Area (2) proposals should describe (1) their approach to sharing the proposed computing resource across the science drivers and researchers at their institution; (2) how the resource will be accessed by external research groups; and (3) how the resource is coordinated with external resources allowing the institution's researchers to seamlessly access computing resources at other campuses, regional and national computing resources.

All Area (2) proposals should commit to a minimum of 20% shared time and describe their approach to making the computing resource available as a shared resource external to the state/region and the institution(s) being primarily served. Proposals are strongly encouraged to address this requirement by joining the Partnerships to Advance Throughput Computing (PATH) campus federation (<https://path-cc.io>) and adopting an appropriate subset of PATH services to make the resource available to researchers on a national scale. Proposals are encouraged to include a letter of collaboration from the selected platform and describe how they will track and report on meeting the 20% extramural usage goal each year. Institutions in need of technical direction/expertise during their proposal development are encouraged to engage the NSF-funded PATH project at: <https://path-cc.io>.

Awards in this program area should work cooperatively with ACCESS award activities and services (see <https://access-ci.org>) towards a more seamless integration and leverage of CI resources for researchers, educators, and students.

Proposals in this area require titles that begin with "CC* Compute-Campus:" for proposed computing at the campus level or "CC* Compute-Region:" for proposed computing at the regional level, followed by the title of the project.

Additional proposal preparation guidance for this area can be found in Section V.A. Proposal Preparation Instructions.

Only Institutions of Higher Education are eligible to submit Campus proposals in this program area.

Institutions of Higher Education and Non-profit, Non-academic Organizations are eligible to submit proposals for the Region in this program area.

Proposals in Area (2) received without the following items will be returned without review:

- **an itemized vendor quote and**
- **a Campus CI plan as a Supplemental Document, limited to no more than 5 pages (see Section II. Program-wide Criteria for more information).**

Area (3) Network Integration and Applied Innovation

This program area supports end-to-end network CI through integration of existing and new technologies and applied innovation. The goal is to take advantage of research results, prototypes, and emerging innovations to use them to enable specified researchers in a networking context. Proposals in this area may leverage new and existing investments in network infrastructure, services, and tools by combining or extending capabilities to work as part of the CI environment used by scientific applications and users.

Proposals in this area are expected to reflect innovation in advanced networking. As a result, this area is not appropriate for projects whose costs are dominated by equipment purchases.


Proposals in this area support the development and integration of innovative networking capabilities and network-related software development, and deployment activities resulting in an operational environment prototype are expected to be part of the proposed activities.

A broad range of activities is covered by this area, including but not limited to:

- Integration of networking protocols and technologies with science application layer processes and workflows, for instance, for large-scale shared scientific datasets and/or large-scale remote computational resources;
- Transition of successful research prototypes in Software-Defined Networking (SDN) and wireless networking technologies to distributed scientific environments and campus infrastructure;
- Applications of networking hardware and software developed on NSF FutureCloud facilities (e.g., [ChameleonCloud](#) and [CloudLab](#)), including the integration of new technologies such as programmable network interfaces;
- Networking solutions exploiting virtualization, distributed computing and Software-Defined Infrastructure (SDI), including cloud services and direct campus-to-cloud connections;
- Innovative research prototypes integrating programmable packet processing components into campus infrastructure or exploring applications of software-defined data planes in support of high-performance data

distribution; and

- Network engineering support through the creation and application of new and novel procedures and tools and network measurement and monitoring software for solving end-to-end network performance issues, especially for dynamically constructed network services.

Additionally, proposals are encouraged to perform experimental deployment, protocol prototyping and testing, and evaluation using FABRIC (<https://www.fabric-testbed.net> ) . FABRIC is an NSF-funded nationwide next-generation network testbed comprising novel extensible network elements equipped with compute and storage capabilities located throughout the network, interconnected by high-speed dedicated optical links. FABRIC's programmability combined with high-fidelity measurement capabilities provides a compelling research infrastructure that supports applied network research. Using such a testbed, for example, a researcher could conduct edge-core-cloud evaluations.

Proposals in this area must identify, in the Project Description, one or more supported science or engineering research projects or applications and describe how the proposed network integration activities will support those projects, particularly in the context of addressing data movement, throughput, and predictable performance end-to-end.

Where appropriate, proposals are encouraged to document explicit partnerships or collaborations with the campus IT/networking organization. Graduate students are required to be involved and supported in conducting proposed activities for this program area.

Proposals in this area must include, in the Project Description, a project plan addressing clear project goals and milestones resulting in a working system in the target environment. Proposals must identify base metrics relevant to the proposal goals and address measurement and evaluation of the resulting system. Any software development under proposed activities must be available under an open-source license.

Additional proposal preparation guidance for this area can be found in Section V.A. Proposal Preparation Instructions.

Proposals in this area require titles that begin with "CC* Integration-Small": for proposed budgets of up to \$500,000 or "CC* Integration-Large:" for proposed budgets of between \$500,001 and \$1,000,000, followed by the title of the project.

Only Institutions of Higher Education are eligible to submit proposals in this program area.

Summary of additional Area (3) requirements:

Proposals are required to:

- identify, in the Project Description, one or more supported science or engineering research projects or applications and describe how the proposed network integration and applied innovation activities will support those projects.
- involve and support graduate students where appropriate in conducting proposed activities.
- include, in the Project Description, a Project Plan addressing clear project goals and milestones resulting in a working system in the target environment.
- define base metrics relevant to the proposal goals and address measurement and evaluation of the resulting system.
- make available under an open-source license any software development under proposed activities.
- include a Campus CI plan as a Supplementary Document, limited to no more than 5 pages (see Section II. Program-wide Criteria above for more information).
- be submitted by an Institution of Higher Education.
- have titles that begin with "CC* Integration-Small": for proposed budgets of up to \$500,000 or "CC* Integration-Large:" for proposed budgets of between \$500,001 and \$1,000,000, followed by the title of the project.

Area (4) Data Storage and Digital Archives for the Campus or Region

A significant challenge, if not bottleneck, to CI-enabled research and education is the limited access to data storage and associated services across campuses. While cloud services continue to provide data services for parts of the research community, data restrictions on some datasets often combined with expensive egress data movement charges do not allow this to be a complete solution. Meanwhile, the ability of research projects across disciplines to gather ever more data and increased tools to analyze data puts increasing pressure on storage and management.

This program area promotes coordinated approaches in scientific storage, data management, and digital archives and incentivizes multi-campus and national resource sharing. Awards in this area reflect NSF principles and guidance in the community's stewardship of data from NSF funded research, and particularly aim at supporting the data lifecycle. As described below for the Data Management Plan, proposals should adhere to the findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable (FAIR) principles and guidance from NSF described in the Dear Colleague Letter (DCL) on Effective Practices for Data: https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=nsf19069.

Storage proposals for the Campus address campus-wide storage needs in the proposal. A proposal focusing on a single science domain or project use will not be considered for funding.

Storage proposals for the Region adopt all the requirements and guidance of a campus storage proposal and target the research and education data needs spanning multiple small and under-resourced institutions in a region.

All Area (4) proposals should address:

- Scientific and engineering projects and their research and education storage needs, describing project-specific scenarios for scientific data generation, storage, and
- Features, capabilities, and details of the software platforms representing the proposed storage resources and services; and
- Plans to manage the resource, datasets, and usage while ensuring adherence to FAIR principles and equitable access.

NSF encourages proposals in this program area from under-resourced institutions and strong preference will be given to proposals demonstrating a compelling need for access to data storage resources, including institutions lacking necessary data storage resources on campus.

The proposal may request funding for the acquisition of a shared, high-performance network-connected data storage resource available to scientific users on campus and outside of campus.

All Area (4) proposals should deploy the system "on premise" which may include placement at an off-campus data center with a pre-existing role in campus research computing and storage.

All Area (4) proposals should allocate at least 50% of the budget to storage equipment.


Proposals should include in the Project Description:

- a summary table of the science drivers and their data storage environments - these requirements should be specified in clear terms reflecting a specific understanding of the required storage resources and environment, for example, storage type, data movement characteristics and data curation approach as part of a scientific workflow profile;
- the platform hardware, open source software systems, operating system, and software architecture;
- an open source-based approach to storage system monitoring, measurement, management, file system, and instrumentation (no software license fees are allowed).
- a description of the storage system as a Shared Resource Intra-campus and Inter-campus - see below for more details.

All Area (4) proposals should describe the network connectivity of the proposed storage resource, both intra-campus (for example, the campus network path(s) connecting the resource with the researchers and driving science applications on

campus), and inter-campus (for example, showing the network path connecting with the regional exchange point or Internet2).

All Area (4) proposals should include a network diagram showing the connected topology of the proposed computing resource. Proposals should include in their plans the deployment of a PerfSonar based network performance measurement capability to initially measure achievable end-to-end network performance for scientific data flows between the resource and relevant end points of researchers.

All Area (4) proposals are required to have interoperability with a national and federated data sharing fabric such as PATH/OSDF (see: <http://www.opensciencegrid.org/about/osdf> ) . At least 20% of the disk/storage space on the proposed storage system should be made available as part of the chosen federated data sharing fabric. Proposals should describe the interaction with data sharing fabric beyond simply naming it.

Staffing required to configure, operate, and support data management and access of the storage resource is an acceptable component of the budget. Staff associated with training and facilitating adoption, integration, and use of the resource on campus may be included. Staff and user training costs may extend to the open-source software platforms and federated data fabric sharing platform.

Management of the system and planned datasets should be discussed in the proposal and may also be addressed as part of the Data Management Plan (see below).

Proposals should discuss the storage system in the larger context of campus or regional CI and a vision inclusive of supporting shared resources and computing at the campus or regional level.

The Data Management Plan should include the campus approach to data lifecycle management, data sharing, and adherence to the FAIR principles. Proposals should reference NSF's DCL on Effective Practices for Data: https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=nsf19069.

Campuses with a science DMZ should address its use in the proposal.

Innovative ideas for implementing novel storage strategies, collaborative technologies, training, broadening participation, and adoption of community standards for data and meta-data are especially encouraged.

All Area (4) proposals should address the long-term plan for archival storage and sustainability. Proposals may address these topics in the Project Description, Data Management Plan, or the Campus CI Plan.

Proposals in this area require titles that begin with "CC* Storage-Campus:" for proposed computing at the campus level or "CC* Storage-Region:" for proposed computing at the regional level, followed by the title of the project.

Only Institutions of Higher Education are eligible to submit proposals for the Campus in this program area.

Institutions of Higher Education and Non-profit, Non-academic Organizations are eligible to submit proposals for the Region in this program area.

Proposals in Area (4) received without the following items will be returned without review:

- **an itemized vendor quote and**
- **a Campus CI plan as a Supplemental Document, limited to no more than 5 pages (see Section II. Program-wide Criteria for more information).**

Area (5) Strategy Awards for the Campus or the Region

For institutions, groups of institutions, and other entities, the task of assembling a complete CC* proposal can be a daunting challenge. CC* PI teams may require planning and effort related to their proposal ideas, for example, for compiling and understanding the science environments, applications and drivers motivating the proposed CI investments.

Strategy Awards support PIs and teams requiring resources and time to coordinate and develop an approach to CC*-related activities. Proposals in this area will be reviewed and evaluated the same as other CC* proposals. Strategy proposals should define a clear set of goals and a set of coordination and planning activities to meet those goals. Equipment costs are not allowed as part of a Strategy award, and proposed costs should include support for community coordination and planning activities. Strategy proposals are welcome for areas (1), (2), and (4) in CC*.

Strategy awards for the Campus are limited to \$100,000 for 1 year.

Strategy awards for the Region provide opportunities to foster new collaborations, including international partnerships, and address interdisciplinary topics. Innovative ideas for implementing novel networking strategies, collaborative technologies, training, broadening participation, and development of community standards for data and meta-data are especially encouraged. A Strategy proposal for the Region should develop a comprehensive CI strategy encompassing a campus, multiple campuses, or a state or regional research and education network entity. The regional strategy activity may encompass planning for a future CC* proposal, but goes beyond a specific campus network design, assessment of campus computing needs, or compilation of demanding science drivers to address integrated CI planning and scoping across the relevant scientific communities on campus, across multiple campuses, state-wide, or regionally. Strategy awards for the Region are limited to \$200,000 for 2 years.

Strategy awards do not support primary research.

A Campus CI plan is not required for this program area of CC*.

Institutions of Higher Education and Nonprofit, Non-academic Organizations are eligible to submit proposals in this program area.

Proposals in this area require titles that begin with "CC* Strategy-Campus:" for strategy proposals for the campus or "CC* Strategy-Region:" for strategy proposals for the region, followed by the title of the project.

III. Award Information

Approximately \$15 million-\$20 million will be made available in FY24 to support 32-55 awards, subject to the availability of funds.

IV. Eligibility Information

Who May Submit Proposals:

Proposals may only be submitted by the following:

- Institutions of Higher Education (see definition in PAPPG Chapter I.E.1.) may submit proposals to any of the program areas.

NSF welcomes proposals that include efforts to broaden geographic and demographic participation in campus cyberinfrastructure. **Proposals from minority-serving institutions and institutions in EPSCoR-eligible jurisdictions, along with collaborations between these institutions and those in non-EPSCoR jurisdictions, are encouraged.**

Non-profit, Non-academic Organizations (see definition in PAPPG Chapter I.E.1.) may submit to program Area (1) Data Driven Networking Infrastructure for the Region, program Area (2) Computing and the Computing Continuum for the Region, program Area (4) Data Storage and Digital Archives for the Region, and program Area (5) Strategy for the Region only.

Collaborative proposals submitted as simultaneous submission of proposals from different organizations, with each organization requesting a separate award are not allowed. Collaborative proposals must be submitted as a single proposal, in which a single award is being requested (with subawards administered by the lead organization).

For Area (1) Data-Driven Networking Infrastructure for the Campus, Area (2) Computing and the Computing Continuum for the Campus, and Area (4) Data Storage for the Campus, past and current recipients in these areas are not eligible to submit a proposal(s) to that area again for 5 years from the previous award start date. Any Campus-level proposal received from an organization having already received an award in this Campus-level area within 5 years will be returned without review. The five-year rule does not apply to any proposal for the Region in these areas.

Who May Serve as PI:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per PI or co-PI:

There are no restrictions or limits.

V. Proposal Preparation And Submission Instructions

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

Full Proposal Preparation Instructions: Proposers may opt to submit proposals in response to this Program Solicitation via Research.gov or Grants.gov.

- Full Proposals submitted via Research.gov: Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation should be prepared and submitted in accordance with the general guidelines contained in the *NSF Proposal and Award Policies and Procedures Guide (PAPPG)*. The complete text of the PAPPG is available electronically on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg. Paper copies of the PAPPG may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-8134 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov. The Prepare New Proposal setup will prompt you for the program solicitation number.
- Full proposals submitted via Grants.gov: Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation via Grants.gov should be prepared and submitted in accordance with the *NSF Grants.gov Application Guide: A Guide for the Preparation and Submission of NSF Applications via Grants.gov*. The complete text of the *NSF Grants.gov Application Guide* is available on the Grants.gov website and on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=grantsgovguide. To obtain copies of the Application Guide and Application Forms Package, click on the Apply tab on the Grants.gov site, then click on the Apply Step 1: Download a Grant Application Package and Application Instructions link and enter the funding opportunity number, (the program solicitation number without the NSF prefix) and press the Download Package button. Paper copies of the Grants.gov Application Guide also may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-8134 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov.

See PAPPG Chapter II.D.2 for guidance on the required sections of a full research proposal submitted to NSF. Please note that the proposal preparation instructions provided in this program solicitation may deviate from the PAPPG instructions.

For Area (1) Data-Driven Networking Infrastructure for the Campus or Region Proposals:

Proposals in this area require titles that begin with "CC* Network-Campus:" for proposed network improvements for the campus or "CC* Network-Region:" for proposed improvements for the region followed by the title of the project.

Refer to Section II. Program Description, for additional information about requirements for CC* proposals. In particular, a Campus CI plan must be included, with a limit of up to 5 pages, as a Supplementary Document.

If appropriate, proposals in this area are allowed to have their Campus CI plan represent a multi institutional or regional CI plan, as opposed to a single campus. These plans have an opportunity to convey a future vision of inter-campus cyberinfrastructure in support of distributed scientific research and education.

For area (2) Campus Computing and the Computing Continuum for the Campus or the Region Proposals:

Proposals in this area require titles that begin with "CC* Compute-Campus:" for proposed computing for the campus or "CC* Compute-Region:" for proposed computing for region, followed by the title of the project.

Refer to Section II. Program Description, for additional information about requirements for CC* proposals. In particular, a Campus CI plan must be included, with a limit of up to 5 pages, as a Supplementary Document.

If appropriate, proposals in this area are allowed to have their Campus CI plan represent a multi institutional or regional CI plan, as opposed to a single campus. These plans have an opportunity to convey a future vision of inter-campus cyberinfrastructure in support of distributed scientific research and education.

For area (3) Network Integration and Applied Innovation Proposals:

Proposals in this area require titles that begin with "CC* Integration-Small": for proposed budgets up to \$500,000 or "CC* Integration-Large:" for proposed budgets of between \$500,001 and \$1,000,000, followed by the title of the project.

Refer to Section II. Program Description, for additional information about requirements for CC* proposals. In particular, a Campus CI plan must be included, with a limit of up to 5 pages, as a Supplementary Document.

For area (4) Data Storage and Digital Archives for the Campus or the Region Proposals:

Proposals in this area require titles that begin with "CC* Storage-Campus:" for proposed storage for the campus or "CC* Storage-Region:" for proposed storage for region, followed by the title of the project.

Refer to Section II. Program Description, for additional information about requirements for CC* proposals. In particular, a Campus CI plan must be included, with a limit of up to 5 pages, as a Supplementary Document.

If appropriate, proposals in this area are allowed to have their Campus CI plan represent a multi institutional or regional CI plan, as opposed to a single campus. These plans have an opportunity to convey a future vision of inter-campus cyberinfrastructure in support of distributed scientific research and education.

For area (5) Strategy Awards for the Campus or Region Proposals:

Proposals in this area require titles that begin with "CC* Strategy-Campus:" for strategy proposals for the campus or "CC* Strategy-Region:" for strategy proposals for the region, followed by the title of the project.

Refer to Section II. Program Description, for additional information about requirements for CC* proposals.

B. Budgetary Information

Cost Sharing:

Inclusion of voluntary committed cost sharing is prohibited.

Budget Preparation Instructions:

Budgets should include travel funds for the project principal investigators and other team members as appropriate from all collaborating institutions to attend annual Principal Investigators' meetings.

C. Due Dates

- **Full Proposal Deadline(s)** (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

April 24, 2024

October 15, 2024

D. Research.gov/Grants.gov Requirements

For Proposals Submitted Via Research.gov:

To prepare and submit a proposal via Research.gov, see detailed technical instructions available at: https://www.research.gov/research-portal/appmanager/base/desktop?_nfpb=true&_pageLabel=research_node_display&_nodePath=/researchGov/Service/Desktop/ProposalPreparation For Research.gov user support, call the Research.gov Help Desk at 1-800-381-1532 or e-mail rgov@nsf.gov. The Research.gov Help Desk answers general technical questions related to the use of the Research.gov system. Specific questions related to this program solicitation should be referred to the NSF program staff contact(s) listed in Section VIII of this funding opportunity.

For Proposals Submitted Via Grants.gov:

Before using Grants.gov for the first time, each organization must register to create an institutional profile. Once registered, the applicant's organization can then apply for any federal grant on the Grants.gov website. Comprehensive information about using Grants.gov is available on the Grants.gov Applicant Resources webpage: <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants.html>. In addition, the NSF Grants.gov Application Guide (see link in Section V.A) provides instructions regarding the technical preparation of proposals via Grants.gov. For Grants.gov user support, contact the Grants.gov Contact Center at 1-800-518-4726 or by email: support@grants.gov. The Grants.gov Contact Center answers general technical questions related to the use of Grants.gov. Specific questions related to this program solicitation should be referred to the NSF program staff contact(s) listed in Section VIII of this solicitation.

Submitting the Proposal: Once all documents have been completed, the Authorized Organizational Representative (AOR) must submit the application to Grants.gov and verify the desired funding opportunity and agency to which the application is submitted. The AOR must then sign and submit the application to Grants.gov. The completed application will be transferred to Research.gov for further processing.

The NSF [Grants.gov Proposal Processing in Research.gov informational page](#) provides submission guidance to applicants and links to helpful resources including the NSF [Grants.gov Application Guide](#), [Grants.gov Proposal Processing in Research.gov how-to guide](#), and [Grants.gov Submitted Proposals Frequently Asked Questions](#). Grants.gov proposals must pass all NSF pre-check and post-check validations in order to be accepted by Research.gov at NSF.

When submitting via Grants.gov, NSF strongly recommends applicants initiate proposal submission at least five business days in advance of a deadline to allow adequate time to address NSF compliance errors and resubmissions by 5:00 p.m. submitting organization's local time on the deadline. Please note that some errors cannot be corrected in Grants.gov. Once a proposal passes pre-checks but fails any post-check, an applicant can only correct and submit the in-progress proposal in Research.gov.

Proposers that submitted via Research.gov may use Research.gov to verify the status of their submission to NSF. For proposers that submitted via Grants.gov, until an application has been received and validated by NSF, the Authorized Organizational Representative may check the status of an application on Grants.gov. After proposers have received an e-mail notification from NSF, Research.gov should be used to check the status of an application.

VI. NSF Proposal Processing And Review Procedures

Proposals received by NSF are assigned to the appropriate NSF program for acknowledgement and, if they meet NSF requirements, for review. All proposals are carefully reviewed by a scientist, engineer, or educator serving as an NSF Program Officer, and usually by three to ten other persons outside NSF either as *ad hoc* reviewers, panelists, or both, who are experts in the particular fields represented by the proposal. These reviewers are selected by Program Officers

charged with oversight of the review process. Proposers are invited to suggest names of persons they believe are especially well qualified to review the proposal and/or persons they would prefer not review the proposal. These suggestions may serve as one source in the reviewer selection process at the Program Officer's discretion. Submission of such names, however, is optional. Care is taken to ensure that reviewers have no conflicts of interest with the proposal. In addition, Program Officers may obtain comments from site visits before recommending final action on proposals. Senior NSF staff further review recommendations for awards. A flowchart that depicts the entire NSF proposal and award process (and associated timeline) is included in PAPPG Exhibit III-1.

A comprehensive description of the Foundation's merit review process is available on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/merit_review/.

Proposers should also be aware of core strategies that are essential to the fulfillment of NSF's mission, as articulated in *Leading the World in Discovery and Innovation, STEM Talent Development and the Delivery of Benefits from Research - NSF Strategic Plan for Fiscal Years (FY) 2022 - 2026*. These strategies are integrated in the program planning and implementation process, of which proposal review is one part. NSF's mission is particularly well-implemented through the integration of research and education and broadening participation in NSF programs, projects, and activities.

One of the strategic objectives in support of NSF's mission is to foster integration of research and education through the programs, projects, and activities it supports at academic and research institutions. These institutions must recruit, train, and prepare a diverse STEM workforce to advance the frontiers of science and participate in the U.S. technology-based economy. NSF's contribution to the national innovation ecosystem is to provide cutting-edge research under the guidance of the Nation's most creative scientists and engineers. NSF also supports development of a strong science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) workforce by investing in building the knowledge that informs improvements in STEM teaching and learning.

NSF's mission calls for the broadening of opportunities and expanding participation of groups, institutions, and geographic regions that are underrepresented in STEM disciplines, which is essential to the health and vitality of science and engineering. NSF is committed to this principle of diversity and deems it central to the programs, projects, and activities it considers and supports.

A. Merit Review Principles and Criteria

The National Science Foundation strives to invest in a robust and diverse portfolio of projects that creates new knowledge and enables breakthroughs in understanding across all areas of science and engineering research and education. To identify which projects to support, NSF relies on a merit review process that incorporates consideration of both the technical aspects of a proposed project and its potential to contribute more broadly to advancing NSF's mission "to promote the progress of science; to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare; to secure the national defense; and for other purposes." NSF makes every effort to conduct a fair, competitive, transparent merit review process for the selection of projects.

1. Merit Review Principles

These principles are to be given due diligence by PIs and organizations when preparing proposals and managing projects, by reviewers when reading and evaluating proposals, and by NSF program staff when determining whether or not to recommend proposals for funding and while overseeing awards. Given that NSF is the primary federal agency charged with nurturing and supporting excellence in basic research and education, the following three principles apply:

- All NSF projects should be of the highest quality and have the potential to advance, if not transform, the frontiers of knowledge.
- NSF projects, in the aggregate, should contribute more broadly to achieving societal goals. These "Broader Impacts" may be accomplished through the research itself, through activities that are directly related to specific research projects, or through activities that are supported by, but are complementary to, the project. The project activities may be based on previously established and/or innovative methods and approaches, but in either case must be well justified.

- Meaningful assessment and evaluation of NSF funded projects should be based on appropriate metrics, keeping in mind the likely correlation between the effect of broader impacts and the resources provided to implement projects. If the size of the activity is limited, evaluation of that activity in isolation is not likely to be meaningful. Thus, assessing the effectiveness of these activities may best be done at a higher, more aggregated, level than the individual project.

With respect to the third principle, even if assessment of Broader Impacts outcomes for particular projects is done at an aggregated level, PIs are expected to be accountable for carrying out the activities described in the funded project. Thus, individual projects should include clearly stated goals, specific descriptions of the activities that the PI intends to do, and a plan in place to document the outputs of those activities.

These three merit review principles provide the basis for the merit review criteria, as well as a context within which the users of the criteria can better understand their intent.

2. Merit Review Criteria

All NSF proposals are evaluated through use of the two National Science Board approved merit review criteria. In some instances, however, NSF will employ additional criteria as required to highlight the specific objectives of certain programs and activities.

The two merit review criteria are listed below. **Both** criteria are to be given **full consideration** during the review and decision-making processes; each criterion is necessary but neither, by itself, is sufficient. Therefore, proposers must fully address both criteria. (PAPPG Chapter II.D.2.d(i). contains additional information for use by proposers in development of the Project Description section of the proposal). Reviewers are strongly encouraged to review the criteria, including PAPPG Chapter II.D.2.d(i), prior to the review of a proposal.

When evaluating NSF proposals, reviewers will be asked to consider what the proposers want to do, why they want to do it, how they plan to do it, how they will know if they succeed, and what benefits could accrue if the project is successful. These issues apply both to the technical aspects of the proposal and the way in which the project may make broader contributions. To that end, reviewers will be asked to evaluate all proposals against two criteria:

- **Intellectual Merit:** The Intellectual Merit criterion encompasses the potential to advance knowledge; and
- **Broader Impacts:** The Broader Impacts criterion encompasses the potential to benefit society and contribute to the achievement of specific, desired societal outcomes.

The following elements should be considered in the review for both criteria:

1. What is the potential for the proposed activity to
 - a. Advance knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields (Intellectual Merit); and
 - b. Benefit society or advance desired societal outcomes (Broader Impacts)?
2. To what extent do the proposed activities suggest and explore creative, original, or potentially transformative concepts?
3. Is the plan for carrying out the proposed activities well-reasoned, well-organized, and based on a sound rationale? Does the plan incorporate a mechanism to assess success?
4. How well qualified is the individual, team, or organization to conduct the proposed activities?
5. Are there adequate resources available to the PI (either at the home organization or through collaborations) to carry out the proposed activities?

Broader impacts may be accomplished through the research itself, through the activities that are directly related to specific research projects, or through activities that are supported by, but are complementary to, the project. NSF values the advancement of scientific knowledge and activities that contribute to achievement of societally relevant outcomes. Such outcomes include, but are not limited to: full participation of women, persons with disabilities, and other

underrepresented groups in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM); improved STEM education and educator development at any level; increased public scientific literacy and public engagement with science and technology; improved well-being of individuals in society; development of a diverse, globally competitive STEM workforce; increased partnerships between academia, industry, and others; improved national security; increased economic competitiveness of the United States; and enhanced infrastructure for research and education.

Proposers are reminded that reviewers will also be asked to review the Data Management Plan and the Postdoctoral Researcher Mentoring Plan, as appropriate.

Additional Solicitation Specific Review Criteria

All CC* projects will be reviewed with careful attention to the following:

- The extent to which the work provides a needed capability required by science, engineering and education.
- The expected impact on the deployed environment described in the proposal, and potential impact across a broader segment of the NSF community.
- Where applicable, how resource access control, federated identity management, and other cybersecurity related issues and community best practices are addressed.
- Consulting costs, which will be evaluated consistent with the expertise and past experience in building and supporting community research and education cyberinfrastructure.
- **A Cyberinfrastructure (CI) plan [except for area (5) as noted earlier]:** To what extent is the planned cyberinfrastructure likely to enhance capacity for discovery, innovation, and education in science and engineering? How well does the plan as presented position the proposing institution(s) for future cyberinfrastructure development? How well does the cyberinfrastructure plan support and integrate with the institutions' science and technology plan? Are IPv6 deployment and InCommon Federation addressed? Are the activities described in the proposal consistent with the institution's cyberinfrastructure plan?

Additionally, for proposals in Area (1) Data Driven Networking Infrastructure for the Campus or the Region, Area (2) Campus Computing and the Computing Continuum for the Campus or the Region, and Area (4) Data Storage and Digital Archives for the Campus or the Region:

- The extent to which science drivers and applications motivate the types of resources requested.
- The strength of the partnership among campus-level CI experts, including the campus Information Technology (IT)/networking/data organization, contributing domain scientists, research groups, and educators.
- Tangible metrics to measure the success of the system or set of activities.
- The strength of the project management plan addressing clear goals and milestones resulting in a working system in the target environment.
- How well the sustainability of the cyberinfrastructure is addressed.

Additionally, for proposals in area (3) Network Integration and Applied Innovation:

- A Project Plan addressing clear goals and milestones resulting in a working system in the target environment.
- Tangible metrics to measure the success of the integrated systems and any associated software developed, and the steps necessary to take the systems from prototype status to production use.

B. Review and Selection Process

Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation will be reviewed by Ad hoc Review and/or Panel Review.

Reviewers will be asked to evaluate proposals using two National Science Board approved merit review criteria and, if applicable, additional program specific criteria. A summary rating and accompanying narrative will generally be

completed and submitted by each reviewer and/or panel. The Program Officer assigned to manage the proposal's review will consider the advice of reviewers and will formulate a recommendation.

After scientific, technical and programmatic review and consideration of appropriate factors, the NSF Program Officer recommends to the cognizant Division Director whether the proposal should be declined or recommended for award. NSF strives to be able to tell proposers whether their proposals have been declined or recommended for funding within six months. Large or particularly complex proposals or proposals from new recipients may require additional review and processing time. The time interval begins on the deadline or target date, or receipt date, whichever is later. The interval ends when the Division Director acts upon the Program Officer's recommendation.

After programmatic approval has been obtained, the proposals recommended for funding will be forwarded to the Division of Grants and Agreements or the Division of Acquisition and Cooperative Support for review of business, financial, and policy implications. After an administrative review has occurred, Grants and Agreements Officers perform the processing and issuance of a grant or other agreement. Proposers are cautioned that only a Grants and Agreements Officer may make commitments, obligations or awards on behalf of NSF or authorize the expenditure of funds. No commitment on the part of NSF should be inferred from technical or budgetary discussions with a NSF Program Officer. A Principal Investigator or organization that makes financial or personnel commitments in the absence of a grant or cooperative agreement signed by the NSF Grants and Agreements Officer does so at their own risk.

Once an award or declination decision has been made, Principal Investigators are provided feedback about their proposals. In all cases, reviews are treated as confidential documents. Verbatim copies of reviews, excluding the names of the reviewers or any reviewer-identifying information, are sent to the Principal Investigator/Project Director by the Program Officer. In addition, the proposer will receive an explanation of the decision to award or decline funding.

VII. Award Administration Information

A. Notification of the Award

Notification of the award is made to *the submitting organization* by an NSF Grants and Agreements Officer. Organizations whose proposals are declined will be advised as promptly as possible by the cognizant NSF Program administering the program. Verbatim copies of reviews, not including the identity of the reviewer, will be provided automatically to the Principal Investigator. (See Section VI.B. for additional information on the review process.)

B. Award Conditions

An NSF award consists of: (1) the award notice, which includes any special provisions applicable to the award and any numbered amendments thereto; (2) the budget, which indicates the amounts, by categories of expense, on which NSF has based its support (or otherwise communicates any specific approvals or disapprovals of proposed expenditures); (3) the proposal referenced in the award notice; (4) the applicable award conditions, such as Grant General Conditions (GC-1)*; or Research Terms and Conditions* and (5) any announcement or other NSF issuance that may be incorporated by reference in the award notice. Cooperative agreements also are administered in accordance with NSF Cooperative Agreement Financial and Administrative Terms and Conditions (CA-FATC) and the applicable Programmatic Terms and Conditions. NSF awards are electronically signed by an NSF Grants and Agreements Officer and transmitted electronically to the organization via e-mail.

*These documents may be accessed electronically on NSF's Website at https://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/award_conditions.jsp?org=NSF. Paper copies may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-8134 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov.

More comprehensive information on NSF Award Conditions and other important information on the administration of NSF awards is contained in the NSF *Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide* (PAPPG) Chapter VII, available electronically on the NSF Website at https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg.

Administrative and National Policy Requirements

Build America, Buy America

As expressed in Executive Order 14005, [Ensuring the Future is Made in All of America by All of America's Workers](#) (86 FR 7475), it is the policy of the executive branch to use terms and conditions of Federal financial assistance awards to maximize, consistent with law, the use of goods, products, and materials produced in, and services offered in, the United States.

Consistent with the requirements of the Build America, Buy America Act (Pub. L. 117-58, Division G, Title IX, Subtitle A, November 15, 2021), no funding made available through this funding opportunity may be obligated for an award unless all iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in the project are produced in the United States. For additional information, visit NSF's [Build America, Buy America](#) webpage.

C. Reporting Requirements

For all multi-year grants (including both standard and continuing grants), the Principal Investigator must submit an annual project report to the cognizant Program Officer no later than 90 days prior to the end of the current budget period. (Some programs or awards require submission of more frequent project reports). No later than 120 days following expiration of a grant, the PI also is required to submit a final project report, and a project outcomes report for the general public.

Failure to provide the required annual or final project reports, or the project outcomes report, will delay NSF review and processing of any future funding increments as well as any pending proposals for all identified PIs and co-PIs on a given award. PIs should examine the formats of the required reports in advance to assure availability of required data.

PIs are required to use NSF's electronic project-reporting system, available through [Research.gov](#), for preparation and submission of annual and final project reports. Such reports provide information on accomplishments, project participants (individual and organizational), publications, and other specific products and impacts of the project. Submission of the report via [Research.gov](#) constitutes certification by the PI that the contents of the report are accurate and complete. The project outcomes report also must be prepared and submitted using [Research.gov](#). This report serves as a brief summary, prepared specifically for the public, of the nature and outcomes of the project. This report will be posted on the NSF website exactly as it is submitted by the PI.

More comprehensive information on NSF Reporting Requirements and other important information on the administration of NSF awards is contained in the *NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide* (PAPPG) Chapter VII, available electronically on the NSF Website at https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg.

VIII. Agency Contacts

Please note that the program contact information is current at the time of publishing. See program website for any updates to the points of contact.

General inquiries regarding this program should be made to:

- Amy W. Apon, Program Director, CISE/OAC, telephone: (703) 292-5184, email: awapon@nsf.gov
- Kevin L. Thompson, Program Director, CISE/OAC, telephone: (703) 292-4220, email: kthompso@nsf.gov
- Deepankar Medhi, Program Director, CISE/CNS, telephone: (703)292-8950, email: dmedhi@nsf.gov
- Subrata Acharya, Program Director, CISE/CNS, telephone: (703) 292-2451, email: acharyas@nsf.gov
- Nicholas Goldsmith, Assistant Program Director, CISE/CNS, telephone: (703) 292-8950, email: nicgolds@nsf.gov
- Pinhas Ben-Tzvi, Program Director, OD/OIA/EPSCoR, telephone: (703) 292-8246, email: pbentzvi@nsf.gov

For questions related to the use of NSF systems contact:

- NSF Help Desk: 1-800-381-1532
- [Research.gov](#) Help Desk e-mail: rgov@nsf.gov

For questions relating to Grants.gov contact:

- Grants.gov Contact Center: If the Authorized Organizational Representatives (AOR) has not received a confirmation message from Grants.gov within 48 hours of submission of application, please contact via telephone: 1-800-518-4726; e-mail: support@grants.gov.

IX. Other Information

The NSF website provides the most comprehensive source of information on NSF Directorates (including contact information), programs and funding opportunities. Use of this website by potential proposers is strongly encouraged. In addition, "NSF Update" is an information-delivery system designed to keep potential proposers and other interested parties apprised of new NSF funding opportunities and publications, important changes in proposal and award policies and procedures, and upcoming NSF [Grants Conferences](#). Subscribers are informed through e-mail or the user's Web browser each time new publications are issued that match their identified interests. "NSF Update" also is available on [NSF's website](#).

Grants.gov provides an additional electronic capability to search for Federal government-wide grant opportunities. NSF funding opportunities may be accessed via this mechanism. Further information on Grants.gov may be obtained at <https://www.grants.gov>.

Related Programs:

NSF Advisory Committee for Cyberinfrastructure Task Force on Campus Bridging, *Final Report*, March 2011. Available from: https://www.nsf.gov/cise/oac/taskforces/TaskForceReport_CampusBridging.pdf

Reference material on the "Science DMZ" concept is available at: <https://fasterdata.es.net/science-dmz/>



About The National Science Foundation

The National Science Foundation (NSF) is an independent Federal agency created by the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 USC 1861-75). The Act states the purpose of the NSF is "to promote the progress of science; [and] to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare by supporting research and education in all fields of science and engineering."

NSF funds research and education in most fields of science and engineering. It does this through grants and cooperative agreements to more than 2,000 colleges, universities, K-12 school systems, businesses, informal science organizations and other research organizations throughout the US. The Foundation accounts for about one-fourth of Federal support to academic institutions for basic research.

NSF receives approximately 55,000 proposals each year for research, education and training projects, of which approximately 11,000 are funded. In addition, the Foundation receives several thousand applications for graduate and postdoctoral fellowships. The agency operates no laboratories itself but does support National Research Centers, user facilities, certain oceanographic vessels and Arctic and Antarctic research stations. The Foundation also supports cooperative research between universities and industry, US participation in international scientific and engineering efforts, and educational activities at every academic level.

Facilitation Awards for Scientists and Engineers with Disabilities (FASED) provide funding for special assistance or equipment to enable persons with disabilities to work on NSF-supported projects. See the *NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide* Chapter II.F.7 for instructions regarding preparation of these types of proposals.

The National Science Foundation has Telephonic Device for the Deaf (TDD) and Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) capabilities that enable individuals with hearing impairments to communicate with the Foundation about NSF programs, employment or general information. TDD may be accessed at (703) 292-5090 and (800) 281-8749, FIRS at (800) 877-8339.

The National Science Foundation Information Center may be reached at (703) 292-5111.

The National Science Foundation promotes and advances scientific progress in the United States by competitively awarding grants and cooperative agreements for research and education in the sciences, mathematics, and engineering.

To get the latest information about program deadlines, to download copies of NSF publications, and to access abstracts of awards, visit the NSF Website at <https://www.nsf.gov>

- **Location:** 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22314
- **For General Information** (NSF Information Center): (703) 292-5111
- **TDD (for the hearing-impaired):** (703) 292-5090
- **To Order Publications or Forms:**
 - Send an e-mail to: nsfpubs@nsf.gov
 - or telephone: (703) 292-8134
- **To Locate NSF Employees:** (703) 292-5111

Privacy Act And Public Burden Statements

The information requested on proposal forms and project reports is solicited under the authority of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended. The information on proposal forms will be used in connection with the selection of qualified proposals; and project reports submitted by proposers will be used for program evaluation and reporting within the Executive Branch and to Congress. The information requested may be disclosed to qualified reviewers and staff assistants as part of the proposal review process; to proposer institutions/grantees to provide or obtain data regarding the proposal review process, award decisions, or the administration of awards; to government contractors, experts, volunteers and researchers and educators as necessary to complete assigned work; to other government agencies or other entities needing information regarding proposers or nominees as part of a joint application review process, or in order to coordinate programs or policy; and to another Federal agency, court, or party in a court or Federal administrative proceeding if the government is a party. Information about Principal Investigators may be added to the Reviewer file and used to select potential candidates to serve as peer reviewers or advisory committee members. See [System of Record Notices, NSF-50](#), "Principal Investigator/Proposal File and Associated Records," and [NSF-51](#), "Reviewer/Proposal File and Associated Records." Submission of the information is voluntary. Failure to provide full and complete information, however, may reduce the possibility of receiving an award.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, an information collection unless it displays a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The OMB control number for this collection is 3145-0058. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 120 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions. Send comments regarding the burden estimate and any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Suzanne H. Plimpton
Reports Clearance Officer
Policy Office, Division of Institution and Award Support
Office of Budget, Finance, and Award Management
National Science Foundation
Alexandria, VA 22314



National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Ave Alexandria, VA 22314
Tel: [\(703\) 292-5111](tel:7032925111),